



General Certificate of Education

Applied Information and Communication Technology 8751, 8753, 8756 and 8759

IT10 Advanced Spreadsheet Design

Mark Scheme

2007 examination - January series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

Unit 10: Advanced Spreadsheet Design (IT10)

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------|--|---|--|---------|-------|
| Item (a) 2 marks | Candidate has produced a time plan that identifies the tasks required in an appropriate order. | Candidate has produced a comprehensive list of tasks in an appropriate order. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Item (b) 4 marks | Candidate has attempted to describe the background of the client and why a spreadsheet system is required. | Candidate has clearly described the background of the client and why a spreadsheet system is required. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has identified the intended user(s) of the system, and their skill level. | Candidate has identified the intended user(s) of the system, and produced design notes indicating how the skill level of the user has affected their designs. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Item (c) 9 marks | Candidate has listed some client needs. | Candidate has produced a comprehensive list of client needs. | Candidate has produced a comprehensive list of client needs and explained how their proposed system will meet these needs. | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|--|--|---|--|---------|-------|
| | Candidate has stated some of the processing to be undertaken in the proposed spreadsheet system. | Candidate has produced a description of the processing to be undertaken in the proposed spreadsheet system. | Candidate has produced a detailed description of the processing to be undertaken in the proposed spreadsheet system. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has stated the inputs and outputs required. | Candidate has specified the inputs and outputs required. | Candidate has specified in detail the inputs and outputs required. | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|-------|
| Item (d) 4 marks | Candidate has produced some evaluation criteria for their solution. | Candidate has produced both qualitative and quantitative evaluation criteria for the solution. | Candidate has produced both qualitative and quantitative evaluation criteria that are appropriate to assess if the client needs have been met. | Candidate has identified both qualitative and quantitative evaluation criteria, and has stated why they are appropriate to assess if the client needs have been met. | |
| | | | | | |
| Item (e) 9 marks | Candidate has produced simple designs of the user interface to be implemented. | Candidate has produced designs of the user interface to be implemented, annotating the key features of the interface. | Candidate has produced annotated designs of the user interface to be implemented, justifying the use of the key features of the interface in relation to user needs. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced simple designs for a spreadsheet system demonstrating some of the functionality of the software to be used. | Candidate has produced designs for a spreadsheet system demonstrating all of the functionality of the software to be used. | Candidate has produced designs for a spreadsheet system and has justified how the functionality of the software meets the client needs. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has annotated designs for a spreadsheet system with comments from the client. | Candidate has produced evidence of updated designs for a spreadsheet system following comments from the client. | Candidate has explained changes to designs for a spreadsheet system in line with comments from the client. | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|-------|
| Item (f) 4 marks | Candidate has produced an outline for a testing strategy. | Candidate has produced a testing strategy that includes sets of test data to test the whole system. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced a testing strategy that tests individual parts of the spreadsheet system. | Candidate has produced a testing strategy that tests individual parts and the completed system in a logical order to aid implementation of the system. | | | |
| Item (g) 8 marks | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the individual elements of the system in line with some of the test plan. | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the individual elements of the system in line with the whole of the test plan. | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the individual elements of the system in line with the whole of the test plan using test data that tests all formulae and functions. | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the individual elements of the system in line with the whole of the test plan using normal, extreme and erroneous test data that tests all formulae and functions. | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the system as a whole. | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the system as a whole including testing all outputs. | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the system as a whole that shows how the actual results compare with the expected results. | Candidate has provided evidence of carrying out testing of the system as a whole and has explained how the results of the testing prove that the needs of the user have been met. | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|----------------------|---|--|---|---------|-------|
| Item (h) 18 marks | Candidate has shown some awareness of managing work effectively such as using appropriate sheet names, filenames, or named areas. | Candidate demonstrates that they have consistently managed work effectively such as using appropriate sheet names, filenames, named areas and macro names. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced part of a working spreadsheet system. | Candidate has produced a working spreadsheet system which partially meets the client's needs. | Candidate has produced a working spreadsheet system and explained how it meets the client's needs. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced printouts or screenshots from the spreadsheet system. | Candidate has produced printouts or screenshots from the spreadsheet system with evidence of use of formulae. | Candidate has annotated printouts or screenshots from the spreadsheet system to explain the use of a variety of formulae that meet the needs of the client. | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|--|---|--|---|---------|-------|
| | Candidate has used complex spreadsheet features. | Candidate has used a variety of appropriate complex spreadsheet features. | Candidate has explained how the complex spreadsheet features used are appropriate for the system and for the needs of the client. | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has made use of macros in their spreadsheet system. | Candidate has made use of macros to automate a task other than navigation to meet the needs of the client. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has used software features to produce a system that can be used more than once. | Candidate has explained the use of software features to produce a system that can be used more than once. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Candidate has produced a spreadsheet system that provides some output. | Candidate has produced a spreadsheet system that provides some output that meets the needs of the client. | Candidate has produced a spreadsheet system that provides some output and explains how it meets the needs of the client. | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------|---|---|---|--|-------|
| Item (i) 4 marks | Candidate has attempted to evaluate their spreadsheet system. | Candidate has evaluated their spreadsheet system using the evaluation criteria. | Candidate has evaluated their spreadsheet system using the evaluation criteria, relating their comments to the needs of their client. | Candidate has evaluated their spreadsheet system using the evaluation criteria, relating their comments to the needs of their client, and identified areas of their system that could be improved in the future. | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------|---|---|---------|---------|-------|
| Item (j) 2 marks | Candidate has shown that they adhered to their implementation schedule. | Candidate has shown that they monitored progress explaining any alterations to their implementation schedule. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---------------------|--|--|---------|---------|-------|
| Item (k) 2 marks | Candidate has evaluated their own performance in producing their system. | Candidate has evaluated their own performance in producing their system, identifying strengths and weaknesses and areas for improvement. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | 1 mark | 2 marks | 3 marks | 4 marks | Marks |
|---|--|--|--|---|-------|
| Quality of written communication 4 marks | Candidate uses written expression in a non-specialist way. | Candidate uses written expression with some specialist vocabulary to organise information. | Candidate uses written expression with suitable specialist vocabulary to organise and interpret information. | Candidate uses written expression with appropriate specialist vocabulary to organise and interpret information within complex subject matter. | |
| | | | | | |

| Page | Maximum mark | Mark awarded |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | 9 | |
| 2 | 6 | |
| 3 | 13 | |
| 4 | 12 | |
| 5 | 8 | |
| 6 | 10 | |
| 7 | 6 | |
| 8 | 6 | |
| Total | 70 | |