

GCE

Applied Business

Unit F257: Managing Risk in the Workplace

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2016

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2016

Annotations

?	The response given is 'Unclear' to the marker.			
BOD	'Benefit of doubt' but credit given.			
CONT	To indicate the response is in 'Context' of the relevant case study.			
×	Response is incorrect, no credit can be given.			
L1	Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 1.			
L2	Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 2.			
L3	Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 3.			
L4	Use for Level of response answers to indicate Level 4.			
NAQ	The response is not incorrect but has 'Not answered the question'.			
OFR	Own figure rule. Use where indicated in the mark scheme.			
REP	'Repeat' Response repeats the same marking point.			
SEEN	'Noted but no credit given' or to indicate all or part blank answer pages have been seen by the marker.			
V	Correct point/answer. Credit can be given.			
NUT	No use of context/Context cannot be awarded			

Subject-specific marking instructions

Testing of QWC

In this external assessment the assessment of QWC will take place in Question 2(d) which is a levels of response question and carries 14 marks.

Marks are embedded within this question for assessing the quality of written communication. The following criteria are embedded within the levels of response for Question 2(d).

Level 4:

Ability to present relevant material in a well planned and logical sequence. Material clearly structured using appropriate business terminology confidently and accurately. Sentences, consistently relevant are well structured in a way that directly answers question. There will be few, if any errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

[4 marks representing the appropriate level of written communication are embedded in this level of response].

Level 3:

Ability to present relevant material in a planned and logical sequence. Appropriate business terminology used. Sentences for the most part relevant presented in a balanced, logical and coherent manner which addresses the question. There will be occasional errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

[3 marks representing the appropriate level of written communication are embedded in this level of response]

Level 2:

Limited ability to organise relevant material. Some appropriate business terminology used. Sentences are not always relevant with material presented in a way that does not always address the question. There may be noticeable errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

[2 marks representing the appropriate level of written communication are embedded in this level of response]

Level 1:

Ability to communicate at least one point using some appropriate business terminology. Sentences have limited coherence and structure, often being of doubtful relevance to the main focus of question. Errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive.

[1 mark representing the appropriate level of written communication is embedded in this level of response]

C	uestion	Answer	Mark	Guidance
1	а	Indicative content:	6	AO1: 2 AO2: 4
		 Employers should: provide a safe working environment adequately maintain machinery and equipment adequate storage provision of training provision of safety guards provision of safety clothing/equipment provision of information/guidelines Exemplar response: E.g. Provision of safety equipment (1) such as gloves, so that employees like Chelsea do not cut themselves (1). E.g. Provision of safety guards (1) such as screens on moving tractor parts (CONT) so that employees and/or visitors do not get cut by the tractor if it is started (2). 		One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further two marks for each of two explanations. Do not accept answers which give a consequence as a development point. Candidates must answer in context. Context could include examples of farm equipment and machinery such as tractors, sheep dipping, clippers. Award 1 mark for identification plus: 2 marks for a contextual explanation. 1 mark for a non-contextual explanation.
1	b	Indicative content:	3	AO1: 3
		 EC Directive on Work with Display Screens Workplace (Health and Safety and Welfare) Regulations Health and Safety (Display Screen Equipment) Regulations Manual Handling Operations Regulations Provision and Use of Workplace Equipment Regulations Personal Protective Equipment at Work Regulations Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations Working Time Regulations. 		One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of three identifications.

C	uestion	Answer	Mark	Guidance
1	С	Indicative content:	4	AO1: 2 AO2: 2
		 job shadowing mentoring coaching observation. Exemplar response: E.g. On-the-job training could be provided in the form of job shadowing (1). This is where a new employee would follow an experienced employee around the farm and do tasks alongside them such as feeding lambs (1). E.g. Observation (1) which is where the new employee would walk around the farm and look at and make notes as to how more established employees carry out their duties such as driving tractors (1).		One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further one mark for each of two developments. Candidates must answer in context. Context could include farm equipment, tractor/trailer, sheep, feeding lambs. Do not award 'teach' – it could be off the job. Do no award 'simulation' – this is off the job.
1	d	Indicative content: employer/owners/managers/Frazer/Debi employees/Chelsea visitors/customers contractors government (central/local) HSE. Exemplar response: E.g. Frazer (1) as an employer must ensure a safe working environment for his employees which would mean provision of guards on dangerous equipment such as moving tractor (CONT) parts (2).	9	AO1: 3 AO2: 6 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of three identifications, plus a further two marks for each of three explanations. Award 1 mark for identification plus: 2 marks for a contextual explanation. 1 mark for a non-contextual explanation. Do not award 'suppliers'.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	E.g. Chelsea (1) as an employee would have had a responsibility to adhere to health and safety policy on the farm such as wearing protective clothing such as gloves (CONT) when handling dangerous sheep clippers/shearers (2). E.g. Visitors (1) to the farm have the responsibility to conduct themselves appropriately whilst on the work premises such as staying on the right side of the fence (1).		
1 e	Use levels of response criteria.	14	AO1: 2 AO2: 3 AO3: 4 AO4: 5
	Indicative content:		Levels of response
	 Chelsea was cutting the wool off a lively sheep Chelsea was doing a job that should have been done by Frazer Chelsea did not feel confident about the job Chelsea was not wearing protective clothing Frazer had told her to wear protective clothing but she had ignored this Frazer had provided training for all his employees Chelsea may have needed extra training to complete this task despite the lack of clothing this accident may not have been preventable Exemplar response: 		Level 4 (10-14 marks) Candidate evaluates the extent to which Chelsea's claim against VFF would be successful. Level 3 (6-9 marks) Candidate analyses whether or not Chelsea's claim against VFF would be successful. Level 2 (3-5 marks) Candidate applies knowledge and understanding of whether Chelsea's claim against VFF would be successful. Level 1 (1-2 marks) Candidate identifies factors which would/would not make a
	E.g. When carrying out a dangerous job where the chances of injury are high, the employer should always provide their employees with protective clothing to ensure their safety (L1). In Chelsea's case, she was asked by Frazer, as her employer to help him carry out the dangerous task of cutting the sheep's (CONT) which		claim successful with no use of context. Please indicate each time a candidate achieves a particular level as this will help you allocate marks within that level. Context should be annotated every time L2/L4 is awarded with the 'CONT' annotation.

C	uesti	on	Answer	Mark	Guidance
			means she would have a reason to claim against VFF (L2). Furthermore, Chelsea did not feel confident in undertaking this task and to make her cut the wool off a sheep meant that she was therefore likely to make a mistake (L3). However, she had chosen to ignore the instructions by Frazer to wear the protective gloves which could have saved her from the injury (L3). Therefore, although she has got a reason to claim against VFF because the injury happened as a result of being asked to complete a task she did not feel confident with (CONT), it could be argued her claim may only be marginally successful given that the injury could have been avoided if she had followed the specific instructions to wear the protective gloves by Frazer (L4).		Non-contextual answer max Level 1. Please consider including the following where context is required: L2: Must contain more context than name-dropping e.g. Farm, sheep, clippers.
2	а		Indicative content: • a management function (1) to minimise negative consequences of an action (1). Exemplar response: E.g. the distinct management function (1) which needs to be integrated into a business, to minimise exposure to possible negative consequences of a particular course of action (1). E.g. the management function (1) in a business to minimise any negative consequences of an action (1).	2	AO1: 2 Up to two marks No context required. Do not accept answers which only give an example.
2	b	i	Indicative content: Iook for/identify hazards/risks decide who might be harmed and how evaluate the risks	6	AO1: 3 AO2: 3 One mark for each identification to a maximum of three, plus one mark for each of three developments.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	 record the findings/make recommendations review the assessment procedures Exemplar response: E.g. Look for/ identify hazards/risks (1) which means carrying out a thorough inspection of the farm (1). E.g. Decide who might be harmed and how (1) such as a visitor being hurt by the moving tractor parts (1). E.g. Record the findings/make recommendations (1) such as suggesting that visitors wear protective clothing (1). 		'Stage' required to award marks. Do not award consequences. No context required.
2 b ii	Indicative content: • the hazards/risks are graded to help prioritise the most dangerous • manage/reduce/prevent risk • procedures could be put in place in order to avoid the likelihood of risks occurring • accidents could decrease • avoidance of future fines/legal action • long term costs may decrease • profits may increase • reduction in absence/sickness rates • increased motivation of employees • increased reputation • to meet legal requirements. Exemplar response: E.g. Increased motivation (1) as employees feel safer (1).	4	AO1: 2 AO2: 2 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further one mark for each of two explanations. Do not award repetition of the stages of the risk assessment e.g. hazards/risks are actively sought.

C	Quest	ion	Answer	Mark	Guidance
			E.g. Reduction in the likelihood of accidents (1) as procedures could be put in place to avoid them (1).		
2	b	iii	Indicative content:	4	AO1: 2 AO2: 2
			 takes time to do effectively costs money to do effectively not all the hazards/risks may be identified effectiveness is dependent upon the level of human error/the employer accidents may still happen employees could still ignore the procedures in place to protect them. Exemplar response: E.g. Costs money to do in the short term (1) as Frazer would have to go all round the farm looking for every possible hazard/risk (1). E.g. Accidents may still happen (1) as Frazer may not have been effective in identifying all the risks/putting appropriate procedures in place (1). 		One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of two identifications, plus a further one mark for each of two explanations.
2	С		Indicative content:	4	AO1: 4
			Type of risk: Tractor and trailer tour: injury/broken bones/cuts/grazes from falling off the trailer injury/broken bones/cuts/grazes from rolling around on the trailer injury from moving tractor parts.		Up to four marks.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	Watching sheep dipping:		
2 d *	Indicative content: A health and safety adviser could: offer specialist knowledge and advice give an assessment of the levels of risk give advice on the appropriate measures/equipment to put in place give advice on appropriate training requirements. Possible drawbacks: it costs money to consult a health and safety advisor it takes time to find and consult a health and safety advisor a health and safety advisor cannot foresee the behaviours of employees or customers accidents can still happen despite putting measures in place even after advice is given, it does not have to be implemented	14	Levels of response Level 4 (10-14 marks) Candidate recommends and justifies whether or not Frazer should appoint a health and safety adviser. Level 3 (6-9 marks) Candidate analyses the implications of Frazer hiring a health and safety adviser. Level 2 (3-5 marks) Candidate applies knowledge and understanding of the implications of Frazer hiring a health and safety adviser. Level 1 (1-2 marks) Candidate identifies the implications of hiring a health and safety adviser with no use of context. Please indicate each time a candidate achieves a particular level as this will help you allocate marks within that level. Context should be annotated every time L2/L4 is awarded

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	Frazer has been running the farm for a while, so he		with the 'CONT' annotation.
	should be able to identify risks/hazards and know how		
	to deal with them.		Non-contextual answer max Level 1.
	Exemplar response:		Please consider including the following where context is required:
	A health and safety adviser can give appropriate guidance		
	to businesses on any necessary health and safety		L2: Must contain more context than name-dropping e.g.
	changes which may need to be implemented (L1). In this		Farm, sheep dip chemicals, tractor, fork lift truck, bales of
	instance, Frazer needs to make immediate health and		hay, financial difficulty, decreased demand, declining lamb
	safety changes in the light of the HSE Inspector's report		sales.
	(CONT). Although Frazer has some knowledge of the		
	health and safety issues concerning the farm, a health and		
	safety adviser would be able to assess the risks for both		
	employees and visitors more accurately than him and		
	suggest more appropriate measures to avoid them such as		
	advising appropriate screens and clothing for visitors if		
	they are watching the sheep dip (L2) . Therefore, it is more		
	likely that Frazer would meet the recommendations put		
	forward by the HSE Inspector and less likely that accidents		
	would happen on the premises (L3). However, affordability		
	seems to be an issue given the threat of legal action by		
	Chelsea and the declining sales in lamb, the appointment		
	of a health and safety adviser may not be a good idea at		
	this time (L3) . However, it is a question of whether Frazer		
	wishes to undertake the necessary immediate changes by		
	himself to save on cost and risk facing having accidents		
	later because he has not done it properly which could lead		
	to the failure of the business (L3). Overall, although the		
	farm is struggling financially at the moment (CONT) it		
	would be worthwhile for Frazer to appoint a health and		
	safety adviser during this time, as they could help him		
	save any unwelcome additional costs and avoid possible		
	closure later on by giving him the best possible health and		
	safety advice. Furthermore, the farm could be a much		

(Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
		safer and therefore enticing place to visit, which in turn would improve the business' reputation with visitors and hence make it more successful in the long term (L4) .		
3	а	 everything that is reasonably practical is done to maintain standards of health and safety in the workplace responsibility of all stakeholders in the business. Exemplar response: E.g. Everything practical must be done to maintain a safe workplace (1) and it is the responsibility of all the stakeholders (1). E.g. Everything must be done to maintain a safe working environment (1) such as the employers adhering to all the health and safety laws (1). 	2	AO1: 2 Up to two marks. Award examples as additional mark only.
3	b	Use levels of response criteria. Indicative content: Benefits: problems/risks are actively sought fall-back position is established likely problem(s)/risk(s) can be identified and dealt with aids the business in being able to continue in the event of a problem saves the business unnecessary costs like shutting the business down in the event of a problem.	14	Levels of response Level 4 (10-14 marks) Candidate assesses the extent to which the adoption of contingency planning might help Frazer to avoid any future problems in both the setting up and running of VCW. Level 3 (6-9 marks) Candidate analyses how the adoption of contingency planning might help Frazer to avoid any future problems in both the setting up and running of VCW.

Question	Answer	Mark	Guidance
	 Problems: unforeseen events can still occur additional costs in time and resources identified problem(s)/risk(s) may be outside the business' control can be expensive to establish the owners have limited knowledge of the tourism market. Exemplar response: Contingency planning offers a fall-back alternative in the event of changes affecting the achievement of the strategic plan (L1). Contingency planning will offer benefits to Frazer as the formal planning process will aid in identifying potential problems likely to occur in the new venture such as replacing a worker if they injure themselves whilst overseeing an activity such as sheep racing (CONT)(L2). As risks are actively sought these areas can be highlighted, increasing awareness and therefore avoidance (L3). However, although there are clear benefits from the prediction of foreseeable events like potential accidents with the new activities such as handling lamas, unexpected circumstances may still not be dealt with by a contingency planning process (L3). Overall, although the adoption of a contingency plan may show potential lenders to VCW that Frazer and Debi have actively sought to identify potential problems in the setting up and running of the new venture and how to deal with them if they do occur, the extent to which it would be successful may be minimal given that both Frazer and Debi have a limited knowledge of the tourism market and therefore may not accurately identify the potential problems (CONT) (L4). 		Level 2 (3-5 marks) Candidate applies knowledge and understanding of how the adoption of contingency planning might help Frazer to avoid any future problems in both the setting up and running of VCW. Level 1 (1-2 marks) Candidate identifies features of contingency planning with no use of context. Please indicate each time a candidate achieves a particular level as this will help you allocate marks within that level. Context should be annotated every time L2/L4 is awarded with the 'CONT' annotation. Non-contextual answer max Level 1. Please consider including the following where context is required: L2: Must contain more context than name-dropping e.g. Farm, country park, farm attractions, financial difficulty, decreased demand.

C	uestion	Answer	Mark	Guidance
4	а	Indicative content:	2	AO1: 2
		 employees only carry out activities in their contract employees do not carry out additional tasks 		Up to two marks.
		 employees follow rules to the letter employees follow the contract to the extreme 		No context required.
		 employees follow the contract to the extreme employees do the minimum required employees withdraw goodwill employees not flexible. 		Do not accept answers which only give an example.
		Exemplar response:		
		E.g. A form of industrial action in which employees will only carry out the work which is outlined in their contract, (1) they will not undertake any extra tasks (1).		
		E.g. This is where employees only do exactly what is required of them by their contract (1) and withdraw any additional goodwill (1).		
4	b	Indicative content:	6	AO1: 2 AO2: 4
		employees are inflexiblestaffing levels declinelower motivation		One mark for each identification to a maximum of two, plus up to two marks for each of two explanations.
		damage industrial relationshipsquality of service is reduced		Do not award for repetition.
		productivity is reduced		Award 1 mark for identification plus:
		decline in demanddifficulty in meeting increases in demand		2 marks for a contextual explanation. 1 mark for a non-contextual explanation.
		 bad reputation decline in customer satisfaction difficulty in recruiting new employees increased costs decreased profits. 		Do not award: quicker/slower (as this relates to a go slow) extra hours/contracted hours (as this relates to an overtime ban) fewer/no employees (as this relates to a strike).

Question		Answer	Mark	Guidance
		Exemplar responses: E.g. VCW may find that they have a decrease in customer satisfaction (1). This is because in the event of unexpected increases in demand the quality of service on the farm (CONT) may go down (2). E.g. VCW may find that its profit decreases (1) as productivity has fallen (1).		
4	C	Indicative content: • strike/picketing • sit-in • go-slow • overtime ban. Exemplar response: E.g. Strike (1) this is where the employees of VCW would refuse to turn up to work (1). E.g. Go-slow (1) this is where the employees of VCW would carry out their duties but at a much slower rate (1). E.g. Overtime ban (1) this is where the employees of VCW would turn up to work and fulfil their duties but outside of their contract they would refuse to work any extra hours (1).	6	AO1: 3 AO2: 3 One mark for each correct identification up to a maximum of three identifications, plus a further one mark for each of three explanations. Do not award for repetition.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge **CB1 2EU**

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 **OCR** is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)

Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



