

# Examiners' Report/ Principal Moderator Feedback

## Summer 2010

GCE

### GCE Applied Business Coursework (6926) Paper 01

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at [www.edexcel.com](http://www.edexcel.com).

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask the Expert email service helpful.

Ask the Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Alternatively, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated Business and Economics telephone line: 0844 372 2187

Summer 2010

Publications Code UA023441

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

## PM report on unit 6926/01

### General Comments

From the work seen it would appear that candidates have a much better understanding of the issues of business finance than in previous submissions. On the whole, as indicated below, assessment tended to be accurate where the unit was well understood but on the lenient side when this was not the case.

### Areas of the Specification

Clear tutor guidance again appeared to be a key factor with respect to some of the potentially complex aspects of this unit. Where there has been clear guidance candidates tended to achieve higher marks through the demonstration of their understanding and knowledge.

Strands c and d require candidates to work from scenarios provided by the centre. It is helpful to the moderation process if these scenarios are included. Those centres that did do this tended to have provided well written, clear scenarios enabling candidates to achieve higher marks bands.

(a) The choice of a suitable business again enhanced the candidates' ability to distinguish between short and long term finance options. Results were highly dependent on the choice of organisation for investigation. The organisation selected must be an existing organisation. One centre used fictional organisations and although candidates were able to demonstrate their knowledge and understanding about sources of finance and uses, their marks were limited to mark band one/bottom mark band two because their criteria requires the candidate to investigate an existing business. There was some excellent work when appropriate and comprehensive information was available from a well-selected business, often a SME rather than the much larger concerns. Where candidates included the published accounts of the Plcs and referred to them to demonstrate the types of finance being actually used, they were able to provide much better evidence to achieve marks in mark bands 2 and 3.

Classification into internal and external sources and long-term versus short-term is a suitable basis for analysis but was not always understood or used. Many of the weaker candidates still include sources of finance that are inappropriate for the type of business and the work tends to be very theoretical with very little application. Better candidates' work addressed issues of risk and return in relation to the choice of finance.

(b) In cases where clear understanding of working capital and financial ratios was demonstrated and candidates were able to apply these in context then an understanding of the nature and implications of the form of ownership of the chosen business became more apparent. Candidates' work was sometimes more focused than in (a) and financial information seemed more readily available. It helped if, at the outset, candidates were able to clearly demonstrate an understanding of "working capital" and then apply this in context. In the weaker work there was often much evidence of copied diagrams of working capital and lots of theory on working capital management but little application to the organisation.

Again, as in (a), the inclusion and reference to the published accounts gives the candidate the opportunity to demonstrate their understanding of the figures used in the ratio calculations and analysis.

It is also important that when calculating the key ratios, candidates show their calculations in full, rather than just include the answers. By doing so, candidates can demonstrate their ability to provide accurate calculations.

(c) Where suitable scenarios or cases were chosen then, as in previous series, better candidates demonstrated awareness of different appraisal techniques and were able to reach reasoned conclusions based on application of these. At the lower end, candidates struggled to show much understanding of these techniques at all and thus had great difficulties in making use of them.

There is still evidence that some centres are still using the scenario from The Teacher's Guide, despite repeated requests that this is not appropriate as it is in the public domain and available to candidates, thus potentially negating the work as their own.

Centres that used their own simulation for theme (c) usually wrote an appropriate scenario that gave candidates the opportunity to use the three main methods of investment appraisal. Sensitivity analysis is a suitable area for consideration but, again, was not always presented, and evidence of conflicts and problems was limited. Stronger pieces of work calculated and analysed IRR as well as DCF/NPV with payback often used as well.

(d) This continues to be a difficult strand with some candidates still approaching it from a personal investment point of view rather than business to business. As long as the candidate considers business investment rather than bank/building society savings schemes, this approach can still be valid as it gives the candidate the opportunity to fully analyse the ratios and draw conclusions from the results.

As in strand b, candidates are required to show accurate calculations. Where candidates did not provide the formulae used to calculate the key ratios, marks could not be awarded.

### **Annotation of Portfolio Work**

Annotation of the work still varies from indicating fully where the criteria has been met, to being very limited with little more than the final mark given. Annotation is best indicated via the Mark Band achieved and the area of specification met, eg MB1a indicates strand (a) has met Mark Band 1, rather than trying to annotate via the Assessment Objectives (AO's) as these are spread throughout the unit's strands.

Where there is more than one assessor it is vital that there is evidence of standardisation and the work is internally verified.

## Comments on Administrative Procedures

Portfolios were largely received on time. Administration was generally good. Statements of authentication were present in most of the samples moderated for this series.

Assessment was generally consistent with some evidence of leniency, especially in the work of weaker candidates.

More centres are submitting work suitably presented enabling easy access for moderation. However, some centres are still sending work in tightly packed, flimsy plastic wallets that often tear when trying to access the work for moderation.

## Grade Boundaries - June 2010

6926	Total	A*	A	B	C	D	E
Raw Mark	60	54	49	43	37	31	26
UMS	100	90	80	70	60	50	40

Further copies of this publication are available from  
Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467  
Fax 01623 450481

Email [publications@linneydirect.com](mailto:publications@linneydirect.com)

Order Code UA023441 Summer 2010

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit [www.edexcel.com/quals](http://www.edexcel.com/quals)

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750  
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH