



Examiners' Report June 2016

IAL Accounting WAC11 01

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2016

Publications Code AC11_01_1606_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2016

Introduction

This was the first offering of the New Specification IAL Accounting syllabus.

Candidates coped well with the new expanded evaluation sections of each question and it was noticeable that centres had taken notice of the examiners advice through the numerous training sessions undertaken. Candidates generally considered a range of options for and against but reached a reasoned conclusion to the scenarios set.

There were considerably more strengths than weaknesses in candidates responses, but areas where further work might be undertaken to facilitate improvement were:

The double entry for non-current assets and provisions for depreciation as seen in question 4 still causes candidates difficulty. It was also noted that the narrations for balance b/d or balance c/d were sometimes inappropriately abbreviated. The examiners will not accept b/d or c/d as the only narrative, therefore a few candidates lost a considerable number of marks. This point has been highlighted on many previous examiners reports and had improved, but in this examination there was some deterioration

Candidates are still not familiar with the meaning of the categories of cost and in particular the difference between semi-fixed and semi-variable cost. Candidates are also uncertain when preparing a cost of manufacture or job quotation showing the total cost of manufacture and the cost per unit. It is common place for candidates to omit either the direct labour cost or overhead cost.

This report continues to raise points on each of the six questions together with examples of candidates responses. The responses have been selected as typical responses which will demonstrate various points and also enable centres to see how the range of marks was awarded.

The question was well answered by most candidates who prepared accurate income statements and partnership current accounts. There were no common errors, but when an error did occur it was generally the calculation of the interest on the loan from Azlina or the depreciation on the non-current assets.

The financial position statement was prepared in good format and accurately. The only common error was to record the loan from Azlina in the non-current assets, although this loan had to be repaid within the year.

The identification of the proposed expenditures as capital expenditure or revenue expenditure was generally accurate. There was not any one particular expenditure which caused a large number of candidates difficulty.

The evaluation was generally well addressed. A wide range of arguments were proposed for and against the expenditure proposal with a conclusion reached.

1 (a) Prepare for the partnership the:

(i) Statement of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income (including an appropriation section) for the year ended 31 March 2016

(18)Azling and Siti Statement of profit comprehensive income and apportionment account for the year ended £ Revenue 11 7 300 (-) Cost of sales: 19500 Opening inventory 54 000 + Purchases 73 500 c-> Returns outwards (1700) 71800 (13800) (58 000) C-) Closing inventory Gross profit 59 300 + Incomes: 900 Commission receivables 60 200 (-) Expenses: Depreciation on delivery vehicles 900 Depreciation of fixtures and fittings 1400 (14000x10%) 8% Lean interest on loan from Azlina 1600 2000 5% Bank loan interest.

| | £ | £ | £ |
|--|---|---|---|
| Rates (4750-250) | | 4500 | |
| Wages and salaries (24500-10000) | | 14500 | *************************************** |
| Electricity and water (8150+600) | | 8750 | |
| Sundry expenses | | 10300 | |
| Increase in (provision) for doubtful del | *************************************** | | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| (300-180) | | 120 | (44070) |
| Profit for the year | | | 16130 |
| c-) Interest on capital: | | *************************************** | |
| Azlina | | 2500 | |
| siti | *************************************** | 1250 | (3150) |
| C-) Salaries: Azlina | *************************************** | 5000 | |
| S:+1 | -4441111111 | 5000 | (10 000) |
| | *************************************** | | 2 380 |
| Share of profit: Azling | *************************************** | 1190 | *************************************** |
| Si tia | | 11 90 | 2380 (# 9 0) |
| | | | 0 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | *************************************** | 984444 |
| | *************************************** | *************************************** | |
| | | *************************************** | |
| | | ************************************** | |
| | | *************************************** | |
| | *************************************** | | |
| *************************************** | | | |

(ii) Current accounts of the partners for the year ended 31 March 2016

10290 (6)

Current alc

| | | | prial | | |
|-------------|----------|---|---------------------|---|------|
| | Azlina £ | S;+; £ | | Azlina £ | SHE |
| Balance bld | 400 | | Balance b/d | *************************************** | 200 |
| Salaries | 5000 | 5000 | Share of profit | 1190 | 1190 |
| Drawings | 4000 | 1500 | Interest on capital | 2500 | 1250 |
| | | | Interest on loan | 1600 | - |
| | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Salaries | 5000 | 5000 |
| Balancecld | 890 | 1140 | | | , |
| | | | | | |
| | 10290 | 7640 | | 10290 | 7640 |
| | | | Balance Ud | 890 | 1140 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ppp | | | | .,,, |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 4441111116644444111116688444111 | |

Azlina and siti

| Azlına | and SITI | h-h4-4441111refrarasrasrasrasiiiiiresssssss | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Statement of financial position as a | 14 \$315+ M | arch 2016 | |
| | Cost E | Depreciation E | Net Book Valu |
| Non-current akek: | | | |
| Freehold premises | 128000 | | 128 000 |
| Delivery vehicles | 12600 | (9300) | 2700 |
| Fixtures and fittings | 14 000 | (7000) | 7000 |
| | 154000 | (16300) | 137700 |
| Current assets: | | | *************************************** |
| Inventory | | 13 800 | *************************************** |
| Trade receivables | 7500 | | *************************************** |
| C-) Provision for doubtful debts | (300) | 7200 | *************************************** |
| Other receivables: Rates prepaid | | 250 | 21250 |
| Total Assets | | | 128 920 |
| Equity & liabilities: | | | |
| Capital alc : Azlina | (1) | 50 000 | |
| 11:2 | | 25000 | 75 000 |
| Currentale: Azlina | | 890 | *************************************** |
| S!+; | | 1140 | 7030 |
| | | *************************************** | 72970 |
| Non-current Liabilities: | | | |
| Loan from Azling | | 20 000 | |
| Loan from Bank | | 40000 | 6 0 000 |

| | | | 1 |
|---|---|--|--------------|
| Current liabilities: | | | |
| Trade payables | **!!!!! | 9800 | |
| | | 600 | |
| Other payabus: Electricity owing Bank overdraft Azlina | | 9820 | 19920 |
| Total Liabilities | | | listerio |
| 8% Loan interest from Azina acrued | -44411111111111111111111111111111111111 | 1600 | 182 |
| 5% Bantloan interest | *************************************** | 2000 | 23520 |
| Bank | 381111111111111111111111111111111111111 | | losteras |
| Bank Boverdraft | | 9520 | 33040 |
| Total liabilities | | | 166010 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 84441111111111111111111111111111111111 | |
| Cupita | 1 a/c | | |
| Azijna Sjiti | | | Azlinal Siti |
| Loan | Balan | ce bld i | 50 000 250 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| (b) State whether each of the proposals in the source booklet is capital experience or revenue expenditure. | enditure |
|---|--|
| of revenue experianture. | (5) |
| 1 Capital and dive | |
| 1 Capital expenditure | |
| | |
| | |
| | *************************************** |
| | *************************************** |
| 2 Revenue expenditure | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | *************************************** |
| 3 Revenu expenditure | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 4 Revenue expenditure | *************************************** |
| • | |
| | *************************************** |
| | bb-r-411-4444411111111111111111111111111 |
| | |
| | *************************************** |
| 5 Capital expenditure | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For expansion: When Azlina and Citi expand their business. |
|--|
| thir is a higher chance of gaining more & sales |
| which would increase profit. When profit increases they |
| will both enjoy a higher amount of profit. |
| Secondly, when try expand, try are likely |
| to gain a better reputation. This will attract more |
| customers and there is a chance of greater customer |
| loyalty as well. |
| Thirdly, it would attract others te |
| invest in thire partnerships Thy may admit another |
| partner which would increase the resources of the |
| business and skills as well. |
| Against: However, it would be extremely costly |
| to expand. It would take a lot of time to cover |
| the expenses / costs of expansion. So, losses might |
| be made. |
| Moreover, they will have to take out |
| a loan again which means interest will have to |
| Le paid and this will lead to out flow of cash from the business |
| furthermore, they may not be able to maintain |
| the class relationship with the customers. The quality might not |
| be maintained and this could lead to loss of customers. |
| |
| Sales and Profits. So, it could be good for the partnership (Total for Question 1 = 55 marks) |
| |



This candidates work was well received by the examiners. The income statement is accurate, with the correct structure, and arrived at the correct residue of profit. Therefore, the full 18 marks were awarded for this section.

The current accounts were complete and accurate so the full 6 marks were awarded for this section.

The financial position statement was correct with the exception that the loan from Azlina was recorded as a non-current asset. Therefore 13 marks were awarded.

The expeditures were all correctly identified as capital or revenue so all 5 marks were awarded.

The evaluation considered some positive points particularly improvements in reputation which would bring more customers and investors. Negative points were also addressed, the cost and the need for more loans. A conclusion was provided but the rationale for that conclusion was limited. The candidate was awarded 10 marks of the 12 marks available.

The manufacturing account was generally well presented and accurately calculated. The most common error was the omission of the headings, cost of raw materials consumed, production cost, profit on manufacture and transfer to trading account.

The provision for unrealised profit on manufactured goods was often not attempted and there were few correct answers. However, responses to the manufacturing wages account were of a far better standard and there were many correct answers.

Identification of the accounting concepts which would be breached acted as a discriminator between candidates. Most candidates identified money measurement for Proposal 1 and many identified business entity for Proposal 4. The remaining proposals received a full range of answers – many of them incorrect. Where the correct concept was identified, the application to the scenario set was good.

The evaluation was generally good, candidates were aware of international accounting standards their points for and their limitations.

2 (a) Prepare, for the year ended 30 April 2016, the:

(i) Manufacturing Account

| (I) Manufacturing Account | | (21) |
|--|---|--------|
| Manufacturing Account | £ | £ |
| Direct materials | | |
| Opening inventory | 23400 | |
| (4) Purchases | | |
| (+) Carriage inwards | 8450 | |
| (-) Closing inventory | (16950) | 4 |
| Cost of materials consumed | | |
| Direct expenses | | |
| Manufacturing wage (81400+2600) | 84000 | |
| Factory consumables | 35300 | 119300 |
| Prime Cost | | 232000 |
| Factory Overhead | | |
| Production management salary | 59500 | |
| Provision for depreciation: | *************************************** | |
| Manufacturing equipment (280000-160000 >20%) | 240090 | |
| Computing equipment (150000-90000 x 30% x 60%) | 10800 | |
| Computing technician mages (40000x60%) | 24000 | |
| Factory consumables | 9900 | |
| Rent and rates (16000×75%) | 12000 | |
| Electricity and maker charges (15600x754) | 11700 H250 | |
| General expenses (21000 x 1/2) | 10500 | |
| Property maintenence expenses | 9600 | 172000 |
| · J | | 404000 |

| | £ | £ |
|---|-----|----------|
| Add Opening inventory of work in progress | | 52000 |
| | | 456000 |
| ess Closing inventory of work in progress | | (58000) |
| Cost of production | : | 398000 |
| Transfer profit | | 79603 |
| Transfer price | 1 | 477600 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · . | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| >>>>>a | | |
| | | |
| | | <u> </u> |

| <i>f</i> | Balance b/d | £ |
|---|--|---|
| | Balance HIA | |
| | MANA MANAGEMENT MANAGE | 12000 |
| >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> | Income statement | 67600 |
| 79600 | | |
| 19600 | | 79600 |
| | Balana bld | 79630 |
| | | |
| | | |
| Magas Assount | | |
| wages Account. | | (5) |
| £ | | £ |
| | | |
| 81400 | Manufacturing account | 84000 |
| 2600 | | |
| 8 4000 | | 84000 |
| | Rain Fill | 2600 |
| *************************************** | Palance | 7,000 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 796 OJ Wages Account. | Nages Account. \$ 1400 Manufacturing account |

| would not be complied with if each of the proposals 1, 2, 3 and 4 were introduced. (12) |
|--|
| Proposal 1 |
| Money measurement concept |
| Because it says only transactions which can be expressed |
| in monetery terms can be shown in the book. We cannot |
| value the skill of the & workforce. |
| |
| Proposal 2 Historical cost conapt. It says non-current assets should be recorded on the price it was purchased, not the market produce concept. value. |
| This concept says not to over-state or under-state |
| the profit If unrealised are not shown in books, profit |
| calculation 1) distorted, it may give a lower value or a |
| higher raine for fross. |
| Proposal 3 |
| Pruchece concept. |
| It says not to over state or under state profit If |
| unrealised profits are not shown, the profit calculation is |
| distorted. It may or give a lower or a higher value for profit. |
| Proposal 4 |
| Accounting Entity. The transactions of the owner must be |
| kept separated from business transactions, hence cannot be shown in |
| the Statement of profit or 10>>. |
| |
| hoing concern. The business will continue for a foresceble future, so |
| only costs for that accounting period can be charged. So, the value of non-current asset has to be divided among its useful life. |
| S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S |

(b) State, giving reasons for your answer, an accounting principle or concept that

| (c) Evaluate the use of International Accounting Standards (IAS) in the preparation of financial statements. |
|---|
| (12) |
| International accounting standard are the accounting |
| rules accepted all over the world. |
| Advantages: It will give a more realastic and accurate |
| calculation of the financial accounts So, the accounts |
| can be easily relied upon. |
| And, it will be possible to compare the accounts of |
| businesses in different countries as they use the same |
| standards for calculation Comparison can be made between |
| the previous years accounts of the same bosiness too. |
| Moreover, Il is important to follow the 1AS for legal |
| requirements like when paying tax. And banks & will |
| accepts Sinancial statements prepared according to it. |
| Disadvantage |
| Expertise is needed to prepare accounts according to |
| the IAS So, either the owner has to gain knowledge er |
| he has to get the help from a professional accountant which |
| cox, money and time. |
| And it is complicated Preparing financial statements |
| according to IAS standards is not easy. |
| Conclusion: |
| It is better to follow IAS when preparing financial |
| statements when preparts for legal requirements, and for |
| better compariston. (Total for Question 2 = 55 marks) |



This candidate's response was excellent. The manufacturing account is accurate and correctly labelled so the full 21 marks were awarded.

The provision for unrealised profit on manufactured goods account was presented in the correct format but the calculation of the adjusting figure was incorrect so 4 marks only were awarded. The manufacturing wages account was correct, therefore the full 5 marks were awarded.

The candidate correctly identified the correct concept in Proposals 1, 2 and 4 and there was some explanation. Therefore 2 marks were awarded for each concept making 6 marks in total.

The evaluation raised a number of favourable points, accuracy of profit, acceptance by financial institutions, valid comparison of results. The point against was the expertise required to facilitate the use. The conclusion was deduced from the evidence and some rationale for that conclusion was made from the evidence presented, but this could have been stronger. The examiners awarded 11 marks of the 12 marks available.

(c) Evaluate the use of International Accounting Standards (IAS) in the preparation of financial statements.

(12)

| Infavour of use of 1As |
|--|
| * It helps to get the accurate figures of profit and financial |
| pos ition. |
| * Could compare with other similar types of businesses. |
| |
| Against use of IAS |
| * It requires a certain level of a knowledge to understandil. |
| * An accountant may have to be hired to prepare the financial |
| statements, so it increases the costs |
| |



This example is typical of many candidates work seen by examiners.

The answer is in bullet point format and this is quite acceptable and we do not discourage this. Financial information provided to stakeholders will quite often be best presented in bullet point format.

However, even though in bullet points there is still the requirement for the candidate to firstly, adequately explain the point being made. Secondly, there is still the requirement for a conclusion together with a rationale for that conclusion.

Here the candidate does make the positive points about accuracy of results and the ability to compare results. On the negative side the cost of an accountant is required to implement.

The answer provides basic points without any development. There is also no conclusion to the evaluation. The examiners therefore awarded 6 marks for a limited response.

This was an addition to the specification requiring the candidate to project forward using given information.

The question was generally well answered by candidates. Parts (a) and (b) were accurate and formed a firm basis for the remainder of the question .

In part (c) the calculation of the revenue, depreciation and interest on loan were the most common errors of calculation.

Part (d) was answered well using the own figures from the previous sections.

3 (a) Prepare the Statement of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income for the

Evaluations tended to focus on the financial calculations without any consideration of non-financial factors relating to the move such as the increased profile or customer base attracted by the increased inventory base.

| year ended 30 April 2016. | | (5) |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Revenue | | 90000 |
| cost of sales | | |
| purcha ses 60000 | | |
| closing inventory (6000) | | |
| opening inventory 4000 | | (5 9 00) |
| GrossProfit | | 32000 |
| other expenses | | |
| Rental of markets to | u 7200 | |
| wages | 12000 | |
| General expenses | | |
| interest on Lan | 400 | |
| Depreciation | 800 | |
| | | (2890) (28400) |
| Notera fit | | 3600 |

|) Calculate the: | | |
|--|---|---|
| (i) rate of inventory turnover | | (2) |
| Cost of sales | | (3) |
| average stock | , | ******************** |
| | ······································ | |
| 58000 - 11.6 times | | *************************************** |
| 5000 | | *************************************** |
| | | *************************************** |
| - | | |
| | | ****** |
| | *************************************** | |
| | *************************************** | |
| (ii) net profit for the year as a percentage of revenue. | | (2) |
| 3600_ X100 - 41/. | | (3) |
| 90000 | 44411111111111111111111111111111111111 | |
| | | ******************** |
| | | |
| | | *************************************** |
| | | |
| | | 444441111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| (c) Prepare the Forecast Statement of Profit or Lo Income for the first year of trading in the shop | oss and Other Comprehensive o ending 30 April 2017. (10) |
|--|--|
| Revenue | 119990 |
| Costofsales | |
| opening inventory 6000 | |
| Purchases 78000 | |
| closing inventory (12000) | |
| Total cost of sales | (72000) |
| Gross Profit | 47880 |
| other expenses | |
| wages and General expenses 2500 | 0 |
| Rent 1820c | |
| Depreciation 6000 | |
| interest onlogn 2000 | ţ · |
| Total expenses | (51200) |
| Net Poss | C 3320) |
| (d) Calculate the forecast net profit for the year a | |
| 3320 x loo = 0.027:1- 119980 | |
| | i i |

in Crease and Cost of Sales become very
high which will cause the Profitto below
and at so Stock turn over become lower



The answer to part (a) was correct and all 5 marks were awarded.

Part (b) was also correct with both ratios correctly calculated and with appropriate narratives, times and %. 6 marks were awarded.

In part (c) the revenue was incorrectly calculated and therefore the mark was lost. The depreciation was also incorrectly calculated resulting in the loss of one of the marks. 8 marks were awarded for this part of the question .

In part (d) the profit for the year was not the correct own figure from part (c) and the resulting answer was incorrect because the decimal point was incorrectly placed. Therefore only 1 mark was awarded for this part of the question .

The evaluation was very limited and only really considered one side of the argument. A reduced profit on the own figure rule and the higher expenses are valid points. However, with only one side considered without a genuine conclusion only 2 marks were awarded.

This is one topic that some candidates are less comfortable in addressing, particularly the preparation of ledger accounts.

In part (a), candidates were aware of why depreciation should be charged. Candidates tended to focus upon a single point and not consider a number of aspects.

In part (b) candidates were generally able to calculate the depreciation for the year.

Part (c) acted as a discriminator between candidates, some of whom were able to prepare both ledger accounts and were awarded full or near to full marks. Other candidates scored few marks demonstrating a lack of underpinning knowledge including arriving at a debit balance on the provision account and credit balance on the asset account. There were a minority of candidates who used inappropriate narratives for balancing and were penalised.

Part (d) was not well prepared, considering that it was no more then what would be completed in any set of financial statements such as in question 1. In particular, many candidates only recorded the depreciation for the year and not the accumulated depreciation.

In part (e) the question was really asking whether it was appropriate to charge a small percentage of depreciation using the straight line method for a rapidly depreciating asset such as computers. Many candidates failed to consider the appropriateness of the method or the small percentage of the depreciation.

| 4 | (a) Explain why Jabir needs to charge depreciation on his non-current assets. | (4) |
|--|--|---|
| | Jabir needs to charge depreciation to as H will no | + allow |
| | the net profit to overcast. And he is following the | |
| , | Concept as well as historical Cost concept- Moreover | |
| | charged mems he will be having more accurate, | Ine and |
| | fair view of non-current assets on his statement | o# |
| | financial position | |
| | | *************************************** |
| **** | (b) Calculate the depreciation to be charged on the computers for the year and d | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| | (b) Calculate the depreciation to be charged on the computers for the year ended 30 April 2016. | |
| | | (2) |
| | 30000 + 10000 - 5000 | |
| | | ····· |
| 1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (-1 (- | Col of complex 35000 | |
| | Depreciation = 35000 × 20 | |
| | ≥ £ 7000 | |
| | 2 - 7-00 | |

(c) Prepare, for the year ended 30 April 2016, the:

(i) Computers Account

(5)

(ii) Computers - Provision for Depreciation Account.

(5)

| ر، | | Computers alc £ | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|---|--|-------|
| 1/5/19 | balance | 41 | 30000 | 1 | Disposal | | 5000 |
| 30/4/16 | Bunk | statement | 10000 | 30/4/16 | balance | c/d | 35000 |
| ++bbbbbbdd884444441111+++++ | | | | | *************************************** | ************************************** | |
| | | | 40000 | | | | 42000 |
| 1/5/16 | balance | 660 | 35000 | | | | |

| | | Compters-Prov | | | ale: | | £ |
|---|---------------------|---|--------|---------|---------|---|-------------------|
| 30/4/16 | Balance Disposal | (50000 24/. H. | 2) 500 | 1/5/15 | 6q/an | ce 610 | 9 Lee |
| 30/4/16 | balanco | cld | 15700 | 30/4/16 | Income | statement | (10000X20)/)2000 |
| | | | | 30/4/16 | Income | | (3000 × 20/.) 500 |
| *************************************** | | 144411111111111111111111111111111111111 | 16200 | | | *************************************** | 1700 |
| *************************************** | | | = | 30/4/16 | balance | | +6700 |

(d) Complete the extract from the Statement of Financial Position at 30 April 2016 for the non-current assets.

(8)

| Non-current assets | Cost | Accumulated depreciation | Carrying value | |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|
| | £ | £ | £ | |
| Land and buildings | \$ 5000 | 1000 | 84000 350 | |
| Computers | 35000 | 7000 | 28000 | |
| Fixtures and fittings | 11000 | 1100 | 9900 | |
| Total | 131000 | 9100 | 121900 | |

| Infavour of depredation policy |
|---|
| - Same - Computers are used for the same thing each year |
| so straight line would be better - Same amount each year means easy to calculate. - It can be used in the business for a longer period |
| of the . So straight line will spread the deprecation |
| within the life of the asset. |
| - Small amount to be charge every year which increases not prof |
| Against depreciation policy |
| - Overstated net profit aswell as Statement of financial |
| - Overstated net profit aswell as Statement of financial position would be having becomes information a resulting |
| - Computer should would be depree Cosing a hope part |
| of its value during the initial years. But in straight |
| I me to it would be reduced. So market value will be |
| overstated. |
| - Tax authorities asuell as banks would not accept |
| If strate computers are depreciated on straight line nethol |
| In statement of forman financial positions |
| Conclusion |
| As it overstates the net profit and it allows maccorde |
| reformation if depreciation a calculated in straight line method |
| A would be better to follow reducing belance method |
| to calculate computers depreciation. |
| |



In part (a) of the question the candidate raises the points of not overstating profits and the value of the asset in the statement of financial position. Therefore 2 marks were awarded.

In part (b) 1 mark was awarded for the calculation of £7000.

Part (c) is substantially correct, but the label income statement is used instead of Bank and the disposal in the Provision account was recorded as £500 instead of £2500. Therefore 8 marks were awarded in total.

In part (d) 4 marks were awarded, 3 marks in the cost column and 1 mark for the total carrying value.

The evaluation was awarded 4 marks of the 6 marks available. The substance of the question was whether the straight line percentage is appropriate for computers. The candidate did question this and concluded that it was probably not a good decision but the reasoning was not always clear.

Candidates are still unable to describe or explain the differences between different categories of cost.

The explanations for allocation and apportionment were more informed.

Part (c) was very well completed with most candidates achieving high marks.

Part (d) the quality of responses was variable. Often the candidate omitted the overheads or the direct labour. A minority of candidates calculated the cost of manufacture for 200 batches of 200 items. This would still have attracted most of the marks if the candidate had divided the total cost by 200 x 200 to arrive at the cost per component, but some failed to do this and arrived at circa £800 for a component which currently has a value of £5.

The evaluation generally included both financial and non-financial factors, and was well balanced with a clear conclusion.

| 5 | Explain the terms: | |
|--------|---|--------|
| | (a) (i) semi-fixed cost | (2) |
| | | (2) |
| ***** | semi-fixed cost are costs that are fixed to | |
| ***** | certain level and the may become vaniable | seyong |
| 444111 | that point or a certain level of output | ′ 0 |
| | | |
| 114111 | | |
| 781111 | | |
| | (ii) semi-variable cost. | (2) |
| | Semi-variable cost is a cost that is variable | |
| | | |
| .,.,. | a certain level and gets fixed after a the ce | rtem |
| +++++ | point or level of output | |
| | | |
| 111111 | | |
| ****** | /b) Eveloin the difference between allocated everboads and apportioned everboads | |
| | (b) Explain the difference between allocated overheads and apportioned overheads. | (4) |
| | Allocated overheads are costs that are allocated | ted |
| ***** | | |
| ***** | and will not be distributed further such as | |
| | cost of a Assembly department or a finishing depart | tment. |
| | But apportioned overheads are overheads which | |

| be appo | ortioned | 40 | produ | etron . | depa | stments | fro | m |
|---------|----------|-------|-------|---------|------|---------|-----|------|
| Service | departr | nents | m | order | to | charge | a | more |
| malata | e Value | to | consu | Merce | | | | |

(c) Calculate the:

(i) total overhead for **each** of the three departments

(7)

(ii) overhead recovery rate to be used in **each** of the Assembly and Finishing Departments.

(2)

| | | 11.5 | 5 | T |
|----------------------|---|-----------|-----------|---|
| <u> </u> | Basis | AssemblyI | Finishing | Admin £ |
| Allocation | *************************************** | | | >> >>> |
| Rent and Rates | 5:3:2 | 8000 | 48-00 | 3200 |
| employment mouremen | 23:14:8 | 4600 | 2800 | 1600 |
| Premises maintenance | 5:3:2 | 6000 | 3600 | 2400 |
| Management salaries | 2311418 | 9200 | 5600 | 3200 |
| | | 27800 | 16800 | 10400 |
| Apportionement | | | 11 | |
| Admin | 1:1 | 5200 | 5200 | |
| | | 33∞o | 22000 | |
| | | <u> </u> | ÷ | |
| Labour hours | | 9200 | 5600 | |
| Overhead absorbation | | £3.6/Lr | £3.9/hr | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | helester (| | | |
| | | | | *************************************** |

| | £ |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Raw materials | 303.70 |
| Frect Labour: Assembly = 30 x 5 | +08 150 |
| Finishing = 20 x 4 | 78 80 |
| Overhead: Assembly = 30×3.6 | 108 |
| Finishing = 20 x 3.9 | 7-8 |
| Job cost | 719.7 |
| C+) 15% profit | 107.9 |
| Job price | £827.6 |
| | |
| Price per part PNC3 | |
| = 827.6 200 | |
| = £4.14 | |

(e) Evaluate whether Hiruni should meet Wash the World's request to reduce her selling price for part PNC3.

(6)

from £5 to £4, then the demand for her products

will dec increase and therefore selec and profits

will increase as she reduces price for her largest contract.

But, as thirms to reduces the prices, her

profits will decrease as she still has costs which

must be paid and a decrease in the value of

seles will decrease her profits.

However thrums should not meet the request

of Wash the world as her profit per batch would

decrease from £1000 to £800.



Part (a) is typical of the explanations of the two costs. 0 marks were awarded.

In part (b) the candidate has some grasp of the two concepts but the explanation is not complete. 2 marks were awarded, one for each concept.

Part (c) was correct the full 9 marks were awarded for (i) and (ii).

Part (d) the answer was accurate and in good format and the full 7 marks were awarded.

Part (e) was awarded 5 marks. The negative of an impact on profit is stated but this is counterbalanced by increased demand. A conclusion is drawn which has some rationale.

Most candidates were able to identify four errors that would not be revealed by the trial balance.

Part (b) was a different test of double entry and candidates generally equipped themselves well. Some candidates failed to correctly calculate the depreciation to be released on the motor vehicle.

Part (c) candidates generally identified the impact of each error on the profit for the year. There were no common errors identified.

Part (d) was generally well answered, with many valid points made for and against the use of ICT. Candidates often omitted to provide a conclusion.

| 6 (a) State four types of error that will not affect the balancing of the books. | (4) |
|--|----------|
| a. (i) Error of commission | |
| (10) Error of principle | <i>*</i> |
| (iii) Reversal error | |
| (iv) Error of paramission omission | 13 |

(b) Prepare the journal entries to correct the errors (1) to (5) in the source booklet. Narratives are **not** required.

| | | | (12) |
|--------------------|------|------|----------------------|
| | Dr. | | 44441111111111111111 |
| Ruman | 1500 | | ······ |
| Sales | | 1500 | |
| Tricome statems | 900 | | |
| Stock | | 900 | |
| Motor while expen | 400 | | |
| Meta uchicle | | 400 | |
| Deprecation | 80 | | |
| IIIs trome shhowet | | 80 | |
| Surpeur | 4600 | | |
| Part recemble ' | | 4600 | |
| вигрен | 6100 | | |
| lage | | 6100 | |
| 0 | | | |

(c) Complete the table below, showing the revised profit for the year **after** the correction of all errors.

(8)

| | | | | £ |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Draft profit for the year | | | | |
| | | Increase Profit | Decrease Profit | |
| Error | | £ | £ | |
| (1) | The entries for a sale of goods to Ruwan, £750, had been reversed in the books | 1500 | | 73500 |
| (2) | Some goods had been shown in the closing inventory count at their retail value of £1 350. All goods are marked-up by 50% | | (900) | 72,600 |
| (3) | Motor vehicle expenses of £400 had been recorded in the Motor Vehicles Account. Depreciation of £80 had been charged wrongly in the draft financial statements | 80 | (400) | 72,280 |
| (4) | Rent receivable of £2 300 had been correctly entered in the Bank Account and debited to the Rent Receivable Account | 4600 | | 7 6880 |
| (5) | Dula had paid herself a salary of £6 100, which had been recorded in the Wages Account. | 6100 | | 82,980 |
| | Total increase profit and decrease profit | 12,280 | 1300 | |
| Revised profit for the year | | | | |

| | (6) |
|---|-------------|
| Point for. | |
| W Greeds up from preparation | |
| (it) Increase in accuracy | |
| (ii) More countre accurate in boxmation | |
| (iii) Entering one eatry of the doubt | owly soill |
| the software will set autometically | euter |
| the a second entry of double outry |) |
| | |
| Against. | |
| | |
| (1) Software training love to be co | pried out |
| (i) Solhouse will need to be constant | ly updated. |

(d) Evaluate the use of information and communications technology (ICT) accounting



software packages.

In part (a) four errors are identified and 4 marks awarded.

In part (b) some marks are awarded for the correct narrative and some marks for the correct narrative and value. 7 marks were awarded.

Part (c) is substantially correct with only Error (2) incorrect. Therefore 7 marks were awarded.

In part (d) the candidate proposes a number of valid points both for and against ICT. The candidate does not, however, arrive at a conclusion of whether the owner should use ICT. Therefore 4 marks were awarded.



When preparing journal entries it should be remembered that the journal can only adjust a **Ledger Account**. References to day books, journals or the cash book is not adjusting an account.

Paper Summary

There are many positives to the work being undertaken within centres which reflects well upon the work seen from candidates in the examination.

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Further strengthening of double entry skills where many candidates struggled on Question 6. This remains the foundation of the understanding of Accounting and centres should consider their reinforcement of these skills throughout the course of study. Reference to Getting Started to Teach IAL Accounting will assist centres in achieving this.
- More emphasis on ensuring that appropriate narratives are applied to ledger accounts. In the case of balances avoid the narratives b/d and c/d as no credit will be awarded
- A clear understanding of cost accounting types of cost, particularly the difference between semi-fixed and semi-variable costs
- Preparation of quotations or cost of manufacture statements including all three elements of cost
- Ensuring that all evaluation sections have a conclusion with a reason given for that conclusion.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx





