## General Certificate of Education

## Accounting 5121

## ACC1 Financial Accounting: The Accounting Information

## Mark Scheme <br> 2006 examination - January series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

## MARK SCHEME

## INSTRUCTIONS TO EXAMINERS

You should remember that your marking standards should reflect the levels of performance of candidates, mainly 17 years old, writing under examination conditions.

## Positive Marking

You should be positive in your marking, giving credit for what is there rather than being too conscious of what is not. Do not deduct marks for irrelevant or incorrect answers as candidates penalise themselves in terms of the time they have spent.

## Mark Range

You should use the whole mark range available in the mark scheme. Where the candidate's response to a question is such that the mark scheme permits full marks to be awarded, full marks must be given. A perfect answer is not required. Conversely, if the candidate's answer does not deserve credit, then no marks should be given.

## Alternative Answers / Layout

The answers given in the mark scheme are not exhaustive and other answers may be valid. If this occurs, examiners should refer to their Team Leader for guidance. Similarly, candidates may set out their accounts in either a vertical or horizontal format. Both methods are acceptable.

## Own Figure Rule

In cases where candidates are required to make calculations, arithmetic errors can be made so that the final or intermediate stages are incorrect. To avoid a candidate being penalised repeatedly for an initial error, candidates can be awarded marks where they have used the correct method with their own (incorrect) figures. Examiners are asked to annotate a script with OF where marks have been allocated on this basis. OF always makes the assumption that there are no extraneous items. Similarly, OF marks can be awarded where candidates make correct conclusions or inferences from their incorrect calculations.

## Quality of Written Communication

Once the whole script has been marked the work of the candidate should be assessed for the Quality of Written Communication, using the criteria at the end of the mark scheme. The mark should be shown separately on the candidate's script.

The following is the trial balance of Sandeep Singh at 31 December 2005.

|  | $\underset{\mathfrak{f}}{\mathrm{Dr}}$ | $\underset{f}{\mathrm{Cr}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed assets | 100000 |  |
| Stock at 1 January 2005 | 12000 |  |
| Wages and salaries | 24000 |  |
| Rent and rates | 8000 |  |
| Drawings | 15000 |  |
| Capital at 1 January 2005 |  | 101000 |
| Purchases and sales | 75000 | 120000 |
| Discount |  | 1000 |
| Carriage outwards | 2000 |  |
| Returns | 10000 | 5000 |
| Rent received |  | 25000 |
| General expenses | 6000 |  |
| Debtors and creditors | 30000 | 20000 |
| Bank overdraft |  | 10000 |
|  | 282000 | 282000 |

## Additional information

Stock at 31 December 2005 was $£ 15000$.

## REQUIRED

Prepare trading and profit and loss accounts for the year ended 31 December 2005. Clearly identify the cost of goods sold, gross profit and net profit.

A balance sheet is not required.

Trading and Profit and Loss Accounts for Sandeep Singh for the year ended 31 December 2005 (1)

|  | £ | $\boldsymbol{£}$ |  | £ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales |  |  |  | 120000 | (1) |
| Less returns inwards |  |  |  | 10000 | (1) |
|  |  |  |  | 110000 |  |
| Less cost of goods sold (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Opening stock |  | 12000 | (1) |  |  |
| Purchases | 75000 (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Returns outwards | 5000 (1) | 70000 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 82000 |  |  |  |
| Less closing stock |  | 15000 | (1) | 67000 |  |
| Gross profit |  |  |  | 43000 | (1) OF |
| Plus |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent received |  |  |  | 25000 | (1) |
| Discount received |  |  |  | 1000 | (1) |
|  |  |  |  | 69000 |  |
| Less expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages and salaries |  | 24000 | (1) |  |  |
| Carriage outwards |  | 2000 | (2 or 0) |  |  |
| Rent and rates |  | 8000 | (1) |  |  |
| General expenses |  | 6000 | (1) | 40000 |  |
| Net profit (1) |  |  |  | 29000 | (1) OF |

## ALTERNATIVE LAYOUT ACCEPTED AS BELOW

Trading and Profit and Loss Accounts for Sandeep Singh for the year ended 31 December 2005 (1)

| Opening stock | £ | £ |  |  | £ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12000 | (1) | Sales | 120000 | (1) |
| Purchases | 75000 (1) |  |  | Less returns inwards | 10000 |  |
| Less returns outwards | 5000 | 70000 | (1) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 82000 |  |  |  |  |
| Less closing stock |  | 15000 | (1) |  |  |  |
| Cost of goods sold (1) |  | 67000 |  |  |  |  |
| Gross profit |  | 43000 | (1)OF must be labelled |  |  |  |
|  |  | 110000 |  |  | 110000 | (1) |
| Wages and salaries |  | 24000 | (1) | Gross profit | 43000 |  |
| Carriage outwards |  | 2000 | (2 | Rent received | 25000 | (1) |
|  |  |  | or 0 |  |  |  |
| Rent and rates |  | 8000 | (1) | Discount received | 1000 | (1) |
| General expenses |  | 6000 | (1) |  |  |  |
| Net mrofit (1) |  | 29000 | (1)O |  |  |  |

The table below shows transactions which relate to Ferndale Fish and Chip Shop.

## REQUIRED

Complete the table by entering the appropriate information in the spaces provided.
An example has been given.

|  | Transaction | Source document | Subsidiary book | Account to be debited | Account to be credited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example | Payment of travel costs to an employee | Petty cash voucher | Petty cash book | Travel expenses | Petty cash |
| (a) | Rent paid by cheque | Receipt (1) or cheque counterfoil/stub | Cash book (1) | Rent (1) | Bank (1) |
| (b) | Damaged potatoes returned to Veggie Supplies Ltd | $\begin{gathered} \text { Credit note (1) } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { debit note } \end{gathered}$ | Returns Out (1) or Purchase Day Book or Purchase Returns Book | $\begin{gathered} \text { Veggies } \\ \text { Supplies (1) } \\ \text { Ltd } \end{gathered}$ | Purchase Returns Out (1) |
| (c) | Fish fryer purchased on credit from Chippit Ltd | Invoice (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { The journal (1) } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { Analysed } \\ \text { Purchase day book } \end{gathered}$ | Fixed asset Fish fryer Or <br> Equipment <br> (1) | Chippit <br> Ltd (1) |

12 marks

Since Janice Booth introduced a mail-order facility, her business has expanded significantly. She is concerned, however, about the possibility of non-payment by debtors. She is considering investing in computer software to help manage her sales ledger.

## REQUIRED

Identify and explain three ways in which the management of her sales ledger would benefit from computerisation.

For each of the three benefits:
One mark for identifying a reason, plus 2 marks for development. Max 3 per benefit.

Speed (1): e.g batch processing, multi-tasking, use of bar codes (0-2)
Accuracy (1): e.g updated automatically, arithmetic accuracy, use of bar codes (0-2)

Date more readily available for credit control (1):
e.g could lead to reduction of bad debts, could put customers on stop before the situation gets critical (0-2)

Accept other appropriate comments but must relate to the management of the sales ledger
$3 \times 3$ marks

The following extracts are taken from the subsidiary books of Karen Miles for the three months ended 31 December 2005.

Sales Day Book

| Date | Details | Goods <br> $£$ | VAT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 42000 | 7350 | 49350 |
| Oct to Dec | Total for period | 42 | $£$ |  |

Purchases Day Book

| Date | Details | Goods | VAT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |
| Oct to Dec | Total for period | 36000 | 6300 | 42300 |

Sales Returns Day Book

| Date | Details | Goods | VAT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |
| Oct to Dec | Total for period | 1600 | 280 | 1880 |

Purchases Returns Day Book

| Date | Details | Goods | VAT | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |
| Oct to Dec | Total for period | 1200 | 210 | 1410 |

## Additional information

(1) At 1 October 2005, there was a credit balance in the VAT account of $£ 7650$.
(2) A payment of $£ 6950$ was made in respect of VAT on 9 October 2005.
(3) The cash book showed that for the period 1 October to 31 December 2005, there were cash sales of $£ 16450$ which included VAT at $17.5 \%$.
(4)

## REQUIRED

(a) Prepare the VAT account for the three months ended 31 December 2005.

Bring down the balance on the account at 1 January 2006.

VAT Account



## 10 marks

(b) Explain the significance of the balance brought down on 1 January 2006.

Karen Miles owes 4130 (10F) to HM Revenue and Customs (1).
2 marks

Brian Jarvis prepared the following balance sheet at the end of the accounting year.

It contains errors.

## Balance Sheet for the year ended 31 December 2005

|  | $\boldsymbol{£}$ | $\boldsymbol{£}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed assets |  | 90000 |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Stock | 8000 |  |
| Debtors | 13000 |  |
| Cash at bank | 7000 |  |
|  | 28000 |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |
| Creditors | 8000 |  |
|  |  | 36000 |
|  |  | 54000 |
| Capital |  |  |
| Opening balance |  | 82000 |
| Net profit for the year |  | 50000 |
|  |  | 32000 |
| Drawings |  | 22000 |
|  |  | 54000 |

## Additional information

(1) Fixtures and fittings costing $£ 3000$ had been recorded as purchases and charged to the trading account for the year.
(2) Brian had taken $£ 1000$ cash from the bank account for his own use. This had not been recorded in the accounts.
(3) Brian found a cheque for $£ 2500$ received from a customer during December, which had been mislaid and had not been recorded.
(5)

## REQUIRED

Redraft the balance sheet, correcting any errors.

## Brian Jarvis

Balance Sheet at 31 December 2005 (1)

|  | £ |  | £ | (2) $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed assets |  |  | 93000 |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |
| Stock | 8000 |  |  |  |
| Debtors | 10500 | (2) $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ |  |  |
| Bank | 8500 | (3) $\mathrm{W}_{3}$ |  |  |
|  | 27000 |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Creditors | 8000 |  |  |  |
| Net current assets |  |  | 19000 |  |
|  |  |  | 112000 |  |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at 1 January 2005 |  |  | 82000 |  |
| Net profit for year |  |  | 53000 | (2) $\mathrm{W}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | 135000 |  |
| Drawings |  |  | 23000 | (2) $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ |
|  |  |  | 112000 |  |

NB When awarding marks, check the function in the calculation is correct.
$W_{1} 90000+3000(1)=93000(1) \mathbf{O F}$
$W_{2} 13000-2500(1)=10500$ (1) OF
$W_{3} 7000+2500(1)-1000(1)=8500(1) \mathrm{OF}$
$\mathrm{W}_{4} 50000+3000$ (1) $\quad=53000$ (1) OF
$\mathrm{W}_{5} 22000+1000(1) \quad=23000(1) \mathrm{OF}$

See alternative version for assuming a cash customer, rather than credit customer in Additional Information (3).

See next page.
(5)

Alternative version for assuming a cash customer, rather than a credit customer in additional information (3)

## REQUIRED

Redraft the balance sheet, correcting any errors.
Brian Jarvis
Balance Sheet at 31 December 2005 (1)

|  | £ |  | £ | (2) $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed assets |  |  | 93000 |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |
| Stock | 8000 |  |  |  |
| Debtors | 13000 | (1) |  |  |
| Bank | 8500 | (3) $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ |  |  |
|  | 29500 |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Creditors | 8000 |  |  |  |
| Net current assets |  |  | 21500 |  |
|  |  |  | 114500 |  |
| Capital |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at 1 January 2005 |  |  | 82000 |  |
| Net profit for year |  |  | 55500 | (3) $\mathrm{W}_{3}$ |
|  |  |  | 137500 |  |
| Drawings |  |  | 23000 | (2) $\mathrm{W}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  | 114500 |  |

12 marks
$W_{1} 90000+3000(1)=93000(1) \mathrm{OF}$
$W_{2} 7000+2500$ (1) - 1000 (1) $=8500$ (1) OF
$\mathrm{W}_{3} 50000+3000(1)+2500=55500(1) \mathrm{OF}$
$\mathrm{W}_{4} 22000+1000(1) \quad=23000(1) \mathrm{OF}$

Kingdom Carpet Fitters received a bank statement showing the following transactions in their account during December 2005.

Bank Statement

| Date | Details | Dr <br> £ | Cr <br> £ | Balance |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 1 | Balance |  |  | 430 cr. |
| 6 | Cheque to F Banks | 250 |  | 180 cr. |
| 7 | Cash and cheques paid in | 200 |  | 580 |
| 12 | Standing order: British Gas |  | 240 | 360 cr. |
| 18 | Credit transfer from J Ball |  | 300 cr. |  |
| 22 | Cash and cheques paid in | 470 |  | 900 cr. |
| 23 | Cheque to H Wilton | 20 |  | 430 cr. |
| 30 | Bank charges | 150 |  | 260 cr. |
| 30 | Dishonoured cheque: B Brown |  |  |  |

## REQUIRED

(a) Update the cash book below with the relevant items from the bank statement, and bring down the balance at the end of the month.

Cash Book (Bank columns only)
Dr Cr

| Date | Details | £ | Date | Details | £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 1 | Balance b/d | 430 | Dec 2 | F Banks | 250 |
| 7 | Sales banked | 380 | 18 | H Wilton | 470 |
| 22 | Sales banked | 300 | 29 | M Wall | 140 |
| 31 | Sales banked Credit Transfer: J Ball | 560 240 | 30 | Wages Standing Order: British Gas | $100$ |
|  |  |  |  | Bank charges Dishonoured Cheque <br> Balance c/d | $\begin{array}{rr} 20 & (1) \\ 150 & (1) \\ 580^{*} & \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 1910 |  |  | 1910 |
|  | Balance b/d | 580* |  |  |  |

1 OF for both balances.
(6)
(b) Prepare a bank reconciliation statement at 31 December 2005.

Bank Reconciliation Statement at 31 December 2005 for Kingdom Carpet Fitters
Balance as per bank statement
Accept in Plus amounts not yet credited
either
order
Less unpresented cheques
M Wall 140 (1)

Wages
100 (1) 240
Balance as per cash book
580 (1) OF from cash book

## ALTERNATIVE LAYOUT

Balance as per cash book 580 (1) OF from cash book
Plus unpresented cheques
M Wall 140 (1)
Wages $\quad 100$ (1)
240
820
Less amounts not yet credited 560 (1)
Balance as per bank statement
260 (1)

5 marks
(c) Explain the term 'dishonoured cheque' shown in the bank statement.

A cheque that has been refused payment by the debtor's bank due to insufficient funds in the debtor's account.

## QUALITY OF WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

After the candidate's script has been marked, the work should be assessed for the Quality of Written Communication, using the following criteria.

## Marks

0 Accounts and financial statements are unclear and poorly presented.
There is little or no attempt to show workings or calculations.
Descriptions and explanations lack clarity and structure.
There is very limited use of specialist vocabulary.
Answers may be legible but only with difficulty.
Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar are such that meaning is unclear.

1-2 There is some attempt to present accounts and financial statements in an appropriate format.
Workings are missing or are not clearly linked to the answers.
Descriptions and explanations are understandable but they lack a logical structure.
There is some use of specialist vocabulary but this is not always applied appropriately.
In most cases answers are legible, but errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar are such that meaning may be unclear.

3 Accounts and financial statements are generally well presented but there are a few errors. Workings are shown and there is some attempt to link them to the relevant account(s).
Descriptions and explanations are usually clearly expressed but there are some weaknesses in the logical structure. There is a good range of specialist vocabulary which is used with facility. Answers are legible. Spelling is generally accurate and the standard conventions of punctuation and grammar are usually followed.

4 Accounts and financial statements are well organised and clearly presented.
Workings are clearly shown and easy to follow. Descriptions and explanations are clearly expressed.
Arguments are logically structured. There is wide use of specialist vocabulary which is used relevantly and precisely.
Answers are clearly written and legible. Spelling is accurate and the standard conventions of punctuation and grammar are followed so that meaning is clear.

To help them to make judgements, examiners should focus on the following issues.
Are there clear presentations of formats and prose answers?
Are there clear and logical workings, where appropriate?
Is the whole script legible and understandable (including spelling, punctuation and grammar)?
Is there a grasp of Accounting terminology (eg avoiding slang, avoiding text language, avoiding abbreviations in prose answers)?

