



Pearson
Edexcel

Examiners' Report

Principal Examiner Feedback

October 2020

Pearson Edexcel GCE

In Turkish (9TU0/01)

Paper 1 Translation into English, Reading
comprehension and writing

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

October 2020

Publications Code 9TU0_01_ER*

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2020

Examiners' Report on Paper 9TU01 – Autumn 2020

General comments

This report relates to paper **9TU01**, which tests candidates' ability to translate from Turkish into English, to read and respond to written Turkish and to demonstrate their ability undertake independent research in Turkish.

The evidence from marking in this session indicates that the paper was effective in differentiating between candidates of different abilities and that all the questions worked as anticipated.

The published mark scheme provides extensive guidance with regard to the overarching approach to marking, as well as the range of correct, acceptable and rejected responses as they relate to Section A and Section B, as well as indicative content for Section C. Teachers should note, however, that the mark scheme is not designed in such a way that every possible answer is included. Examiners look at each answer on merit to allocate marks. The approach to marking is positive, so examiners always seek to reward what candidates produce.

It is helpful for teachers to distinguish clearly between the assessment objectives stated in the specification. These are: A01- listening and responding in Turkish; A02 – reading and responding in Turkish; A03 – grammar and vocabulary of Turkish; A04 Knowledge and understanding of the countries and communities where Turkish is spoken. In this paper A02 is assessed in Sections A, B and C; A03 and A04 are assessed only in Section C.

There was varied evidence of how well candidates were prepared for this assessment, but there was little evidence of rubric infringement; this is where candidates either failed to follow the instructions, for example answering in the wrong language, or ticked more than the required number of boxes in the objective tasks.

Comments on each question

Section A

This section tests candidates' ability to translate into English. Teachers should note that in the mark scheme there may, on occasion, appear to be misalignment between the Turkish column and the English column: this is a reflection of the idiomatic nature of translation and the way word order and clause structure varies between Turkish and English. Reading the correct answer column vertically from the top will provide a complete translation in good English of the passage.

Question 1

This year Question 1 related to the theme of **Political issues in Turkey**, the subtheme: **The political scene** and the content was focused on the aspect **Main political parties and their agendas**.

One mark is awarded for each correctly translated segment up to a maximum of 20 marks and as previously mentioned, not every acceptable or rejected answer is included in the mark scheme. Examiners will award a mark to a segment where the message is conveyed clearly, correctly and unambiguously in such a way that a native speaker of Turkish with no knowledge of English would understand exactly the same message as would a native English speaker with no knowledge of Turkish.

As part of the design of the passage for the assessment of translation into English, each segment is targeted at a particular grade and so it normal and expected that candidates with different levels of Turkish should find some parts more challenging than others. In this way the passage is differentiated to assess across the full range of grades.

Most candidates were successful in translating:

Türkiye'de demokratikleşme çabaları 19. yüzyılda başlamıştır.	In Turkey attempts to democratise, started in the 19th century.
Türkiye Cumhuriyeti'nde ilk çok partili demokratik seçim 1946 yılında yapılmıştır.	The first multi-party democratic election in Republic of Turkey was held in 1946.

The parts of the passage that challenged all but the highest scoring candidates were:

Bu yeni düzenlemeler ile toplumun farklı kesimlerinin yönetime katılması amaçlanmıştır.	With this new deal the aim was to get different parts of society participating in government.
Hem Osmanlı hem Cumhuriyet döneminde çok partili siyasal sisteme geçiş girişimlerinde bulunulmuştur.	During both the Ottoman and Republican periods, there were attempts to move to a multi-party political system.

There was evidence that some candidates needed to pay closer attention to the naturalness of the English phrasing that they used, to avoid ambiguity of message. A close reading of the reject column in the mark scheme will provide teachers with some useful areas to work on in terms of linguistic structures.

Section B

Question 2

This question related to the theme of **Art and culture in Turkey and Cyprus**, the subtheme: **Modern culture and media** and the content was focused on the aspect of **Television**.

This is a multiple-choice task with four parts and four options for each part. Teachers can usefully note that incorrect options may include an element of distraction in the form of a word or idea from the text. Candidates, therefore, need to be careful to read fully and carefully, rather than rely on spotting isolated words. This question targets grades C to E, and is intended as a gentle lead in for this paper.

Question 3

This question related to the theme of **Perspectives on Turkey**, the subtheme: **Human Geography** and the content was focused on the aspect of **Changes in standards of living and quality of life**.

This is a multiple-completion task where candidates choose four correct answers from nine statements. Teachers can usefully note that incorrect options may include an element of distraction in the form of a word or idea from the text. Candidates, therefore, need to be careful to read fully and carefully, rather than rely on spotting isolated words. This question targets grades A to E, and so differentiates at a higher level than Question 2.

Most successfully answered was: **I**

Least successfully answered was: **G**

Question 4

This question related to the theme of **Perspectives on Turkey**, the subtheme: **The environment** and the content was focused on the aspect of **protecting natural resources**.

This is a free response task, where candidates are required to answer in Turkish. There was some evidence that some candidates did not always read the questions carefully or produced answers which, although correctly locating the information in the text, were not precise answers to the question that was asked or relied on indiscriminate or untargeted lifting from the text.

Teachers are reminded that, in free-response questions, examiners apply a strict order of elements and mark only the first viable answer that a candidate produces. For example, where the required answer is 'dog' a candidate who writes 'dog and cat' will receive a mark, but a candidate who writes 'cat and dog' will not, because only the candidate's first viable answer to the question is considered for a mark. This question targets grades A to E.

Most successfully answered was: **(b)**

Least successfully answered was: **(c)**

Question 5

This question related to the theme of **Changes in Turkish society**, the subtheme **Family and relationships** and the content was focused on the aspect of **Family life and changing roles of family members**.

This is a free response task, where candidates are required to answer in Turkish. Teachers are reminded to train candidates to give one detail per mark, as they answer free response questions. Some questions do carry two marks, and, in some cases, there may be more than two possible things a candidate could write. This is a normal part of the way the questions work, and so there is no need for candidates to offer more information than required by the rubric. It is useful to note that questions are also designed so that the answers could reasonably be expected to fit on the writing line provided. Candidates should aim for concision in their responses.

This question targets grades A to E.

Most successfully answered was: **(d)**

Least successfully answered was: **(c)**

Section C

This section tests candidates' ability to conduct independent research in Turkish, and to respond critically to a text that relates to the research topic they have chosen. Candidates need to be mindful that they need to relate the text that they have read to the research that they have done about the topic they have chosen. The A02 information from the text needs to be contextualized and added to from the research, A04. It is not enough simply to give a critical view about the content of the text or to use the research statement simply as a kind of essay title and overlook the text.

The highest scoring candidates had effectively combined the information from the text provided with what they had researched to respond critically to the research statement. The inclusion of perceptive ideas was an indicator of high levels of performance in this task. A perceptive idea is defined in the specification and mark scheme, but broadly can be considered any knowledge or understanding that goes beyond what the average speaker of Turkish would know about the topic in question. This could include facts, data, trends, social or historical context or the connection of ideas in such a way as to demonstrate real understanding of the issue. These candidates had also used appropriate and wide-ranging vocabulary specific to the task and had shown their ability to write the language in a natural, authentic and sophisticated way including a range of structures and features. These candidates often also showed evidence that they had proofread their own work, as was indicated by corrections made to what they had written.

The lower scoring candidates often missed a crucial element of the task; they either focused exclusively on the passage, without adding from their own research or they used the research statement as a stimulus for a generalized set of comments that were straightforward in nature and did not go beyond what any speaker of Turkish might be expected to know. Often the language used was less academic in register, less wide-ranging and contained errors that impeded clarity or generated ambiguity. Here there was evidence of a lack of proof-reading.

Teachers are reminded that the mark scheme contains indicative content, but this is not intended to be definitive answer to the statement. Examiners use a levels-based mark scheme and reward all relevant response produced by the candidate, accepting that each candidate may approach the task in a different way.

Research from Theme 1

The passage dealt with *educational opportunities in private and state schools of mentally handicapped students in urban and rural areas*. In this series this research theme has been the most responded by the candidates. Teachers should look carefully at the indicative content in the mark scheme for information about the level of response expected.

In response to this research topic, candidates produced a range of thoughtful and well-structured responses and there was evidence that many had prepared well. For example one candidate wrote about the positive changes in the perspective toward disabled students and some practices in inclusive education and special education standards in Turkey. The candidate also touched on with the conformity of international standards of new policies and disadvantageous situations experienced in rural areas.

Research from Theme 2

In response to this research topic, candidates produced a range of thoughtful and well-structured responses and there was evidence that many had prepared well. For example one candidate wrote about and drew attention to the existence of seasonal cultural festivals that are becoming more and more traditional in addition to the traditional celebrations in Northern Cyprus, and underlined that these are important events that ensure social cohesion in a multicultural society.

Research from Theme 3

In response to this research topic, candidates produced a range of thoughtful and well-structured responses and there was evidence that many had prepared well. For example, one candidate wrote about and underlined the positive effect of sensitivity towards global warming on tourism in Turkey and highlighted that as an alternative option, a "green" and "organic" touristic trend has emerged in recent years.

Research from Theme 4

In response to this research topic, candidates produced a range of thoughtful and well-structured responses and there was evidence that many had prepared well. For example, one candidate wrote about and stated that there has been an excessive increase in the number of immigrants to Turkey in recent years and that particularly refugees from neighbouring countries have had a negative impact on the country's economy and cause dissatisfaction among some citizens, and underlined that the government also had to spend large sums of money to meet the basic needs of refugees such as food, shelter, health and education.

Guidance for teachers for future sessions

1. Ensure that all candidates are familiar with the format of the paper and what they need to do in each section and question.
2. In section A, make students aware that a correct translation will not necessarily be a literal word-by-word translation. There will be difference in word order, clause structure or other linguistic features between the two languages. The resulting English passage should be natural, correct and unambiguous English.
3. In section A, work with students using the mark scheme, to exemplify different approaches to translation. It can be useful in teaching students to translate into English to ask them to produce a 'mark scheme', rather than a translated passage; this can help to develop the ability to think laterally. It may also be helpful in steering them away from online translators if they are encouraged to think carefully about alternatives and how to avoid errors.
4. In section B it can be a useful exercise to focus candidates not only on finding the correct answers, but also looking at why the incorrect options are wrong. This encourages a careful reading of the questions and develops metacognition in terms of how the questions work.
5. In section B teach students about the 'order of elements' rule and apply it during teaching, so that students learn to produce clear and concise answers.

6. In section B teach students to be guided by the number of marks and the space allowed for writing. This can help them give enough detail and avoid indiscriminate lifts.
7. In section C, ensure that candidates understand the task and give them practice in linking a passage with research. This can make a useful speaking task and provide teachers with insightful assessment for learning information about the student's understanding.
8. In section C, ensure students are equipped with a good range of topic specific, academic vocabulary to be able to ensure that the written response is correct in terms of register and not overly colloquial in tone.
9. In section C, give students practice in proof-reading their own work and that of others, looking to correct common errors and develop the habit of writing accurately.