

Examiners' Report/
Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2013

GCE Travel and Tourism (6989)
Unit 3: Destination Europe

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2013

Publications Code UA035405

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2013

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/iwant to/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

General Comments

This unit is well established in this qualification. Reports for each series are available on the Edexcel website www.edexcel.com; these offer invaluable assistance to centres including suggestions on improving performance and classroom activities.

Centres that have submitted work for moderation can also access their own Moderator Reports from each of the past series (January and June); these are centre specific and comment upon the accuracy of marking and whether specification requirements have been met.

Centres are strongly advised to access their individual reports through their exams office to obtain this guidance. In addition, Edexcel offer a range of support services and training opportunities for centres.

This report will comment on assessment evidence requirements, student performance, improvements and key messages for students for each task and the accuracy of the marking and the administration.

Assessment evidence

The tasks for the unit are set within the specification. There are no requirements for how evidence of completing these tasks is presented except that in task a) maps are required. There are four tasks for the unit as shown on page 36 of the specification. Each task targets one of the Assessment Objectives (AOs) for the qualification. These AOs are given on page 166 of the specification.

Task a) Assessment Evidence Requirements

This task targets Assessment Objective 1 (AO1): the candidate's knowledge and understanding.

It is in **three** parts:

- *Six maps, one for each category of destination* (listed in the specification). Each map should locate the appropriate European travel destinations popular with UK tourists and highlight the **relevant** gateways, road and rail routes from the UK.
- For *each category* of destination, an *explanation of the features* that differentiate them with examples
- An *explanation* of the difficulties in categorising some destinations, with examples.

Task a) AO1 Candidate Performance

Maps were completed at a variety of levels and standards, some were completed very well and it was apparent students had spent a lot of time on

the presentation of them. On the other hand some lack detail and just identified the destinations.

This series there was more evidence of emerging destinations being located and highlighted.

The actual plotting or accuracy of the destinations was sometimes poor, by writing all over the maps it was impossible to know at what point the destination had been located, similarly where maps contained no actual labels just a series of numbers this hindered accuracy. The gateways were sometimes shown on a separate map from the maps showing destinations and the relevant access to each destination was not apparent. In others, gateways were identified but were often not for the appropriate category or else the same gateways were used for every type of destination and repeated on every map. Understanding of rail travel and gateways was a key weakness and there were few examples of the key railway stations for travel to European destinations. As rail travel is increasingly growing in popularity and improving all the time in mainland Europe, students should be familiar with the main rail routes and key gateways. For instance to access the south of France from the UK holidaymakers could travel from London St Pancras by Eurostar to Paris Gare du Nord and then cross Paris to the Gare de Lyon and join the high speed TGV service to the French Riviera stations at Nice and Monte Carlo. Or from St Pancras to Lille Europe which avoids changing stations and crossing Paris. These gateways and routes should be labelled on maps. Candidates may find the man at 'Seat61' and RailEurope websites helpful in their research or the Rail Map of Europe published by Thomas Cook.

Some students submitted maps downloaded from the Internet.

The explanations of how features are used to categorise destinations was attempted by most students and most attempted to explain the difficulty in categorisation. Some students did not clearly refer to the features given in the specification and offered very little in the way of explaining how destinations are categorised. Examples of destinations and features were described and understanding of categorisation was not apparent. However there were instances of thorough explanations that were fully exemplified demonstrating a good level of understanding.

Summary of Improvements

- More evidence of students selecting the most popular European destinations ie the 'Top 10'.
- Marks awarded are now more frequently at mark band 2.
- More detail on maps – such as names of airports and ports, road names and routes showing how to access each destination on each map.

- Fewer students describing the features of destinations, more explanations of categorisation.
- Majority of students included an explanation of the difficulties in categorising destinations.

Key messages for students

- Produce your own map. Research the key destinations using holiday brochures (and don't forget to include these in your bibliography). For example you could use a 'Lakes and Mountains' brochure to find the most popular 'countryside areas' for UK holidaymakers whilst 'Summer Sun' will have the most popular 'coastal areas'. These will also include major and some regional gateways.
- Include UK and European gateways to show how to access each destination from the UK. Remember to only show the 'relevant' routes and gateways. For example by rail and air for 'business and conference' destinations and 'road' for 'countryside areas'. If you want to include a map of UK gateways and routes, that is fine as long as you give an indication of the European gateway – so if holidaymakers are travelling from the port of Dover show that this will take them to the French port of Calais.
- Label ports, airports and major railway stations/terminals or hubs.
- Use neat, clear labels on the maps themselves- destination names, names of roads, airports etc. keys are helpful, but avoid lots of numerical keys.
- First choose the right size of map – too small means you will struggle to label it! Then in pencil plan the layout and labelling.
- Highlight 'emerging destinations' by underlining them or use a symbol to show 'recently popular'.
- In your explanation make sure you give the names of specific examples of features and destinations in your explanation. Describing destinations and their features is not appropriate. You need to explain which features are most important in each category and then give some examples. You could also say which features you don't think are appropriate for that category for example 'climate' may not be important for 'heritage and cultural' destinations. Why is this?

Task b) Assessment Evidence Requirements

This task targets Assessment Objective 2 (AO2): the student's ability to apply their skills and understanding.

It is in **two** parts:

- A *description* of the *key features* that give the selected European travel destination *appeal* to different *types* of tourists.
- An *explanation* of how the recommended *destination* meets the needs of a tourist whose needs and circumstances are given to the student by the tutor in the form of a pen portrait.

The emphasis of this task is key features of destinations and their link to appeal. It assesses whether students can **apply** their knowledge of key features and appeal to one destination and whether they can make recommendations to show that the destination is suitable in meeting tourists' needs. The students should be provided with a pen portrait that offers opportunities to consider complex as well as straightforward needs and circumstances. A destination, not an island or country, should be chosen, this should belong to one of the categories of destinations used in task a).

Candidates should research the features of their selected destination. They need to discriminate between features that exist and those that contribute to appeal. They need to concentrate on these 'key' features (ie those that contribute to appeal).

Features are given in the unit specification, see 3.2, page 34. Different types of tourists are suggested in the unit specification, see 3.3, page 34. Examples of pen portraits with complex needs are found on pages 44 and 42.

Task b) AO2 Candidate Performance

It was disappointing this series that some students had not included the pen portrait used. Without pen portrait details it is difficult for moderators to assess the complexity of needs and the suitability of the destination. As noted on previous reports the two discreet tasks were often merged where students described the features of the destination and then matched them to the customer needs. In these instances, where the pen portrait was the key focus throughout, evidence often lacked detail. On other occasions it tended to confuse the students as there was no clear understanding of the key features in the destination and then no understanding of why this destination was chosen, ie they failed to match the features with the needs and generally described the destination like a holiday brochure and recommended a package holiday. Where students are still referring to a holiday and not a destination, this may be linked to the need for giving students a more precise brief in the pen portrait details. However a significant proportion of students did submit appropriate evidence and where pen portraits were included many actually produced a breakdown of the complex and straightforward needs and showed a good level of understanding. Where the tasks were addressed separately these were completed generally in more detail than when the tasks had been merged. Most of these students referred to the appeal of the destination to different types of tourists in their description. Evidence of discrimination between the key features contributing to appeal and those that exist remains a weakness for some students who described all the features listed in the unit specification.

Summary of Improvements

- More students addressed the task in two parts and did not refer to the pen portrait in the description.
- More students considered the features giving appeal to different types of tourists.
- More evidence of complex needs.

Key messages for students

- You need to submit two separate parts for this task.
- You should not write about the tourists in the pen portrait in the first part – description. Your focus is the destination and its appeal.
- Include an introduction to your description of the destination and its key features and state which are the key features; include an indication of why other features do not contribute to the appeal of the destination. This shows the moderator that you have discriminated the key features.
- Always use your own words.
- In the second part, your explanation, be specific and name specific features that meet the tourists' needs; for example names of beaches, activity centres, tours, museums, walks, mountains etc; clear links are needed for the higher marks.

Task c) Assessment Evidence Requirements

This task targets Assessment Objective 3 (AO3): the candidate's ability to research and analyse.

The task is in **two** parts:

- Evidence of research undertaken for *all* tasks a,b,c,d
- An analysis of the factors that have **led to the growth** in popularity and appeal of *one* European travel destination ***including an analysis*** of how the destination has controlled factors to maximise their appeal and popularity.

Task c) AO3 Candidate Performance

Overall, the research part of this element was covered quite well by most students, there seemed to be a greater understanding that students do need to show they have accessed a range of sources other than relying entirely on the Internet; so for instance details of atlases and brochures used in task a) were seen. Some had conducted their own surveys to show primary research. Also more students gave references within the text and using an appropriate referencing system. Some were more successful than others where students just include a large amount of pasted text with quotes followed by the entire URL in brackets is less convincing than where students clearly interpreted their research and used their own words and

referred to the precise source in a sentence. Most students submitted at least one bibliography and some gave evidence of how independently they worked. However, a small number still only provide research evidence for task c, rather than all tasks. Students should be aware that submitting lots of screen shots is not in itself evidence of research.

Evidence for the second part of the task was very varied and evidence was seen from across all three mark bands. Indeed some superb analytical accounts were seen and were well deserving of the higher marks. This is one task where annotation from the assessor can be very helpful to moderators so they can understand where the assessor can see 'analysis' in the student's work; this can really help support assessment decisions.

As seen in past series, many students still wrote about why the destination was popular and did not analyse the factors contributing to its growth in popularity. Many accounts were disjointed containing large amounts of 'webabstracts', screen shots and downloaded material with very little insightful analysis or depth.

Understanding of controllable factors is still a weak area in this task for many students who consider it under the general headings of 'destination management' and 'image and promotion'. However, it was observed this series that a good number of students successfully made the distinction between the factors that could or could not be controlled within the analysis.

Summary of Improvements

- Most students submitted a bibliography for at least one task.
- Some of the work was referenced.
- Analytical skills were evidenced where students used 'their own words'.

In June 2006, moderators accepted a statement from the assessor that the student obtained sources independently. The Principal Moderator's reports since have stated that in the future, **evidence must be more detailed to access marks beyond the mid/entry point of mark band 2**. This could be a detailed statement from the candidate endorsed by the assessor that indicates how the sources were obtained and what help, if any, was provided to confirm that research was conducted independently.

Key messages for students

- Don't just give a list of website addresses as your bibliography, keep a record of your research and for each task try and use at least two other sources besides the internet such as an atlas, map, travel brochure, or even an interview or survey. Make a note of the date of research and its usefulness and submit this information.
- When referencing make sure there is some in all tasks; use different methods such as footnotes or try to include your research source within sentences e.g. "according to ...{source}...in 2009 this destination ..."

- In your analysis remember you are looking at why the destination has **become more** popular as a tourist destination.
- Make sure your analysis has an introduction and conclusion and use a separate heading for the analysis of how controllable factors have been used. A useful technique is to identify the factors that are controllable and use this as the starting point for your analysis.
- Research controllable factors such as government and local authority planning, regeneration, reduced taxes, attracting inward investment, tourism planning, publicity as well as destination management.

Task d) Assessment Evidence Requirements

This task targets Assessment Objective 4 (AO4): the student's ability to evaluate, draw reasoned conclusions and make justified recommendations. Quality of Written Communication is assessed in this task.

There is only one part:

- An assessment of the suitability of different modes of transport to *ONE* European travel destination for a tourist whose needs and circumstances have been given to the student in the form of a pen portrait. This will include details of their departure point and destination.

Task d) A04 Candidate Performance

It was pleasing to see continued improvements for many in this task. Some pen portraits were missing and some were not wholly appropriate for the task. Moderators noted that whilst interesting and creative, some pen portraits were too complicated; for instance some required recommending destinations, stop-overs, two journeys, meeting more people or else restricted the number of transport options that could be assessed – fear of flying/no flights due to the ash cloud. Such unnecessary complications/restrictions did not assist students in achieving the higher marks. For the higher mark bands pen portraits should offer some complexity in terms of tourists' needs with regards 'travelling' should be complex and the departure point should be from outside the UK (MB3) to a destination that is not directly accessible from the departure point (no direct flights). Some accounts, whilst evaluative were generic and did not show evidence of the actual journeys having been researched therefore lacking specific detail. Some students did not provide a final recommendation for the most suitable option.

Summary of Improvements

- Fewer students gave descriptions of routes.
- Details of pen portraits were often included with samples and departure points were usually given.
- Most pen portraits offered complex needs and circumstances with destinations that had some difficulty in access.

Please note that centres often use the examples given in the specification guidance (page 45 Assessment Guidance – (d) mark band 3). However the travel and tourism industry is dynamic and constantly changing. A popular journey used to meet the mark band 3 criteria is the one from **Barcelona to Florence**. At the time the specification was written, direct flights were not possible. Now that they are, centres are advised to select a different journey where direct flights are not possible to present candidates the challenge of 'some difficulty in access' and meet mark band 3 requirements. It is pleasing to note that this advice has been accepted by many centres and suitable alternatives were seen.

Key messages for students

- Don't forget to submit the pen portrait you have used
- Don't just give a list of advantages and disadvantages, combine these ideas into paragraphs and use linking statements such as '*this will be suitable because*' or '*I don't think this would be comfortable and is totally unsuitable*' or '*this is good because it means*'. These phrases help to show you are making an assessment.
- Use a structured format and for each mode considered, use subheadings to assess each factor for its suitability matched to the tourists' needs.
- Do make a detailed recommendation at the end that sums up your findings. You should recommend which is the most suitable option and justify this with reasons.

Accuracy of Marking

Improvements were seen with regards the accuracy of marking for many centres who have offered the unit for a number of years. Often where centres were quite new to the unit, marking tended to be sometimes slightly generous rewarding effort rather than the quality of the work in terms of meeting the assessment requirements. Centres are reminded this qualification uses the 'best fit' assessment model and so assessment decisions should be holistic. Details of how to apply the best fit model are well documented in previous reports.

Student evidence should be assessed solely against the criteria in the specification. The tasks to be completed are detailed on page 36 of the unit specification, Assessment Evidence. For each task there are three marks bands. Furthermore centres are cautioned not to rely entirely upon the mark band statements when setting tasks. These statements only outline the assessment criteria. Moderators frequently observed that when the task requirements were not met, particularly in tasks b) and (c) this limited achievement and marks.

Task A

Overall marking was mainly accurate to slightly generous for this task. In the explanation, whilst examples of destinations and features are required to access higher marks they should be used to *support* the explanation. The

inclusion of examples does not move the work into higher mark bands it is the explanation that is the discriminating factor.

Mark band 2 is best fit where maps show the accurate location of the most popular (key) destinations and have detail; where only the relevant routes are shown to each 'key' destination and where there is a clear explanation of categorisation with reference to the features given in the specification, including specific examples and where there is also explanation of difficulties with examples.

Task B

Marking of this task was often generous, usually as a result of the evidence not being entirely appropriate for the task requirements. Some students did not produce evidence to show they had discriminated the key features and that they understood their destination's appeal to different types of tourist. This should be apparent in the description of features. Explanations were often brief and/or descriptive, links made were generic rather than giving specific details or names of places, attractions etc. Mark band 2 is only best fit if the key features have been discriminated and described in detail and there are clear links between named features and the needs of the tourist in the explanation. Where the two tasks were merged it was difficult for marks beyond mark band 1 to be justified.

Task C

Marking of this task tended to be slightly generous. This task requires evidence of *research and analysis*. Marking tended to be most generous where the research evidence had significant weaknesses. For instance where the research element was at mark band 1, ie over-reliance on the Internet/websites and where evidence of independence was not included. Mark band 2 requires students to use different types of sources for their research. For this mark band, students are also required to have conducted independent research. In terms of research, for mark band 2 students should also reference their sources. Students were often able to provide some degree of analysis yet this sometimes focused purely on the destination's appeal rather than why it had grown in popularity. Coverage of controllable factors was addressed directly in many cases and showed a better level of understanding than in the past. Although there were still instances of inappropriate factors being used 'social' and 'economic' or else addressed superficially under 'destination management'.

Task D

Marking in this task was more accurate. This task requires *assessment*. For many samples, mark band 2 was best fit for evidence that was clearly *an assessment of a range* of factors and modes of transport where *complex* needs had been considered and there was some *difficulty* in access to the destination. For marks at mark band 2 and mark band 3, it is likely the departure point is outside the UK and the destination should have some difficulty in access (i.e. no direct flights) and some complex needs. Some assessments were very generalised and theoretical and did not relate to the actual routes and journeys being considered. Students should research details of each journey in full and use these findings in their assessments. Some had not made a final justified recommendation as to the most suitable method.

Administration

Annotation on coursework to show how assessment decisions have been reached is a JCQ requirement. Comments should focus on the mark band descriptors/assessment criteria to highlight key evidence to support marks awarded. Without annotation moderators can have great difficulty in locating the appropriate evidence especially where high marks are awarded.

Some centres submitted task feedback sheets for each task – these are available to download from the Edexcel website. When these are completed in detail with reference to the assessment criteria and where justification of assessment decisions are included these are extremely useful to moderators.

A number of errors were recorded this series for instance where the total scores on the mark record sheets were not transposed correctly onto the Optems form; or where scores have been added up incorrectly. There were a few instances of incomplete samples being received that did not include the highest and lowest marked work.

Students and assessors are required to sign the Mark Record Sheets to confirm the authenticity of candidates work. Alternatively, a 'Statement of Authentication' form should be submitted, this form can be downloaded from the Edexcel website. Where additional support has been provided to a candidate this should be made apparent to the moderator. Where a candidate has made overuse of printed material from websites or large sections from text books, assessors should ensure these are not credited.

General Comments

Edexcel does not require students to submit their portfolios in a file, or plastic wallets. It is sufficient for students to provide all work tied with treasury tags, providing it can be easily identified and accessed. In addition to the Candidate Authentication, there should ideally be a front cover stating name of student, centre and candidate number. Evidence for each task should be clearly separated, ideally by a task feedback sheet.

Only evidence used to determine the mark awarded need be submitted in a portfolio. That evidence should be for tasks a), b), c) and d).

This unit allows the opportunity for oral communication in presenting a suitable destination to a customer. If this format is used, all supporting evidence such as visual aids, notes, documentation etc. must be included. Students' portfolios should include the assessment checklist or observation statement and a detailed witness testimony (exemplars can be found on the

Edexcel website). The assessor should describe the student's performance in detail to clearly justify the marks awarded. Statements should relate to the task requirements and the mark band criteria. This evidence should be signed and dated by the assessor.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

Ofqual



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government

