

## **General Certificate of Education**

# Physics 5451

Specification A

PHA3/P Practical Examination

## **Mark Scheme**

2007 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

### **PHA3/P Practical Examination**

Question 1	AO3a: planning	
	measurements: (to measure the thickness of the glass block), use vernier callipers or micrometer screw gauge (reject 'ruler'; should assume that only one block is available) ✓ (to measure the period of rotation of the rotor, T [accept nT]), use a stopwatch (reject rotations in a fixed time) ✓	2
	strategy: measure $T$ or $nT$ of rotor (reject 'rate' or 'speed of rotation' but allow ecf in $_2S$ and $_3S$ ) with different types of absorber separately present $\checkmark$ (can be implied in sketch; no credit for cycles in fixed time but allow ecf in $_2S$ and $_3S$ ) measure $T$ or $nT$ with <b>different numbers</b> of perspex discs as the absorber and draw a graph of these data $\checkmark$ measure the thickness of the glass and use this in conjunction with the calibration graph to make a qualitative comparison between the absorption of light by the glass and the perspex $\checkmark$ [for assuming $5t_{perspex} = t_{glass}$ , $_1M = 0$ , $_{23}S = 0$ ]	3
	control: require factors, other than the absorber, that will independently affect the intensity of light incident on the radiometer: maintain (relative) positions of lamp and radiometer [allow 'bulb'] ✓ maintain power output of [current in or voltage across] the lamp ✓ (reject 'same lamp', 'same power supply', 'same brightness/intensity' or 'constant frequency') maintain intensity of background lighting [use of blackout/dark room] ✓ reject 'turn off background lights' unless 'curtains drawn' is added	3
	difficulties: (difficulty + how overcome = 2) any <b>two</b> of the following:	
	reduce uncertainty in $T$ or $nT$ of rotor (allow ecf rate/frequency but reject any PD marks if measuring cycles in a fixed time) $\checkmark$ by repeating timing and averaging [find $T$ by $nT/n$ ] $\checkmark$ (for frequency/rate accept $f = n/nT$ ) and/or by using a fixed point as reference $\checkmark$ (accept 'fiducial mark': this can be shown in a diagram, but reject idea of marking vane/rotor) and/or by waiting to allow rotor to reach a constant rate of rotation $\checkmark$ and/or by waiting to allow (apparatus to reach) thermal equilibrium $\checkmark$ and/or by choosing suitable separation to increase period [reduce lamp power] $\checkmark$	max 4
	reduce uncertainty in thickness of glass block ✓ by repeating measurement at different points and averaging result ✓ and/or checking for zero error on the micrometer ✓	
	ensure light from lamp incident on radiometer has passed through absorber [light has been formed into a narrow beam] ✓ by use of screens, light box or other valid suggestion ✓ (check diagram) (reject 'absorber placed close to radiometer')	
	ensure incident light is perpendicular to absorber surface ✓ by use of set square ✓	
	Total	max 8

Ques	stion 2	AO3b: implementing				
(a) (i)	and (ii)	initial observations:	$m$ either 50 g or 100 g, $h > 500$ mm $\checkmark$ (deduct for any s.f. error in (b))	1		
(b)		tabulation:	<i>h</i> /mm <i>m</i> /g ✓ ✓	2		
		results:	nine additional sets of $h$ and $m \checkmark$ (no credit if $m = \Delta m$ ) $h$ from repeated readings, averages calculated $\checkmark$	2		
		significant figures:	all $h$ to nearest mm (including part (a)) $\checkmark$ all $m$ recorded consistently (including part (a); if to kg, then all 3 s.f.) $\checkmark$	2		
(c)		quality:	at least 8 points to ± 2 mm of best fit line √ (providing suitably-scaled graph drawn)	1		
		AO3c: applying evidence and drawing conclusions				
		axes:	marked $m/kg$ and $h/mm \checkmark \checkmark$ deduct $1/2$ for each error or omission, rounding down	2		
		scales:	suitable (e.g. $8 \times 8$ ) $\checkmark \checkmark$ , $[5 \times 5, 2 \times 8, 8 \times 2 \checkmark]$	2		
		points:	ten points plotted correctly (check at least one) with best- fit line drawn consisting of two straight-line sections of negative gradient; lines should be joined but tolerate some curvature or intersection where lines meet $\checkmark$ (no credit if $m = \Delta m$ )	1		
(d)	(i)/(ii)	$G_1$ and $G_2$ from suitable $\Delta s$ (e.g. $8 \times 8$ ): apply to larger $\checkmark$ values should be shown as negative				
	(iii)	$\frac{G_2}{G_1}$ , no unit, in range 2.37 to 2.63 or 2.5 $\checkmark\checkmark$ [2.25 to 2.75 or 2.3, 2.4, 2.6 or 2.7 $\checkmark$ ]				
		AO3d: evaluating evidence and procedures				
(e)	(i)	measured $h$ with ruler made vertical using a set-square $\checkmark$ checked in two (mutually) perpendicular directions $\checkmark$ repeated $h$ readings e.g. for loading and unloading, and find average (only acceptable if evidence provided in table) $\checkmark$ avoid parallax by viewing ruler at eye level or by use of set square against vertical ruler to read scale $\checkmark$ wait until system is at rest [stable] (reject 'equilibrium') before measuring $h$				
	(ii)	in region where gradient is $G_1$ , the central spring is not placed under tension [thread is not tight] $\checkmark$ so system is easier to stretch [stiffness of system in this region is less] $\checkmark$ [central spring not placed under tension (thread is not tight) until region where gradient is $G_2 \checkmark$ , so system is harder to stretch (stiffness of system in this region is greater or change in extension per unit mass is less $\checkmark$ )]				
	(iii)	extend linear region where gradient = $G_1$ until $m$ = 1 kg then <b>read off</b> $h$ value (from horizontal axis): can be implied in sketch [extraopolating to find intercept, $m_0$ , then using 1 = $G_1h + m_0$ ] $\checkmark$ assumption is that the springs continue to stretch linearly/uniformly $\checkmark$ [not stretched beyond limit of proportionality or system continues to obey Hooke's Law; allow 'extension proportional to tension' or $G$ constant] (reject 'mass doesn't reach floor', $m \propto h$ , or ideas about elastic or plastic behaviour, e.g. springs do not reach the elastic limit)				
			Total	22		