



Rewarding Learning

**ADVANCED
General Certificate of Education
2012**

Mathematics

Assessment Unit S4

assessing

Module S2: Statistics 2

[AMS41]

FRIDAY 22 JUNE, AFTERNOON

**MARK
SCHEME**

GCE ADVANCED/ADVANCED SUBSIDIARY (AS) MATHEMATICS

Introduction

The mark scheme normally provides the most popular solution to each question. Other solutions given by candidates are evaluated and credit given as appropriate; these alternative methods are not usually illustrated in the published mark scheme.

The marks awarded for each question are shown in the right-hand column and they are prefixed by the letters **M**, **W** and **MW** as appropriate. The key to the mark scheme is given below:

M indicates marks for correct method.

W indicates marks for working.

MW indicates marks for combined method and working.

The solution to a question gains marks for correct method and marks for an accurate working based on this method. Where the method is not correct no marks can be given.

A later part of a question may require a candidate to use an answer obtained from an earlier part of the same question. A candidate who gets the wrong answer to the earlier part and goes on to the later part is naturally unaware that the wrong data is being used and is actually undertaking the solution of a parallel problem from the point at which the error occurred. If such a candidate continues to apply correct method, then the candidate's individual working must be followed through from the error. If no further errors are made, then the candidate is penalised only for the initial error. Solutions containing two or more working or transcription errors are treated in the same way. This process is usually referred to as "follow-through marking" and allows a candidate to gain credit for that part of a solution which follows a working or transcription error.

Positive marking:

It is our intention to reward candidates for any demonstration of relevant knowledge, skills or understanding. For this reason we adopt a policy of **following through** their answers, that is, having penalised a candidate for an error, we mark the succeeding parts of the question using the candidate's value or answers and award marks accordingly.

Some common examples of this occur in the following cases:

- (a) a numerical error in one entry in a table of values might lead to several answers being incorrect, but these might not be essentially separate errors;
- (b) readings taken from candidates' inaccurate graphs may not agree with the answers expected but might be consistent with the graphs drawn.

When the candidate misreads a question in such a way as to make the question easier only a proportion of the marks will be available (based on the professional judgement of the examining team).

		AVAILABLE MARKS
1 (i)	$r = \frac{\sum xy - \frac{\sum x \cdot \sum y}{n}}{\sqrt{\left(\sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n}\right)\left(\sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n}\right)}}$	M1
	$= \frac{130384 - \frac{1717 \times 755}{10}}{\sqrt{\left(295511 - \frac{1717^2}{10}\right)\left(58655 - \frac{755^2}{10}\right)}}$	
	$= \frac{750.5}{\sqrt{702.1 \times 1652.5}}$	MW1 MW2
	$= 0.69675... = 0.697 \text{ (3 sf)}$	W1
	(ii) Moderate positive correlation between height and weight	M1
2	$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n} \right]$	M1
	$= \frac{1}{39} \left[2818 - \frac{288^2}{40} \right] = 19.087$	W1
	$\bar{x} = \frac{288}{40} = 7.2$	MW1
	$CI = 7.2 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{19.087}{40}}$	M1
	$= (5.846..., 8.553...)$	MW1 MW1
	$= (5.85, 8.55) \text{ (3 sf)}$	W2

		AVAILABLE MARKS
3 (i)	$y = a + bx$	
	$b = \frac{\sum xy - \frac{\sum x \cdot \sum y}{n}}{\sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n}}$	M1
	$b = \frac{15140 - \frac{1050 \times 101.9}{6}}{227500 - \frac{1050^2}{6}}$	W1
	$= -0.0615$	W1
	$a = \bar{y} - b\bar{x}$	M1
	$= \frac{101.9}{6} + 0.0615 \times \frac{1050}{6}$	MW1
	$= 27.745$	
	$y = 27.7 - 0.0615x$	W1
(ii)	$\hat{y} = 27.7 - 0.0615(220)$	M1
	$= 14.2^\circ$ (3 sf)	W1
(iii)	Outside range of values of x	M1
		9
4 (i)	The Null Hypothesis is the condition assumed true under the condition of a hypothesis test.	M2
(ii)	$\bar{x} = \frac{596}{50} = 11.92$	MW1
	$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{49} \left[7576 - \frac{596^2}{50} \right]$	M1
	$\hat{\sigma} = 3.10$ (3 sf)	W1
	$H_0: \mu = 12.5$	M1
	$H_1: \mu \neq 12.5$	M1
	Two-tailed test	M1
	$Z_{\text{crit}} = \pm 1.96$	M1
	$Z_{\text{test}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n}}$	M1
	$= \frac{11.92 - 12.5}{3.10/\sqrt{50}}$	MW2
	$= -1.32$	W1
	Since $ Z_{\text{test}} < 1.96$ we do not reject H_0	M1
	There is insufficient evidence at 5% level to suggest that the average weekly amount of pocket money is not £12.50	M1
		15

		AVAILABLE MARKS
5	Let X be r.v. "the mass of a bun, in grams"	
	$X \sim N(50, 2.1^2)$	
	$\bar{X}_4 \sim N\left(50, \frac{2.1^2}{4}\right) = N(50, 1.05^2)$	MW2
	$P(49 < \bar{X}_4 < 51) = \left(\frac{49 - 50}{1.05} < Z < \frac{51 - 50}{1.05}\right)$	
	$= (-0.9524 < Z < 0.9524)$	MW2
	$= 2\Phi(0.9524) - 1$	M1
	$= 2 \times 0.8296 - 1$	MW1
	$= 0.6592$	W1
	$= 65.9\% (3 \text{ sf})$	W1
		8
6	$\sum x = 895.7 \quad \sum x^2 = 89158.21$	
	$\bar{x} = 895.7 \div 9 = 99.5\dot{2}$	MW1
	$\hat{\sigma} = 1.42(3 \text{ sf})$	MW1
	$H_0: \mu = 100$	M1
	$H_1: \mu < 100$	M1
	One-tailed test (lower)	M1
	t -test	
	8 degrees of freedom	MW1
	Critical value: -1.86	MW1
	$t_{\text{test}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{n}}$	M1
	$= \frac{99.5\dot{2} - 100}{1.42/\sqrt{9}}$	MW2
	$= -1.009\dots$	W1
	As $t_{\text{test}} > t_{\text{crit}}$ we do not reject H_0 and conclude that there is insufficient evidence at 5% level to suggest that the mean amount of coffee in jars is less than 100 g.	M1
		M1
		13

7 (i) $S \sim N(75 + 75 + 75, 6 + 6 + 6) = N(225, 18)$

MW2

$$\begin{aligned} P(S \geq 235) &= P\left(Z \geq \frac{235 - 225}{\sqrt{18}}\right) \\ &= P(Z \geq 2.357) \\ &= 1 - \Phi(2.357) \\ &= 1 - 0.9906 \\ &= 0.0094 \end{aligned}$$

MW1
M1W1
W1

(ii) $P \sim N(3 \times 75, 3^2 \times 6) = N(225, 54)$

MW2

$$\begin{aligned} P(T \geq 235) &= P\left(Z \geq \frac{235 - 225}{\sqrt{54}}\right) \\ &= P(Z \geq 1.361) \\ &= 1 - 0.9132 \\ &= 0.0868 \end{aligned}$$

MW1
MW1

(iii) $X \sim N(75, 6) \quad Y \sim N(60, 8)$

$$\begin{aligned} 4Y - 3X &\sim N(4 \times 60 - 3 \times 75, 4^2 + 8 \times 3^2 \times 6) \\ &N(15, 182) \end{aligned}$$

MW3

$$\begin{aligned} P(4Y - 3X \leq 10) &= P\left(Z \leq \frac{10 - 15}{\sqrt{182}}\right) \\ &= P(Z \leq -0.3706) \\ &= 1 - \Phi(0.3706) \\ &= 1 - 0.6445 \\ &= 0.3555 \\ &= 0.356 \text{ (3 sf)} \end{aligned}$$

MW1
M1
W1

16

Total

75