

GCE 2004
June Series



Mark Scheme

Mathematics and Statistics B *MBS7*

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from:

Publications Department, Aldon House, 39, Heald Grove, Rusholme, Manchester, M14 4NA
Tel: 0161 953 1170

or

download from the AQA website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2004 AQA and its licensors

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 3644723 and a registered charity number 1073334. Registered address AQA, Devas Street, Manchester. M15 6EX.

Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

Key to Mark Scheme

M	mark is for	method
m	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for	method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for	accuracy
B	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for	accuracy
E	mark is for	explanation
✓ or ft or F		follow through from previous incorrect result
cao		correct answer only
cso		correct solution only
awfw		anything which falls within
awrt		anything which rounds to
acf		any correct form
ag		answer given
sc		special case
oe		or equivalent
sf		significant figure(s)
dp		decimal place(s)
A2,1		2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
-x ee		deduct x marks for each error
pi		possibly implied
sca		substantially correct approach

Abbreviations used in Marking

MC – x	deducted x marks for mis-copy
MR – x	deducted x marks for mis-read
isw	ignored subsequent working
bod	given benefit of doubt
wr	work replaced by candidate
fb	formulae book

Application of Mark Scheme

No method shown:

Correct answer without working**mark as in scheme****Incorrect answer without working****zero marks unless specified otherwise**

More than one method / choice of solution:

2 or more complete attempts, neither/none crossed out**mark both/all fully and award the mean mark rounded down****1 complete and 1 partial attempt, neither crossed out****award credit for the complete solution only**

Crossed out work

do not mark unless it has not been replacedAlternative solution **using a correct or partially correct method****award method and accuracy marks as appropriate**

MBS7 (cont)

Question Number and Part	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(a)	$SD(T) = 8$	B1	1	cao
(b)	$P(5 < T < 15) =$ $P(T < 15) - P(T < 5)$ $= \left(1 - e^{-\frac{15}{8}}\right) - \left(1 - e^{-\frac{5}{8}}\right)$ $= e^{-\frac{5}{8}} - e^{-\frac{15}{8}}$ $= 0.53526 - 0.15335 = 0.382$	M1 A1 A1	3	Use of; or use of $\int \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt$ Or $\left[-e^{-\lambda t}\right]_5^{15}$ ≥ 1 correct term awrt
(c)	$P(\text{none from 5.45 to 6.00}) = P(T > 15)$ $= e^{-\frac{15}{8}} = 0.153$ $P(\text{none from 6.00 to 6.15}) = P(S > 15)$ $= e^{-1} = 0.368$ $P(\text{none from 5.45 to 6.15}) = \text{'product'}$ $= e^{-\frac{23}{8}} = 0.056 \text{ to } 0.057$ Or (using Poisson) = $P(0 \lambda = \frac{15}{8} = 1.875)$ \times $P(0 \lambda = \frac{15}{15} = 1)$ $= e^{-1.875} \times e^{-1}$ $= e^{-2.875} = 0.056 \text{ to } 0.057$	M1 A1✓ A1 m1 A1 (M1) (A1) (A1) (m1) (A1)	5	Attempt at either probability ft on part (b) (0.153355) cao/awrt (0.367879) Use of awfw Product awfw
	Total		9	

MBS7 (cont)

Question Number and Part	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(a)(i)	$H_0: p = 0.015$ (1.5%) $H_1: p > 0.015$ (1.5%)	B1 B1	2	Accept $\lambda = 1.5$ } cannot be Accept $\lambda > 1.5$ } scored later
(ii)	$\lambda = 1.5$ $P(X \geq 4) = 1 - P(X \leq 3)$ $= 1 - e^{-1.5} \left(1 + 1.5 + \frac{1.5^2}{2} + \frac{1.5^3}{6} \right)$ $= 1 - 0.22313 \times 4.1875 = 0.065$ to 0.066 < 0.10 (10%) Thus, at 10% level of significance, reason to accept wholesaler's suspicion.	B1 M1 M1 A1 M1 A1✓	6	Stated or implied in (ii) Attempt at Attempt at $P(X \leq 3)$ for Po(1.5) awfw Comparison with 10% ft probability with 10%
(b)	Normal approximation with: $\mu = 30$ and $\sigma^2 = 29.55$ or 30 or $\hat{p} = 0.018$ CV $z = 1.2816$ $z = \frac{(36 \text{ or } 35.5) - 30}{\sqrt{29.55 \text{ or } 30}}$ or $\frac{0.018 - 0.015}{\sqrt{\frac{0.015 \times 0.985}{2000}}}$ 1.00 to 1.15 $P(X \geq 36 B(2000, 0.015)) = 0.155669$ $P(X \geq 36 Po(30)) = 0.157383$ Comparison with 0.10 (10%) Thus, at 10% level of significance, no reason to accept wholesaler's suspicion	B1 B1 M1 A1 (M1) (A2) (m1) A1✓	5	Both; cao, awfw 29.5 to 30 cao awrt 1.28 Normal standardisation awfw; (p -value = 0.125 to 0.160) ft on z and CV or ft on probability with 10%
	Total		13	

MBS7 (cont)

Question Number and Part	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	Linear relationship Negative relationship	E1 E1	2	Or equivalent Or equivalent
(b)	$\hat{\beta} = \frac{-46.5}{6938} = -0.0067$ to -0.006705 $\hat{\alpha} = 6.55 + 0.006702 \times 44 = 6.84$ to 6.85	B1 B1	2	awfw awfw
(c)(i)	$s^2 = \frac{1}{12-2} \left(0.3268 - \frac{(-46.5)^2}{6938} \right)$ $= 0.00151$ to 0.00152	M1 A1	2	Attempt at awfw
(ii)	$H_0: \beta = -0.005$ $H_1: \beta < -0.005$ SL $\alpha = 0.01$ DF $\nu = 12 - 2 = 10$ CV $t = -2.764$ $t = \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{S_{xx}}}} = \frac{-0.0067 - (-0.005)}{\sqrt{\frac{0.0015146}{6938}}}$ $= -3.66$ to -3.63 Thus, at 1% level of significance, evidence that $\beta < -0.005$	B1 B1 B1 M1 A1✓ A1 A1✓	7	Both cao awrt ± 2.76 Use of; allow $\beta_0 = 0$ but not \sqrt{n} ft on $\hat{\beta}$ and s^2 awfw; ignore sign ft on t and CV providing consistent signs
(iii)	For every 1°C rise in temperature the pH decreases , on average, by more than 0.005	B1 B1✓	2	Or equivalent Or equivalent ft on part (c)(ii)
Total			15	

MBS7 (cont)

Question Number and Part	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments																
5(a)(i)	$P(X < x) = P\left(Z < \frac{x-200}{\sqrt{100}}\right)$ $= P(Z < (0.1x - 20))$	B1	1	Accept 10 rather than $\sqrt{100}$ ag																
(ii)	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Interval</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Probability</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>$x < 180$</td> <td>0.02275</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$180 < x \leq 190$</td> <td>0.13591</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$190 < x \leq 200$ given</td> <td>(0.34134)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$200 < x \leq 210$</td> <td>0.34134</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$210 < x \leq 220$</td> <td>0.13591</td> </tr> <tr> <td>$x \geq 220$</td> <td>0.02275</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Interval	Probability	$x < 180$	0.02275	$180 < x \leq 190$	0.13591	$190 < x \leq 200$ given	(0.34134)	$200 < x \leq 210$	0.34134	$210 < x \leq 220$	0.13591	$x \geq 220$	0.02275	B2, 1	2	awfw 0.0227 to 0.0228 awrt 0.136 awrt 0.341 awrt 0.136 awfw 0.0227 to 0.0228 5 or 4 \Rightarrow B2 3 or 2 \Rightarrow B1 Cannot be scored in (b)		
Interval	Probability																			
$x < 180$	0.02275																			
$180 < x \leq 190$	0.13591																			
$190 < x \leq 200$ given	(0.34134)																			
$200 < x \leq 210$	0.34134																			
$210 < x \leq 220$	0.13591																			
$x \geq 220$	0.02275																			
(b)	$H_0: X \sim N(200, 100)$ $H_1: \text{not } H_0$ SL $\alpha = 0.05$ DF $\nu = 6 - 1 = 5$ CV $\chi^2 = 11.070$	B1		or equivalent Not required																
	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><i>O</i></th> <th style="text-align: left;"><i>E</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>19</td><td>13.65</td></tr> <tr><td>74</td><td>81.55</td></tr> <tr><td>193</td><td>204.80</td></tr> <tr><td>218</td><td>204.80</td></tr> <tr><td>85</td><td>81.55</td></tr> <tr><td>11</td><td>13.65</td></tr> <tr style="border-top: 1px solid black;"><td>600</td><td>600.00</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>O</i>	<i>E</i>	19	13.65	74	81.55	193	204.80	218	204.80	85	81.55	11	13.65	600	600.00	M1		Use of probabilities in part (a)(ii)
<i>O</i>	<i>E</i>																			
19	13.65																			
74	81.55																			
193	204.80																			
218	204.80																			
85	81.55																			
11	13.65																			
600	600.00																			
	$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$ $= 4.90 \text{ to } 5.10$	M1		Probabilities $\times 600$																
	Thus, at 5% level of significance, no reason to reject hypothesis that $X \sim N(200, 100)$	A1		Use of awfw Or equivalent																
		A1✓	8	ft on χ^2 and upper CV sc If (a)(ii) not attempted or not used, then (b): B1 B1 B1 M0 M1 M1 A0 A1✓ (max 6/8)																
	Total		11																	
	TOTAL		60																	