



General Certificate of Education

Mathematics 6360

MFP4 Further Pure 4

Mark Scheme

2009 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2009 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

| | |
|---------|--|
| M | mark is for method |
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy |
| E | mark is for explanation |

| | | | |
|------------------|---|-----|----------------------------|
| √ or ft or F | follow through from previous incorrect result | MC | mis-copy |
| CAO | correct answer only | MR | mis-read |
| CSO | correct solution only | RA | required accuracy |
| AWFW | anything which falls within | FW | further work |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to | ISW | ignore subsequent work |
| ACF | any correct form | FIW | from incorrect work |
| AG | answer given | BOD | given benefit of doubt |
| SC | special case | WR | work replaced by candidate |
| OE | or equivalent | FB | formulae book |
| A _{2,1} | 2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks | NOS | not on scheme |
| -x EE | deduct x marks for each error | G | graph |
| NMS | no method shown | c | candidate |
| PI | possibly implied | sf | significant figure(s) |
| SCA | substantially correct approach | dp | decimal place(s) |

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

MFP4

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|----------------|--|----------------------|----------|--|
| 1(a) | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k+14 & -1 \\ 22-k & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ | M1 A1 A1 | 3 | PQ a 2×2 matrix At least one element in C_1 correct All correct |
| (b) | $\begin{aligned} \text{Det}(\mathbf{PQ}) &= 3k + 42 + 22 - k \\ &= 2k + 64 = 0 \\ & \quad \quad \quad k = -32 \end{aligned}$ | M1 A1 | 2 | Det of a square matrix attempted and equated to zero ft in 2×2 case only (linear eqns.) |
| Total | | | 5 | |
| 2(a)(i) | $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | B2 | 2 | |
| (ii) | $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | B1 | 1 | |
| (b)(i) | $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | M1 A1 A1 | 3 | Product correct way around Most correct; all correct ft ft |
| (ii) | Reflection in $x = 0$ (or y - z plane) | M1 A1 | 2 | M for correct R |
| | <u>Note 1:</u> For $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | (B1) | | If all correct, ft their A , B |
| | Reflection in $y = 0$ (or x - z plane) | (M1) (A1) | | Full ft, M for correct R |
| | <u>Note 2:</u> 90° rotation in -ve sense gives | | | |
| | $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | (B1) | | A as before |
| | $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | (M1) (A1) (A1) | | |
| | Reflection in $y = 0$ (or x - z plane) | (M1) (A1) | | Full ft (incl. Note 1 possibility – Reflection in $x = 0$ (or y - z plane)) |
| Total | | | 8 | |

MFP4 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|------|---|---|----------|---|
| 3(a) | $\mathbf{n} = (3\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{k}) \times (4\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k})$ $= 3\mathbf{i} + 5\mathbf{j} - 7\mathbf{k}$ $d = (2\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}) \cdot (\text{their } \mathbf{n}) = 4$ | M1 A1 M1 A1 | 4 | cao ft |
| (b) | $\begin{bmatrix} 7+10t \\ 1+t \\ 4+5t \end{bmatrix}$ subst ^d . into their plane eqn. $21 + 30t + 5 + 5t - 28 - 35t = 4$ Since $-2 \neq 4$, no intersection Line parallel to plane OR $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ Line perp ^r . to nml. \Rightarrow line // to plane OR $\begin{bmatrix} 7+10t \\ 1+t \\ 4+5t \end{bmatrix}$ equated to $\begin{bmatrix} 2+3\lambda+4\mu \\ 1+\lambda-\mu \\ 4+2\lambda+\mu \end{bmatrix}$ Eliminating λ, μ to get linear eqn. in t Since $-2 \neq 4$, no intersection Line parallel to plane | (M1) (A1) (B1) (B1) (M1) (dM1) (A1) (B1) | 4 | (In at least the LHS of it) Linear "eqn." in t created (LHS) Explained or stated. N.B. can ft other d 's (except -2) but if \mathbf{n} is wrong also the t won't vanish, so no ft then May be independently asserted For showing line not in plane Incl. starting to do something Explained or stated May be independently asserted |
| | Total | | 8 | |

MFP4 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|---|---|----------------------|-------|--|
| 4(a) | $3 \times [1] - [2] \Rightarrow 5x - 4y + 14z = 16$ | M2 A1 | 4 | Or eliminating (say) y twice to get two lots of $7x - 2z = 28$ and save the other M1 A1 for demonstrating consistency $5(2\lambda + 4) - 4(1 + 27\lambda) + 14(7\lambda)$ $R_2' = R_2 - R_1$ $R_3' = R_3 - 2R_1$ |
| | Giving no unique soln. <i>and</i> consistent | E1 | | |
| | For those who just show $\Delta = 0$ to conclude that there is no unique soln. | (M1) (A1) | | |
| | OR Solving e.g. in [1] & [2]: $\frac{x-4}{2} = \frac{y-1}{27} = \frac{z}{7} = \lambda$ | (M1) (A1) | | |
| | Subst ^g . in [3] for x, y, z in terms of λ Showing LHS = RHS = 16 | (M1) (A1) | | |
| | OR $\begin{array}{ccc ccc c} 3 & -1 & 3 & 11 & 3 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & -5 & 17 & \rightarrow & 1 & 2 & -8 & 6 \\ 5 & -4 & 14 & 16 & & -1 & -2 & 8 & -6 \end{array}$ | (M1) (A1) (A1) | | |
| | $R_2' = -R_3' \Rightarrow$ no unique soln. and consistency | (E1) | | |
| | OR Showing $\Delta = 0 \Rightarrow$ no unique soln. | (M1) (A1) | | |
| | Attempt at each of $\Delta_x = \begin{vmatrix} 11 & -1 & 3 \\ 17 & 1 & -5 \\ 16 & -4 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$, | | | |
| | $\Delta_y = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 11 & 3 \\ 4 & 17 & -5 \\ 5 & 16 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$ and $\Delta_z = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 11 \\ 4 & 1 & 17 \\ 5 & -4 & 16 \end{vmatrix}$ | (M1) | | |
| Each shown = 0 and this \Rightarrow consistency | (A1) | | | |
| (b) | Setting $x' = x, y' = y, z' = z$ | M1 | 8 | Or equivalent Reducing to 2×2 system; Correctly fit their system Solving ; correctly Subst ^g . back to find 3rd coord. |
| | $\begin{array}{rcl} 2 & = & -y + 3z \\ -12 & = & 2x + 5y - 4z \\ 30 & = & 4x + 11y + 3z \end{array}$ | A1 | | |
| | E.g. $\left. \begin{array}{l} 2 = 3z - y \\ 54 = 11z + y \end{array} \right\} \text{ by } (3) - 2 \times (2)$ | M1 A1 | | |
| | $\begin{array}{l} z = 4, \quad y = 10 \\ x = -23 \end{array}$ | M1 A1 M1 A1 | | |
| | OR Other methods for solving a 3×3 system will be constructed should they arise | | | |
| | Total | | | |

MFP4 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|-----------|---|
| 5(a)(i) | $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c} = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 0$ | M1 A1 | 2 | Legitimately shown to be zero |
| (ii) | $\overline{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{AC} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{AD} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ <p>Attempt at $\overline{AB} \cdot \overline{AC} \times \overline{AD}$ $V = 6$</p> | M1 A1 M1 A1 | 4 | At least two correct Any order (+/-), some Sc.Trip.Pr. cao and not -ve |
| (b)(i) | $\overline{BD} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}; \text{ i.e. } 2 : 3 : 6$ | M1 A1 | 2 | |
| (ii) | $\sqrt{2^2 + 3^2 + 6^2} = 7$ <p>DCs are $\frac{2}{7}, \frac{3}{7}, \frac{6}{7}$</p> | M1 A1 | 2 | ft |
| Total | | | 10 | |
| 6(a) | Det(M) = 1 \Rightarrow Area invariant under T | B1 B1 | 2 | 2nd B1 ft ref. "area" |
| (b) | Char. Eqn. $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 = 0$ $\Rightarrow \lambda = 1$ (twice) Subst ^g . their λ back to find an evect: $\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (Since $\lambda = 1$) this represents a line of inv. pts. | M1 A1 M1 A1 B1 | 5 | Any (non-zero) α ft if $\lambda \neq 1$ |
| (c) | $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \frac{1}{2}x + k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x + 4k \\ \frac{1}{2}x + 3k \end{bmatrix}$ <p>Verifying that $y' = \frac{1}{2}x' + k$</p> | M1 A1 A1 | 3 | Be convinced AG |
| (d) | Inv. line (or parallel to) $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ Mapping (e.g.) (1, 0) to (-1, -1) Give 0 + 0 if called any other kind of transformation | B1 B1 | 2 | Any pt. not on $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ and its image |
| Total | | | 12 | |

MFP4 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|---------|--|------------------------------|-----------|--|
| 7(a)(i) | $\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ | B1 B1 | | D, U (alt. choices ok) |
| (ii) | $\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -3 \\ 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or}$ $\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 6 & -12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -3 \\ 24 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$ | B1 B1 M1 A1 | 4 | ft 1st B1 provided $\det \neq 0$ ft 2nd B1 in non-trivial cases Some attempt at mtx. multn. First multn. correct ft |
| (b)(i) | <p>When n even, $\mathbf{D}^n = \begin{bmatrix} 3^n & 0 \\ 0 & 3^n \end{bmatrix}$</p> $\mathbf{M}^n = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \cdot 3^n & -3^n \\ -2 \cdot 3^n & 3^n \end{bmatrix} \text{ or}$ $\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3^n & 3^n \\ 2 \cdot 3^n & 4 \cdot 3^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ correct}$ <p>Showing $\mathbf{M}^n = 3^n \mathbf{I}$ legitimately</p> | M1 A1 | | Incl. use in mtx. multn. of form $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ Correct ft |
| (ii) | <p>When n odd, $\mathbf{D}^n = \begin{bmatrix} 3^n & 0 \\ 0 & -3^n \end{bmatrix}$</p> $\mathbf{M}^n = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \cdot 3^n & -3^n \\ 2 \cdot 3^n & -3^n \end{bmatrix} \text{ or}$ $\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3^n & -3^n \\ 2 \cdot 3^n & -4 \cdot 3^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ correct}$ <p>Showing $\mathbf{M}^n = 3^{n-1} \mathbf{M}$ legitimately</p> | M1 A1 | 3 | Incl. use in mtx. multn. of form $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^n \mathbf{U}^{-1}$ Correct ft |
| | Total | | 13 | |
| 8(a) | $\text{Det}(\mathbf{M}) = a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3abc$ | M1 A1 | 2 | Good attempt; correct |
| (b) | $\begin{bmatrix} ad+bf+ce & ae+bd+cf & af+be+cd \\ af+be+cd & ad+bf+ce & ae+bd+cf \\ ae+bd+cf & af+be+cd & ad+bf+ce \end{bmatrix}$ | M1 A1 A1 | 3 | At least 5 correct; all 9 correct |
| (c) | <p>Use of $\text{det}(\mathbf{MN}) = \text{det}(\mathbf{M}) \text{det}(\mathbf{N})$ $x = ad + bf + ce$, $y = ae + bd + cf$ and $z = af + be + cd$</p> | M1 A1 | 2 | All correctly identified Give B1 (SC) if just this with no explanation why |
| | Total | | 7 | |
| | TOTAL | | 75 | |