

Paper Reference(s)

YLA0/01

**Pearson Edexcel
International Advanced
Level
Law**

Paper 1

Tuesday 10 June 2014 – Afternoon

Time: 3 hours

Materials required for examination

Answer book (AB16)

Items included with question papers

Nil

Instructions to Candidates

In the boxes on the answer book, write your centre number, candidate number, your surname and initials, the paper reference (YLA0/01) and your signature.

Answer FOUR questions: TWO questions from Part One and TWO questions from Part Two.

Your answers must be fully supported with appropriate legal authority and examples.

Answer your questions in the answer book.

Indicate which questions you are answering, in the boxes on the front page of the answer book AND in the left-hand margin of the answer space.

Do not use pencil. Use blue or black ink.

Information for Candidates

All questions carry equal marks.

The total mark for this paper is 100.

This paper has 10 questions. Page 4 is blank.

Advice to Candidates

Write your answers neatly and in good English.

Printer's Log. No.

P43273A



Turn over

Answer FOUR questions

**TWO questions must be chosen from Part One
and TWO questions must be chosen from Part Two**

PART ONE

1. “There are areas of morality and immorality that are not the law’s business.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

2. “Law is not an efficient vehicle of social change.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

3. “Since its inception, equity has merely been a gloss on the common law.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

4. “The doctrine of strict liability has no place in modern law.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

5. “The Human Rights Act 1998 does not impose any new obligations on the judiciary, but in any case it should be repealed.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

TOTAL FOR PART ONE: 50 MARKS

PART TWO

6. “Judges have always been too literal-minded in their approach to statutory interpretation.”
Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

7. “Abolishing the doctrine of judicial precedent would make little difference to the development of the law.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

8. “The lay magistracy has no place in the modern UK legal system.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

9. “The arguments in favour of retention of the jury far outweigh those for its abolition.”
Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

10. “European law will eventually swamp UK law, which will lose all of its significant features, such as the sovereignty of Parliament.” Explain and evaluate this statement.

(Total 25 marks)

TOTAL FOR PART TWO: 50 MARKS

TOTAL FOR PAPER: 100 MARKS

END

BLANK PAGE