

General Certificate of Education

Law 5161

Unit 3 (LAW3) The Concept of Liability

Mark Scheme

2007 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

LAW3

Assessment Objectives One and Two

General Marking Guidance

You should remember that your marking standards should reflect the levels of performance of candidates, mainly 17 years old, who have completed some part of the advanced subsidiary course, writing under examination conditions. The Potential Content given in each case is the most likely correct response to the question set. However, this material is neither exhaustive nor prescriptive and alternative, valid responses should be given credit within the framework of the mark bands.

Positive Marking

You should be positive in your marking, giving credit for what is there rather than being too conscious of what is not. Do not deduct marks for irrelevant or incorrect answers, as candidates penalise themselves in terms of the time they have spent.

Mark Range

You should use the whole mark range available in the mark scheme. Where the candidate's response to a question is such that the mark scheme permits full marks to be awarded, full marks must be given. A perfect answer is not required. Conversely, if the candidate's answer does not deserve credit, then no marks should be given.

Levels of Response for Essay Marking

When reading an essay it is useful to annotate your recognition of the achievement of a response level. This will help the Team Leader follow your thought processes. Levels of response marking relies on recognition of the highest Level achieved by the candidate. When you have finished reading the essay, therefore, think top-down, rather than bottom-up. In other words, has the candidate's overall answer met the requirements for the top level? If not, the next level?

Citation of Authority

Candidates will have been urged to use cases and statutes whenever appropriate. Even where no specific reference is made to these in the mark scheme, please remember that their use considerably enhances the quality of an answer.

Assessment Objective Three

Quality of Written Communication

The Code of Practice for GCSE, GCSE in vocational subjects, GCE, VCE and GNVQ requires the assessment of candidates' quality of written communication wherever they are required to write in continuous prose. In this unit, this assessment will take place by marking the candidate's script as a whole, by means of the following criteria:

- Level 3 Moderately complex ideas are expressed clearly and reasonably fluently, through well linked sentences and paragraphs. Arguments are generally relevant and well structured. There may be occasional errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

 4-5 marks
- Level 2 Straightforward ideas are expressed clearly, if not always fluently. Sentences and paragraphs may not always be well connected. Arguments may sometimes stray from the point or be weakly presented. There may be some errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling, but not such as to detract from communication of meaning.

 2-3 marks
- Level 1 Simple ideas are expressed clearly, but arguments may be of doubtful relevance or be obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, sufficient to detract from communication of meaning.

 1 mark
- Level 0 Ideas are expressed poorly and sentences and paragraphs are not connected.

 There are errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling, such as to severely impair communication of meaning.

 0 marks

The level of understanding in AS Law - LAW3

To help you find the level of understanding shown in a script, there will be some of the following characteristics shown. It is important to remember that the assessment is aimed at the notional 17-year-old, so the level of understanding required by these criteria will be that of the notional 17-year-old.

Sound	 The material will be generally accurate and contain relevant material to the Potential Content. The material will be supported by generally relevant authority and/or examples. It will generally deal with the Potential Content in a manner required by the question. As a consequence, the essential features of the Potential Content are dealt with competently and coherently.
Clear	 The material is broadly accurate and relevant to the Potential Content. The material will be supported by some use of relevant authority and/or examples. The material will broadly deal with the Potential Content in a manner required by the question. As a consequence, the underlying concepts of the Potential Content will be present, though there may be some errors, omissions and/or confusion which prevent the answer from being fully rounded or developed.
Some	 The material shows some accuracy and relevance to the Potential Content. The material may occasionally be supported by some relevant authority and/or examples. The material will deal with some of the Potential Content in a manner required by the question. As a consequence, few of the concepts of the Potential Content are established as there will be errors, omissions and/or confusion which undermine the essential features of the Potential Content.

Total for this question: 35 marks

(a) Criminal offences require *actus reus*, including *causation*. Explain, using examples, the meaning of the terms *actus reus* and *causation*. (15 marks)

Potential Content

1

- (A) Explanation of *actus reus*, eg criminal acts, criminal omissions, state of affairs, including relevant cases and examples
- (B) Explanation of causation, eg factual "but for" test; legal significant and operative cause, *novus actus interveniens*, medical intervention cases, foreseeability, including relevant cases and examples

Mark Bands

12 - 15 The candidate deals with (A) - (B) as follows:

max 15: two sound

max 13: one sound, one clear.

8-11 The candidate deals with (A) - (B) as follows:

max 11: one sound, one some or two clear

max 10: one sound

max 9: one clear, one somemax 8: one clear or two some.

- 4-7 The candidate begins to display limited understanding by introducing explanation of any of the material in (A) (B). Where the candidate introduces material across the range, it will be superficial.
- 1 3 The answer consists of brief, fragmented comments or examples so that no coherent explanation and application emerges or mistakes and confusion fundamentally undermine a more substantial attempt at explanation and application.
- The answer contains no relevant information.

(b) Taking into account the explanations in your answer to part (a) above, discuss the criminal liability (including any causation issues) of Rose for **all** the injuries suffered by Leanne.

(10 marks)

Potential Content

- (A) Discussion and application of an appropriate offence based on the injury caused directly by the tray (abh (s47)/battery)

 NB discussion of s20 may enhance or be treated as an alternative to s47.
- (B) Application of factual and legal causation issue with respect to the paralysis, eg but for test; not reasonably foreseeable natural event as intervening act; possible reference **Pagett**; discussion of s20 in relation to the paralysis will enhance a weaker application of causation.

Mark Bands

8-10 The candidate deals with (A) - (B) as follows:

max 10: two sound

max 9: one sound, one clear

max 8: one sound, one some or two clear.

5-7 The candidate deals with (A) - (B) as follows:

max 7: one sound or one clear, one some

max 5: one clear or two some.

- 3 4 The candidate demonstrates limited capacity for explanation and/or application, but neither is clear.
- 1 2 The answer consists of brief, fragmented comments or examples so that no coherent explanation and application emerges or mistakes and confusion fundamentally undermine a more substantial attempt at explanation and application.
- The answer contains no relevant information.

(c) Assuming Rose is convicted of an offence, outline the **issues** that the court may take into account before passing sentence on her, and also the **range** of sentences available to the court.

(10 marks)

Potential Content

- (A) Outline and application of the relevant issues: aggravating/mitigating factors, eg plea, antecedents, court, maximum sentence, tariff, level of injury
- **NB** Credit will be given for reference to the aims of sentencing
- (B) Outline of the range of sentences available, eg custodial, community, fine, discharge

Mark Bands

8 - 10 The candidate deals with (A) - (B) as follows:

max 10: two sound

max 9: one sound, one clear

max 8: one sound, one some or two clear.

5 - 7 The candidate deals with (A) - (B) as follows:

max 7: one sound or one clear, one some

max 5: one clear or two some.

- 3 4 The candidate demonstrates limited capacity for explanation and/or application but neither is clear.
- 1 2 The answer consists of brief, fragmented comments or examples so that no coherent explanation and application emerges

or

mistakes and confusion fundamentally undermine a more substantial attempt at explanation and application.

The answer contains no relevant information.

2 Total for this question: 25 marks

(a) In negligence cases, there has to be proof of **duty**, **breach** and **damage**. Outline what **each** of these **three** terms means. (15 marks)

Potential Content

- (A) Outline of duty, eg neighbour test, Caparo 3-part test
- (B) Outline of breach, eg standard of reasonable man and risk factors
- (C) Outline of damage, eg remoteness based on causation and foreseeability

Mark Bands

12-15 The candidate deals with (A) - (C) as follows:

max 15: two sound, one clear

max 13: two sound, one some or one sound, two clear

max 12: two sound or one sound, one clear, one some or three clear.

8-11 The candidate deals with (A) - (C) as follows:

max 11: one sound, one clear or one sound, two some or two clear, one some

max 10: one sound, one some or two clear or one clear, two some

max 9: one sound or one clear, one some or three some

max 8: one clear or two some.

- 4-7 The candidate begins to display limited understanding by introducing explanation of any of the material in (A) (C). Where the candidate introduces material across the range, it will be superficial.
- 1 3 The answer consists of brief, fragmented comments or examples so that no coherent explanation and application emerges or mistakes and confusion fundamentally undermine a more substantial attempt at explanation and application.
- The answer contains no relevant information.

(b) Using the rules set out in your answer to part (a) above, discuss whether Abdul has been negligent towards Tom. (10 marks)

Potential Content

- (A) Discussion and application with appropriate conclusion of duty owed
- (B) Discussion and application with appropriate conclusion of breach of duty
- (C) Discussion and application with appropriate conclusion of remoteness of damage

Mark Bands

8 - 10 The candidate deals with (A) - (C) as follows:

max 10: two sound, one clear

max 9: two sound, one some or one sound, two clear

max 8: two sound or one sound, one clear, one some or three clear.

5 - 7 The candidate deals with (A) - (C) as follows:

> max 7: one sound, one clear or one sound, two some or two clear, one some

max 6: one sound, one some or two clear or one clear, two some

max 5: one sound or one clear, one some or three some.

3 - 4 The candidate demonstrates limited capacity for explanation and/or application but neither is clear.

1 - 2 The answer consists of brief, fragmented comments or examples so that no coherent explanation and application emerges

mistakes and confusion fundamentally undermine a more substantial attempt at explanation and application.

0 The answer contains no relevant information.

ASSESSMENT GRID

(to show the allocation of marks to Assessment Objectives)

Advanced Subsidiary Level law (LAW3)

UNIT 3	AO1	AO2	AO3
Question 1 (a) Question 1 (b) Question 1 (c)	12 0 4	3 10 6	
Question 2 (a) Question 2 (b)	12 0	3 10	
AO3 (Quality of Written Communication for the paper as a whole)			5
Total marks	28	32	5