



Examiners' Report June 2011

GCE Japanese 6JA02 01

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a>.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link: <a href="http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/">http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/</a>

Alternatively, you can contact our Languages Advisor directly by sending an email to Alistair Drewery on <a href="mailto:LanguagesSubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk">LanguagesSubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk</a>.
You can also telephone 0844 576 0035 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

# **Results**Plus

# Get more from your exam results

#### ...and now your mock results too!

ResultsPlus is Edexcel's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam and mock performance, helping you to help them more effectively.

- See your students' scores for every exam question
- Spot topics, skills and types of question where they need to improve their learning
- Understand how your students' performance compares with Edexcel national averages
- Track progress against target grades and focus revision more effectively with NEW Mock Analysis

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <a href="www.edexcel.com/resultsplus">www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</a>. To set up your ResultsPlus account, call 0844 576 0024

June 2011

Publications Code US028329

All the material in this publication is copyright © Edexcel Ltd 2011

# Introduction

The new A2 examination is similar in style to the old A2, and therefore candidates seem to know exactly what to expect and be very well prepared by their teachers. Once again, there were some essays of exceptionally high standard for the topics and texts, and the amount of perparation and work that must have been put in by both teachers and candidates was evident.

Most candidates, including the very weakest, attempted all parts of the paper. Even the weakest candidates are making a reasonable attempt to understand the first passage and answer the questions, which is pleasing. The translation is the hardest section for candidates: they should be encouraged to write in simple, clear sentences, and learn the skills of paraphrasing / "talking around" any unknown vocabulary items.

#### Question 1

As already mentioned, most candidates attempted all the questions in this section. The easiest questions were (i) and (j) - so a note to future candidates to keep trying all the way through: the easiest questions are not necessarily all at the beginning.

Most candidates attempted, and correctly answered, the definition question in (a), which was pleasing.

- (g) was difficult, with some candidates erroneously answering はい、ずっと続けてきました。
- (k) proved very problematic, with many candidates failing to recognize that this was an "or" question. Candidates need further practice in identifying the different types of question and answering them appropriately.

#### **Question 2**

As mentioned above, most candidates made an attempt at the translation, although with varying degrees of success. Perhaps the fact that it was set out as bullet points and they could tackle each sentence in isolation encouraged them. It is imporant that candidates practice the skill of "talking around" a word they do not know - for example, many used 使える if they did not know 実用的 for "practical".

It was surprising to the examiners that so few candidates knew the word for "police" or "nurse". "Drugs" was also largely unknown, and whilst it is accepted that this is a more difficult lexical item, the topic is on the specification.

A sensible middle ground needs to be struck on the use of katakana for any unknown words. It is accepted as a possible strategy where there are a very small number of key words that the candidate does not know: however, if every other word has just been transcribed into katakana, the candidate is not going to score a high mark.

This is a typical response form an average candidate.

Please note that the translation is marked sentence by sentence; on the principle of 1 mark for fully (or nearly fully) correct, half marks for getting the main point across but with significant loss of information or grammatical errors, and 0 marks for anything below that.

のないないた。生活のワーケシュ、ファが、バースのよやよがの三大学校でないになった。学林交ずって、三百六年生たりはました。
・古重かけ:
・大学林交の最初年のお金について筒甲ドー分かる言言し合う。
・水本祭にみにからたいで、デディマスさんによってドラグについて言言し合う。
・ヤクスの学覧事について、ナースによって大きれででんりかせっかいがなる。
・国内リナイツ者のアレクス・マグさんによって 年へくてけんてい自うかい 「京 モットツィス。」・ 速くていばかりちょう。・ 速くていばかりちょう。・ 透くていばかりちょう。・ ジャインのよう。・ ジャインのはまる トット・デール のすまがる。



The passage has been attempted all the way through, and the basic strucuture of the sentences, together with the particles, are generally correct, which makes the passage comprehensible. There is perhaps a slight over-use of katakana for unknown words.

The first sentence was given half marks although it is very close to a 1, and if the candidate had written 地方 rather than 地球 and perhaps  $\equiv 0$  rather than  $\equiv$  it would have achieved 1 mark. The second sentence was given 0, as it would be incomprehensible to a native speaker of Japanese. The 活動は was given half marks as the sentence did not finish.

All the bullet points were given half marks, as they correctly convey the basic idea, but have information missing, or have words in katakana which should have been known in Japanese etc. The only exception is the second bullet point 楽しみに成るたいそうのれんしゅう, which is not at all what the original said.

The last sentence was also given half marks, since the nuance of the sentence has been considerably simplified.

This gives a total mark of 4.



Candidates should concentrate on the subject and the verb, and try to get the main message across, even if they have to simplify quite a lot of the details. They should keep going all the way through, right to the end. They will invariably pick up valuable marks.

This is typical of a very good candidate.

シックスフォーム生息を生しけんこうをころい THATTHAM AMANTAM レナルコラてきな生きものためのワークジェッフッサー ハベスエリアの三枝で関かせれました。学校一つにつき、三百人 しまで、のシックスフォー論のはない、出意しました。 した事の中には、このようなかそのか、ありました。 ・大学の一年目に ※の神が他でか全をどう使えばいいか についての 関単な言品 リラックスするためのシンプルな運動のデモンストレーションの ・・脚でが窓室室のアラン・デジムスがまとかた藤藻生物について ・おを者されによる大切て"動脈実『祭に役に立つ騰騰事をまとめた 4生教育 · MALINA BOUNDAN TO TO TO TO TO TO THE TO THE STEN STEN STEN TO THE TO THE TO THE TO THE STEN TO THE TO TH マケス、いこよる、1本い良くて、かつ安い食事の棚棚料理粉法脚 のデキソストレーション。 ・おまける、日マる、そしてもちろんまけるケーム、AMONAトルシンボーノレWONDON イントロダークション イベントは、大せいこうで、飲む脚幽幽を加えまたったから、東年か るも関かれることしこなりそうです。



The only major error is the お医者さん instead of "nurse", although it would have been nice if the candidate had come up with 紹介 instead of イントロダクション - it was presumed that they would know this word from their study of topics and texts. The second half of the last sentence is also subtly different from the original text.


The piece was given a mark of 9 overall.

#### Question 3 (a)

Please note that most comments apply to all topics and texts. Therefore this report will provide exmplification of candidates' work, together with tips and / or comments, for a selection of questions. These questions will mainly be those which were more popular, as well as those for which there is evidence that they are actually being taught.

Q3(a) was very popular. Many candidates were able to give a detailed introduction to their chosen region, as well as a comprehensive introduction to famous places to visit.

This is typical of a response by a very strong candidate.



			Answ	er spac	e for yo	our FIRS	T essay	(contin	ued)			
年	1)	Ξ	14	催	t	0		地	3	IJ.	有	3
12	n	四	駐	×	A	諏	E	2	2	2	名	0
	_	华	12	N	h'	訪	崎	(	( )	4	2"	E
度	>	10	指	5	b	神	5	7	(1	Ш	美	此大
	t="	始	定	٥	h	社	4	知	0	ラ	味	12
当	0	84	$\bigcirc$	国	A	n	h	b	47	ح	(	行
番	セ	5	2	0	ま	孥	5	be	ス	2	()	2
12	>	M	()	無	۲"	礼	1#	Z	テ	を	E	+=
成	0	+= E	32	开纟	0	1="		()	ラ	食	씈	5
7	町	=		民	Ξ	2		3	0)	<b>√</b>	5	
2	h"	X	灰	族	四里	+	埼	٥	発	7	4	特
/	t	祭	六	文	間	A	市		生	H	4	1=

			Answ	er spac	e for yo	ur FIRS	T essay	(contin	ued)			
6	ヺ	コ		()	2.,	8	3	K7	見	市	Ш	3
2	15	(۱۰)	3	经	*	女	٥	15	7	0	車	0
3	1	+	L	験	15	0	男	世	۲,	中	を	町
۲.	12	ラ	Z	t="	()	1	0	な	3	を	神	n
も	上	ン		6	0	h"	出	ح.	1	31	前	志
0	>	k.,	7"		t	Ш	L	a	h	()	12	ン
1+2	Z	0	ラ		2	車	醬	h'	ホ	2	E	#
84	日日	1	13		2	を	物	1+	1	踊	*	tr
12	本	7	1		_	引	h'`	声	7	')	z.	2
建	1	Z	邸		ŧ	4	お	を	1	土	3	ŧ
ح	奥	· a	17		楽	事	3	h'	I	\$ S	٥	却
5	<u>×</u>	174	ス		L	1±	+=	4	4	3.	又	3

#### Answer space for your FIRST essay (continued)





Note the use of kanji and vocabulary which must have been specifically learnt for this topic - eg 無形民族文化財, as well as the names of specific temples, foods, and dates. The consistent and correct use of plain form is also impressive, although by no means expected at A level.

The piece has been organised into coherent paragraphs, with clear introductory and concluding paragraphs.

The mark awarded was 12 (excellent) for content, 10 for organisation and development and 4 for quality of language. The quality of language is very nearly a 5, but there are some small errors particularly towards the end (the 600 for example should have been written in kanji).



Candidates should take inspiration from this response - they should make a big effort to learn specific facts, lots of kanji and complicated sentences when preparing for their "topics and texts" essays.

# Question 3 (b)

Q3(b) was not very popular with candidates. There were a few candidates who had clearly prepared well to write about the economic development of their region, but on the whole candidates who tackled this question produced poor quality answers, lacking in knowledge of specific facts and initiatives to stimulate development.

# Question 4 (a)

Q4(a), about the ageing Japanese society, was a very popular choice with native speaker candidates. However, as mentioned above, many of the responses were of very poor quality. Candidates are urged to put proper effort into preparing for this part of the examination.

This is included as an example of a candidate who has not prepared for this examination and therefore has scored poorly.

			Ans	wer spa	ace for y	our FIR	ST essa	y quest	ion		S	tart here
梅高	`	12	41	2	3	2	ì	題	4	4	世	2
如	A	7	\$	M	1	F	12	1=	0	當	畀	2
10	分	39	9	1=	٧.	ì	Ŧ	12	実	較	ŕ	数
社	0	n	0	别	`	b	х	7	B93.	16	t	年
包	九	3	1	11	定	?	1)	1	=	杠	聚	
12	見	6	1	ι	年	19	7	11	2	弘	1	E
VI	ı	1	-	数	3R	D	٢	李	1	A^	1	#
関	19	秀	定	ι	職	V	5	1	2	追	7	17
係	ħ	入	年	12	L	分	a	a	72	ñ	1-	土
1.		*	退	~`	1=	"	5	で	૪	i	12	生
1-	鸺	3	糍	2	1	7	0	0	で	~	伧	率
2	1=	47	ı	R	•	47	Ú	\$	話	1	4	4



Most of this first page is introductory: ie the candidate has written one quarter of the required amount and not yet said anything which is going to get them any marks. The last two lines 自分の意見ですが, giving their own opinion rather than factual knowledge, and the claim 特に高齢化社会には関係ない, basically not accepting the question as posed in the examination paper, do not bode well for the rest of the answer. Note also the total lack of paragraphs and the commas at the top of lines - candidates taking an A level should be able to set their work out correctly.

The mark given was 5 for content, 6 for organisation and development and 5 for quality of language.

#### Question 4 (b)

Q4(b), asking about 国民の祝日 was not a very popular choice with candidates. Detailed knowledge of at least two of the national holidays was expected, although it was accepted that, due to the constraint of word counts, candidates could not cover all of the national holidays and still give sufficient details. A detailed explanation of two or three of the holidays would have scored higher marks than a superficial run through all of them.

### Question 5 (a)

Many candidates found it hard to structure their answer to Q5(a). The most successful candidates gave a brief description of both 子供 $\mathfrak{O}$ 日 and 七五三, before writing one paragraph on the similarities and one paragraph on the differences.


# Question 5 (b)

Q5(b) was popular with native speaker candidates. There were problems namely that candidates wrote about the 文化祭 in their own (Japanese) school and how much they enjoyed the experience, rather than demonstrating objective knowledge about the society of Japan.

#### Question 6 (a)


Q6(a) was a very popular question. Most candidates were able to give an outline of the story as well as providing at least one good point about the chosen story (typically 鼻). Candidates are reminded of the importance of reading the question carefully, and addressing the specific question given in the examination paper.

This would be typical of a candidate at the weaker end of the middle band. The fact that four legible pages have been written means it is not the work of one of the weakest candidates.



	Answer space for	or your FIRST essay	(continued)		
のだ作者な述べていました。かかかりません。地の見の	好じました。内はのかまを見	なって、嬉くねりました。毎は短く	ました。結果は内供の鼻は供は短くする方法をしてみ	その方法は簡単でした。内は方法を関いていました。	の野は気着に行って、医者

Ansv	wer space for your FIRST essay (c	ontinued)
ラ人はいないだ3分」とかりたの日は昔の見がもどりま	が ないでした。 ありなりました。結局は内供がきらりなりは、 かけは のは のは かける は の は の は の は の は の は の は の は の は の は	元持ちがありました。そした。そした。としました。ことの人達は関っても、内供は国っても、内供は国ってしる好がありました。そしての人達は関からしるが、治の





Three out of the four pages are essentially a re-telling of the story. The candidate has addressed the question about good points and bad points of the story on the last page; however, the candidate has not left themselves enough space to justify their opinions. For this reason it was given a mark of 6 for content. The essay does flow reasonably logically, and there are some paragraphs, so a 7 was given for organisation and development. The quality of language was given a 3, since although it is reasonably accurate, there is a tendency to shorter, simpler sentences, and not that much A2 level grammar.



It's fine to include an outline of the story candidates are discussing, but they should keep it down to a reasonable length, to leave themselves enough room to fully discuss the question that is asked.

#### Question 6 (b)

Q6(b) was not a popular choice with candidates. Most were able to come up with one or two factors on both sides of the argument, but few were able to put all their arguments into a coherent essay, which built up a logical case overall.

### Question 7 (a)

Q7(a) led to a very wide range of interesting responses. Any perspective was accepted, so long as the candidate had argued their case from the text and gave convincing supporting arguments. Quite often the candidate missed out the last part of the question which required them to give their own opinion.

# Question 7 (b)

Q7(b) was tackled reasonably well by the candidates who attempted it. Many of them put their own essay into a wider context by saying their favourite scene was the final scene, and pointing out how Hoshi's works often have a twist at the end.


# Question 8 (a)

 $\vec{r}$ 1- $\vec{\rho}$  is clearly a popular choice with both candidates and teachers. Most candidates were able to write about the lady and the experiences she had on the day of the story, but found it harder to reflect on what she may have learnt from her experiences that day.

#### Question 8 (b)

Q8(b) was reasonably popular with candidates, but the responses were, on the whole, quite poor. Candidates tended either to write an event by event account of her day with comments like "this made her a little happier", or tended to write something along the lines of "in the beginning she was sad but at the end she was happier". Few were able to give a fuller, better justified response.

This was one of the stronger responses to this question.





This is only page 3 of the essay, but note how the candidate has gone beyond just re-telling the story, and is really trying to explain his own thoughts about how the young lady's feelings had changed. He has justified his points from the text, and so this was given the top band (excellent) for content.

# **Paper Summary**

To score high marks, candidates should:

- (1) Prepare thoroughly for their topics and texts. Detailed and specific knowledge of the topic or text is expected. This includes native speakers of Japanese. A candidate who walks into the examination with no preparation and writes an essay on 日本の高齢化社会 based on their grandmother's personal experience is not going to score high marks. Please remember that the A level is a serious academic qualification, allowing candidates to gain access to university.
- (2) Write a specific answer in response to the topics / texts question, and not just recite a pre-learnt "multi-purpose" essay.
- (3) Attempt the translation, being aware that they may need to re-write the passage in simple English as a first step.
- (4) Read the comprehension questions very carefully. They should be careful to identify the different kinds of questions (yes / no, "or" and information seeking) and answer appropriately.
- (5) Learn the correct use of 原稿用紙.

Grade Boundaries
Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:
http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Further copies of this publication are available from Edexcel Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN

Telephone 01623 467467 Fax 01623 450481

Email <u>publication.orders@edexcel.com</u> Order Code US028329 June 2011

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/quals">www.edexcel.com/quals</a>

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE





