



GCE MARK SCHEME (LEGACY)

SUMMER 2016

**HISTORY - UNIT HY4
DEPTH STUDY 9
NAZI GERMANY c. 1933-1945**

1234/12

INTRODUCTION

This marking scheme was used by WJEC for the 2016 examination. It was finalised after detailed discussion at examiners' conferences by all the examiners involved in the assessment. The conference was held shortly after the paper was taken so that reference could be made to the full range of candidates' responses, with photocopied scripts forming the basis of discussion. The aim of the conference was to ensure that the marking scheme was interpreted and applied in the same way by all examiners.

It is hoped that this information will be of assistance to centres but it is recognised at the same time that, without the benefit of participation in the examiners' conference, teachers may have different views on certain matters of detail or interpretation.

WJEC regrets that it cannot enter into any discussion or correspondence about this marking scheme.

HISTORY
MARK SCHEME
HY4
DEPTH STUDY 9
NAZI GERMANY c. 1933-1945

Distribution of marks within each level for each question, explaining the weighting for each assessment objective.

	AO1a	AO1b	Total
Level 1	1 mark	1-3 marks	4
Level 2	2-3 marks	4-11 marks	14
Level 3	4-6 marks	12-16 marks	22
Level 4	7-10 marks	17-22 marks	32
Level 5	11-12 marks	23-28 marks	40

Marking guidance

Deciding on the mark point within a level

The first stage for an examiner is to decide the overall level and then whether the work represents high, mid or low performance within the level.

Quality of written communication

This issue is determined by the quality of written communication as described in the level descriptor in which the answer has been placed. Examiners should place the response at the appropriate sub-level. If the quality of the written communication descriptor is warranted, then the highest mark within that sub-level should be awarded.

ASSESSMENT GRID

Target: AO1

Total mark: 40

Focus: *Recall, selection and deployment of appropriate historical knowledge; demonstrate understanding of the past through explanation, analysis and arriving at substantiated judgements about key concepts*

Level 1

Generally at this level, the candidate's response will be brief and / or irrelevant to the question set. They may recall some historical knowledge and they will show some accuracy in spelling, punctuation and grammar

Award 0 for incorrect or incomplete answers.

Level 1	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(1 mark)	(1-3 marks)
	The candidate is able to recall some historical knowledge and there is some accuracy in spelling, punctuation and grammar.	<p>Low Level 1: 1 mark</p> <p>The answer will be limited to generalised comments on the topic with little understanding of the concept set in the question and is generally irrelevant.</p> <p>High Level 1: 2 -3 marks</p> <p>The answer will be limited to some comments on the topic with little understanding of the concept set in the question.</p>

Level 2

Generally at this level, candidates will show evidence of understanding in their explanation of the key concept set in the question. They will show evidence of understanding through limited analysis and explanation. They will offer some judgement about the key concepts. They will be able to recall, select and deploy some relevant historical knowledge appropriately. The candidates will convey meaning with some clarity and with appropriate vocabulary, although there may be errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 2	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(2-3 marks)	(4-11 marks)
	<p>Low Level 2: 2 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy some general knowledge of the period with some appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>High Level 2: 3 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy general knowledge of the key issues in the period set with mostly appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>Low Level 2: 4-7 marks</p> <p>The answer will begin to show some evidence of limited understanding and explanation of factors. It will offer some comments about the relationships between the key issues and features of the historical period. The answer will be generally focussed on the topic area and has some basic explanation of something in the question; these answers may well be a narrative account with little attempt to provide a judgement on the question set.</p> <p>High Level 2: 8-11 marks</p> <p>The answer will begin to focus on the set question and will show some limited analysis and explanation of the concept set in the question. It will offer some judgement about the relationship between the key historical features and characteristics in relation to the question set. The answer will be relevant and valid but with a weak or sketchy focus on the key concept in the question; a largely topic based response with little specific judgement offered on the question set.</p>

Level 3

Generally at this level, candidates will show evidence of understanding through analysis and explanation. They will reach a judgement about the key concepts supported by the arguments they deploy. Candidates will recall, select and deploy relevant historical knowledge and will convey meaning clearly and with appropriate vocabulary, organisation and spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 3	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(4-6 marks)	(12-16 marks)
	<p>Low Level 3: 4 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy some relevant historical knowledge with some appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>Mid Level 3: 5 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy some relevant historical knowledge with reasonable organisation and with appropriate vocabulary, spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>Low Level 3: 12 marks</p> <p>The answer has some focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer some valid discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer may well be a largely narrative account but with some attempt to provide a judgement on the question set. The judgement, though present at some point in the response, tends not to be supported by the argument being made though it may well have factual support.</p> <p>Mid Level 3: 13-14 marks</p> <p>The answer has an appropriate focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer may well contain some narrative but there will be an attempt to provide supporting arguments to reach a judgement on the question set. The judgement, though present at some point in the response, tends to be partially supported by the argument being made in the response. The responses may follow a listing approach but there will be some focus and judgement on the key concept in the question set.</p>

	<p>High Level 3: 6 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy generally relevant historical knowledge and the response will be clearly expressed with appropriate language and structure and appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>High Level 3: 15-16 marks</p> <p>The answer has a clear focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and broadly balanced discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer may well contain some narrative but there will be an attempt to provide supporting arguments to reach a broadly balanced judgement on the question set. The judgement, though present at some point in the response, is generally supported by the argument being made in the response. These responses may well discuss the key issue in the question set when coming to a judgement by considering factors for and against, often as two half essays.</p>
--	---	--

Level 4

Generally for this level, candidates will show understanding through analysis and explanation to reach a substantiated and mostly sustained judgement about the key concepts. They will recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge. Candidates will communicate accurately and fluently with appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.

Level 4	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(7-10 marks)	(17-22 marks)
	<p>Low Level 4: 7 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy mostly accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge; the answer shows organisation, appropriate language and structure and appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p> <p>Mid Level 4: 8 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy mostly accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge; the answer communicates clearly with appropriate language and structure and appropriate spelling, punctuation and grammar.</p>	<p>Low Level 4: 17-18 marks</p> <p>The answer has a focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and meaningful discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer will include specific supporting arguments to reach a substantiated judgement on the question set so that the judgement is substantially supported by the arguments being made in the response. These responses will demonstrate valid analysis and explanation of the key issues to reach a substantiated judgement regarding the key concepts though there may be some lack of focus in sustaining the judgement.</p> <p>Mid Level 4: 19-20 marks</p> <p>The answer has a focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and balanced discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer will include specific supporting arguments to reach a substantiated and sustained judgement on the question set. The judgement is substantially supported by the arguments being made in the response. These responses will demonstrate valid analysis and explanation of the key issues to reach a substantiated and sustained judgement regarding the key concepts.</p>

	<p>High Level 4: 9-10 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge; the answer communicates accurately, clearly and fluently, using appropriate language and structure, using spelling, punctuation and grammar generally with a high degree of accuracy.</p>	<p>High Level 4: 21-22 marks</p> <p>The answer has a focus on the key concept in the question set and is able to show evidence of a thorough understanding by valid analysis and explanation. The candidate is able to offer a valid and balanced discussion about the relationships between key features and characteristics in relation to the key concept set in the question such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance. The answer will include specific supporting arguments to reach a substantiated and sustained judgement on the question set. The judgement is substantially supported by the arguments being made in the response. These responses will demonstrate valid analysis and explanation of the key issues to reach a substantiated, supported and sustained judgement regarding the key concepts.</p>
--	---	--

Level 5

Generally for this level, candidates will show understanding through analysis and explanation to reach a substantiated and fully sustained judgement about the key concepts. They will recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge. Candidates will communicate accurately and fluently using appropriate language and structure, and using spelling, punctuation and grammar with a high degree of accuracy

Level 5	Assessment Objective 1(a)	Assessment Objective 1(b)
	(11-12 marks)	(23-28 marks)
	<p>Low Level 5: 11 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge. Answers will be fluent and well-organised with a high degree of accuracy in the use of spelling, punctuation and grammar, and showing appropriate language and structure.</p> <p>High Level 5: 12 marks</p> <p>The candidate is able to recall, select and deploy accurate, relevant and precise historical knowledge is recalled, selected and deployed. Answers will be lucid and coherent, fluent and well-organised with a high degree of accuracy in the use of spelling, punctuation and grammar and demonstrating appropriate language and structure.</p>	<p>Low Level 5: 23-25 marks</p> <p>The answer has throughout a specific focus on discussing the key concepts in the question set. The answer will include supporting arguments covering most of the period set in the question. Understanding is further shown through well focussed analysis and evaluation of the key features and characteristics of the historical period which leads to a substantiated, sustained and integrated judgement regarding the key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance.</p> <p>High Level 5: 26-28 marks</p> <p>The answer sustains a specific focus on discussing the key concepts in the question set. The answer will include supporting arguments covering the whole of the period set in the question. Understanding is further shown through well focussed analysis and evaluation of the key features and characteristics of the historical period which leads to a substantiated, sustained and integrated judgement regarding the key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance.</p>

INDICATIVE CONTENT FOR QUESTION 1

NOTE: This content is not prescriptive and candidates are not expected to mention all the material referred to below. Each answer will be assessed on its merits according to the generic mark scheme as well as the indicative content.

‘Fear of the Nazi police state was mainly responsible for the lack of effective opposition to the Nazis between 1933-1945’. Discuss.

Candidates are expected to consider and debate, over and within the given period, the full range of issues that affected the key concept in the question – in this case, reasons for the lack of effective opposition 1933-1945. They will consider a range of key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance where appropriate and also the relationship between the key characteristics and features associated with the issue in the question.

Candidates will offer an analysis and evaluation of whether fear of the Nazi police state was mainly responsible for the lack of effective opposition 1933-45, in the context of Nazi propaganda, and other Nazi policies. In order to reach a substantiated judgement about whether fear of the Nazi police state was mainly responsible for the lack of effective opposition 1933-1945, candidates may consider and debate a range of issues such as:

- the relationship between the key characteristics related to whether the Nazi police state was mainly responsible for the lack of effective opposition 1933-1945. The coercion theory might be explored within the context of the seduction theory.
- the state apparatus of terror: SS, SD and SA. Germany was a police state in terms of keeping people in line but the majority of people were not forced to do things to which they were completely opposed.
- some candidates may argue that there was a great deal of opposition to the Nazi regime and that it took many forms. Others might argue that the opposition was individual rather than collective and that there was no co-ordinated opposition.
- this may be due to the preventive policing or the broad consensus of support for the regime.
- candidates might conclude that the lack of co-ordinated opposition is indicative of the support of the mass of Germans for the regime.
- candidates will argue that whilst the threat of Gestapo brutality did help to ensure conformity, most Germans at least passively accepted the regime and many actively supported it
- the regime received a great deal of help from ordinary Germans through denunciations
- however, the more popular the Nazis were, the less likely that the people were forced into obeying a regime against their will. There was a lack of effective opposition because the regime was popular
- propaganda ensured widespread popular support and thus the lack of effective opposition. The repressive totalitarian regime used propaganda to dupe its population and terror to cow them into submission.
- the threat of terror was counterbalanced by the positive image that Germans held about the regime. Germans were made to feel that they had a stake in the regime.

INDICATIVE CONTENT FOR QUESTION 2

NOTE: This content is not prescriptive and candidates are not expected to mention all the material referred to below. Each answer will be assessed on its merits according to the generic mark scheme as well as the indicative content.

To what extent were the campaigns of the Western Allies mainly responsible for the defeat of Germany by 1945?

Candidates are expected to consider and debate, over and within the given period, the full range of issues that affected the key concept in the question – in this case, the reasons for Germany's defeat in the Second World War. They will consider a range of key concepts such as causation, consequence, continuity, change and significance where appropriate and also the relationship between the key characteristics and features associated with the issue in the question.

Candidates will offer an analysis and evaluation of the campaigns of the Western Allies as being mainly responsible for the defeat of Germany in the context of other factors such as tactical errors, the power of the West, leadership, as well as the Russian front. In order to reach a substantiated judgement about the relative impact of the campaigns of the Western Allies on the defeat of Germany, candidates may consider and debate a range of issues such as:

- the scale and numerical preponderance of Allied troops
- the fact that Britain had not been defeated meant that she could become the launch pad for invasion
- the Allies needed to launch a counter attack in the west to relieve pressure on Russia
- D-Day represented a secondary theatre of war
- the introduction of D-Day created a war on two fronts which proved a logistical nightmare for the Germans;
- the relationship between the other the key characteristics should be evaluated such as the fact that Hitler made strategic errors such as the invasion of Russia and the lack of preparation for Operation Sea Lion
- Hitler's military weakness was also exposed
- the war on two fronts and the alliance with Italy
- the balance of industrial and economic forces favoured the West
- Hitler's leadership affected the outcome of the war as well as his relationship with the generals
- the strength of resistance: was Resistance more of a nuisance than an impediment to Germany?
- in the face of overwhelming forces the Germans were unable to mobilise an economy that was geared to Blitzkrieg, and not a prolonged war
- furthermore, the German economy was confused and inefficient which led to a shortage of manpower and raw materials. The German economy was under stress from 1943 onwards with resources and economic power stretched beyond the limits of logistics.