

**OXFORD CAMBRIDGE AND RSA EXAMINATIONS  
AS GCE**

**F964/01**

**HISTORY A**

**European and World History Enquiries  
Option A: Medieval and Early Modern  
1073–1555**

**WEDNESDAY 13 MAY 2015 – Afternoon**

**DURATION: 1 hour 30 minutes  
plus your additional time allowance**

**MODIFIED ENLARGED**

**Candidates answer on the Answer Booklet.**

**OCR SUPPLIED MATERIALS:**

**12 page Answer Booklet (OCR12)  
(sent with general stationery)**

**OTHER MATERIALS REQUIRED:**

**None**

**READ INSTRUCTIONS OVERLEAF**

## **INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES**

**Write your name, centre number and candidate number in the spaces provided on the Answer Booklet. Please write clearly and in capital letters.**

**Use black ink.**

**Read each question carefully. Make sure you know what you have to do before starting your answer.**

**Answer BOTH SUB-QUESTIONS from ONE Study Topic.**

## **INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES**

**The number of marks is given in brackets [ ] at the end of each question or part question.**

**The total number of marks for this paper is 100.**

**This question paper contains questions on the following two Study Topics:**

- The First Crusade and the Crusader States 1073–1130 (pages 4–9)**
- The German Reformation 1517–1555 (pages 10–15)**

**You should write in continuous prose and are reminded of the need for clear and accurate writing, including structure of argument, grammar, punctuation and spelling.**

**The time permitted allows for reading the Sources in the one Study Topic you have studied.**

**In answering these questions, you are expected to use your knowledge of the topic to help you understand and interpret the Sources, as well as to inform your answers.**

**Any blank pages are indicated.**

**BLANK PAGE**

## **The First Crusade and the Crusader States 1073–1130**

**Study the five Sources on The People's Crusade and then answer BOTH sub-questions.**

**It is recommended that you spend two-thirds of your time in answering part (b).**

**1 (a) Study Sources B and E.**

**Compare these Sources as evidence about Peter the Hermit. [30]**

**(b) Study ALL the Sources.**

**Use your own knowledge to assess how far the Sources support the interpretation that the Crusaders on the People's Crusade were well-organised. [70]**

**[TOTAL: 100 MARKS]**

## **The People's Crusade**

**SOURCE A: A Jewish chronicler, writing soon after the Crusade, using eye-witness accounts from Jews and Christians, describes events in the German lands.**

**The common folk in France made plans to go to Jerusalem. When they began to reach the German lands they demanded money to buy bread and destroyed the vines. They came, battalion after battalion, and princes joined them so they were as numerous as the sands of the sea. They believed that anyone who killed even a single Jew would have his sins forgiven. They stood before our houses with their standards. When they saw one of us, they ran after him and pierced him with a spear, so that we were afraid to cross our thresholds.**

**5**  
**10**

**The 'Anonymous of Mainz', 1096**

**SOURCE B: A French monk, who was present at the Council of Clermont, writes about Peter the Hermit, one of the leaders of the People's Crusade.**

Item removed due to third party copyright restrictions

**Robert the Monk, History of the First Crusade, written around 1107**

**SOURCE C: A well-educated French author, knowledgeable about the First Crusade, gives an account of the People's Crusade in Hungary.**

**The Hungarians willingly offered all things for sale, as Christians to Christians. Yet our selfish pilgrims, forgetful of the hospitality they had received, fought their hosts without any cause, thinking they were peaceful folk who would not resist them. They set fire to the public stores of wheat, violated girls, carried away wives and burned the beards of the men. There was no longer any talk of buying the things necessary for life, but everyone set himself to robbery and bloodshed, boasting, 'Thus will we do against the Turks'.**

**25**  
**30**

**Guibert of Nogent, History of Jerusalem, written by 1108**

**SOURCE D: A priest from the Church at Aachen, who never visited the Holy Land, but consulted returned crusaders, gives his version of events.**

**The Hungarians had presumed to rob some of the company of Walter the Penniless, so Peter and his large army, brought together from various realms of the Franks, attacked them with a hail of arrows. They hurled their arrows in such quick succession and in such incredible numbers that the Hungarians were unable to resist the force of the besieging Franks and left the walls, hoping to withstand the Franks from within the city. But one of the foot-soldiers hastily crossed over the walls and all the knights followed him.**

**35**

**40**

**Albert of Aachen, A History of the Expedition to Jerusalem, written between 1125 and 1150**



**SOURCE E: The daughter of the Emperor Alexius, a strong supporter of his policies, gives her view of Peter the Hermit.**

**Peter preached in all the Latin countries, claiming 45  
he was commanded by a divine voice to urge all the  
counts in France to leave their homes to liberate  
Jerusalem. He proved very successful. He inspired  
every heart with a desire to follow the divine  
command. The warriors thronged every highway and 50  
with them came a host of civilians, outnumbering  
the sand of the seashore, bearing crosses on their  
shoulders. There were women and children too. Peter  
refused to wait in Constantinople for the other leaders  
to arrive but crossed the Sea of Marmora and pitched 55  
camp. Many Norman soldiers joined him but they  
detached themselves from the rest of the army and  
ravaged the outskirts of Nicaea, acting with horrible  
cruelty.**

**Anna Comnena, The Alexiad, written between 1140  
and 1148**

## **The German Reformation 1517–1555**

**Study the five Sources on Martin Luther and the Protestant Radicals 1524–1529, and then answer BOTH sub-questions.**

**It is recommended that you spend two-thirds of your time in answering part (b).**

**2 (a) Study Sources D and E.**

**Compare these Sources as evidence for what happened at the Marburg Colloquy in 1529. [30]**

**(b) Study ALL the Sources.**

**Use your own knowledge to assess how far the Sources support the interpretation that it was Luther who provoked the quarrels with the protestant radicals between 1524 and 1529. [70]**

**[TOTAL: 100 MARKS]**

## **Martin Luther and the Protestant Radicals 1524–1529**

**SOURCE A: Luther writes to the princes of Saxony concerning radical reformers in the town of Allstedt in Saxony. His letter was subsequently published.**

**Müntzer's followers revile the Bible and rave about the spirit, but where do they show the rewards of the spirit: love, joy, peace and patience? Leave them alone as long as they confine themselves to preaching the scriptures, but when the sword is drawn you must intervene and banish offenders from the land. Christ and the Apostles did not smash images and churches, but won hearts with God's Word. If Müntzer's followers want to wipe out the ungodly, they will have to bathe in blood. God ordained you to keep the peace, and you must be alert.**

**5**  
**10**

**Martin Luther, 'Concerning the Rebellious Spirit',  
July 1524**

**SOURCE B: Thomas Müntzer responds to Luther's  
'Concerning the Rebellious Spirit' in an  
abusive pamphlet.**

Luther says that faith and scripture alone are enough  
for the poor people. So, when the princes claim  
that they own the fish, the birds and even the grass  
of the field, Dr. Liar\* says 'So be it!' What courage 15  
has he, Dr. Pussyfoot\*, the new Pope of Wittenberg,  
Dr. Easychair\*? He says there should be no rebellion  
because the sword belongs to the ruler. But the  
power of the sword belongs to the whole community.  
The rulers have perverted justice. They shall be cast 20  
down from their seats. The fowls of the heavens are  
gathering to devour their carcasses.

**\*Dr. Liar, Dr. Pussyfoot, Dr. Easychair: Müntzer's  
nicknames for Luther**

**Thomas Müntzer, A Highly Provoked Vindication  
and Refutation of the Unspiritual, Soft-living Flesh  
in Wittenberg, whose Robbery and Distortion of  
Scripture has so Grievously Polluted our Wretched  
Christian Church, published late in 1524**

**SOURCE C: Luther issues a pamphlet stating his views on the actions of Andreas Carlstadt and the Wittenberg radicals.**

**I condemn image-breaking. Carlstadt has removed images from sight but they remain in men's hearts. These iconoclasts act in a disorderly way without the backing of princely authority. Their prophets screech and incite the mob, telling them to 'rip, break and bash the idols'. Carlstadt abolishes images by making the mob wild and rebellious. There are many pictures in my German Bible, which the iconoclasts read, so we ask them amicably to depict on the walls how God created the world, or how Noah built the ark. I wish I could convince the princes to depict the whole Bible on church walls.**

**25**  
**30**

**Martin Luther, Against the Heavenly Prophets, 1525**

**SOURCE D: A leading Swiss radical reformer writes to a friend and supporter concerning his discussions with Luther at the Colloquy of Marburg 1529.**

**We fought it out over four sessions before winning the battle of words. We argued that Luther expressed different versions of those ludicrous ideas of his: that the body of Christ is really present everywhere including the Communion bread and wine, even though the flesh has no spiritual benefit. But that fine fellow had nothing to say in reply. He conceded that the Communion might be called a symbol of the body of Christ. There are countless inconsistencies he bleats out like a babbling brook. We refuted him so successfully that now Philip of Hesse agrees with us, although he conceals it from the other princes.**

**35**  
**40**  
**45**

**Ulrich Zwingli, letter, 20 October 1529**

**SOURCE E:** Luther writes to a supporter about the Colloquy of Marburg 1529, contradicting an earlier letter which had stressed the harmony reached there.

As to the statement that is spread abroad by my opponents that I was beaten at Marburg, they are not only liars but the very incarnation of deceit and hypocrisy, as Carlstadt and Zwingli show by their very 50 deeds and words. In the articles formulated at Marburg they took back their pestiferous\* teaching in regard to baptism, the sacraments, scripture and the rest. We took back nothing. They tried hard to seem in harmony with us, but were enflamed by my opinion that they 55 have a different spirit from us.

\*pestiferous: irritating or troublesome

Martin Luther, letter, late October 1529

**END OF QUESTION PAPER**

### **Copyright Information**

**OCR is committed to seeking permission to reproduce all third-party content that it uses in its assessment materials. OCR has attempted to identify and contact all copyright holders whose work is used in this paper. To avoid the issue of disclosure of answer-related information to candidates, all copyright acknowledgements are reproduced in the OCR Copyright Acknowledgements Booklet. This is produced for each series of examinations and is freely available to download from our public website ([www.ocr.org.uk](http://www.ocr.org.uk)) after the live examination series.**

**If OCR has unwittingly failed to correctly acknowledge or clear any third-party content in this assessment material, OCR will be happy to correct its mistake at the earliest possible opportunity.**

**For queries or further information please contact the Copyright Team, First Floor, 9 Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 1GE.**

**OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group; Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.**

