

Examiner's Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2018

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Subsidiary In History (WHI01) Paper 1B Depth Study with Interpretations Russia in Revolution, 1881-1917



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2018
Publications Code WHI01_1B_pef_20180815
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2018

It is important that centres take on board some generic comments which are based on the marking of this summer's cohort, and consider and apply these when preparing candidates for future examinations in these options.

- WHI01 is both a study in depth and a study of interpretations, and it is necessary for candidates to do both, at all levels in the mark scheme, in order to score marks. Ignoring the stated view in the question, and merely writing information that may be relevant to the general focus of the question does not fully meet the criteria for Level 1, and consequently none of the other levels. Even at Level 1 the mark scheme expects simple or generalised consideration of the stated view in the question. Some candidates paid very little attention to the stated view (ignoring it completely or sometimes only referring to it in the conclusion) and narrated or described other information that was either relevant or not to the actual question.
- Across all of the options, in candidate responses, there was very little evidence seen of planning. As the examination is two hours long, implying that candidates might divide that time equally between the two essays they choose, it would seem sensible to devote some time (possibly no more than 10 minutes per question) to planning the structure of the answer to each question. That would hopefully ensure that when the answer is written the stated view is considered (Level 2, 3 and 4 all require, to varying degrees, understanding, analysis and exploration of the given view) and then other factors/views can follow, which will then allow the candidate to establish some criteria by which they are able to consider the importance, or not, of the given view and make some judgements. Those candidates who planned (this appeared on their examination script before they answered the question) invariably scored better than candidates who had not planned. Planned answers tended to score at the top of Level 3 and into, and including the top of Level 4, whereas unplanned answers meandered and judgements tended to be stated, rather than supported by valid criteria, and often achieved marks at the Level 2 and Level 3 boundary or below.
- The need to stress to candidates that in examination situations they must read the question carefully, and not take the question as an opportunity to write all they know about the topic, or answer a question they would have preferred that is near to the actual question, but not the actual question.
- There was some evidence of candidates running out of time, but they were very few. Impressing the need to plan essays in the examination is surely the remedy to this problem.

Option 1B Russia in Revolution 1881-1917

- Question 1, 2 3 proved to be the most popular.
- In question 1 some candidates were able to write in depth about the growth
 of railways and its economic impact, and judge this against other factors.
 However, some candidates offered comments that were generalised and
 non-specific.
- While question 2 was popular, many candidates discussed change but did not consider how 'significantly' the Tsarist system had changed or not.
- In question 3 many candidates were well versed on the wide ranging impact of the First World War and discussed if this was the key turning point that ended Romanov rule. However, some candidates saw the question as an opportunity to list the reasons for the February 1917 revolution. Below is an example of answer than gained a high mark in Level 4. The key issue is addressed throughout the answer, sufficient knowledge is deployed and criteria are established by which a judgement is then made.

Chosen question number: Question 1 Question 2 Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 Question 4 Question 4
(This page is for your first answer) Economic publishing Polisano
- World War - Military problems Barina - World War - Political His own fault - Commander in Chief
- World War Political His own fault - commander in chief
- Tsar ist problems - Lack of reforms } - army
Autocray 5 - army
, J
It can be agreed that Russia's entry all the First World war was the
reason behind the end of Romanov rule in 1917. The First World War
exacubated Russia's political and economic situation, causing major
unrest among not just the prolitariat but also the peasants, and thus
causing Tear to become unpopular. However it can also be argued, to
that the Tsur caused his own denine through his autocratic system
of government that prevented any major reforms to be implemented
that could have essentially gained him man support. Without Bar's
own reckless decisions, such as appointing himself as the commander
in Chief of Russia's army during the war and leaving the deeply
unpopular Tsanna behind the Tsar may have possibly remained
unpopular Tsanna behind the Tsar may have possibly remained the "little Father" of Russia and Survived the February Revolution
The war was a major factor in couning the Tsar's overthrowal as it
The user was a major factor in country the Tsar's overthrowal as it exposed the political situation of Russia and its government which
was inefficient and compt. The Tear and his government

(This page is for your first answer) fahely believed that Russia was politically strong
Somethingso
to take many mayor was seen as the First world war. However they didn't realise that this was not the case. The Starks Starks, command centre of the army was very wellicent and slow. Apart from this, Russia, due to lack of artillery and modern tactics suffered major defeats such as the Manusian Lakes in 1914, and Tannenberg which were indirectly caused by the Tsar appointed Generals, exceptions such as borney Samsonov. The fact that Tsar appointed his generals due to personal liking rather than proflemonalism meant that to a great extent they the defeats during the wor were unavoidable. The war caused great political problems, especially the energence of opposition groups such as the Bolshwiks and due to temble loss of est casualties (1.6 million by 1915) major discontent and revolutionary spirit began to brew in the hearts of Russian people, who were seeing the Tsar's rengnation as the only way that the current intuation could be changed. The war exposed the political backwardness of the Tsair system of government and thus could be seen as the reason behind his fall from power.

The war also exacerbated economic conditions in Rysnia, cowing a fall in agricultural and economic output during the years.

Rusnia & went into War, but it was not economically ready for such a luge feat. & Stolypin's reforms which began year economically before predicted Rusnia catching up to other European Power and in the year 1917. Heree years after the start of the war. This only proves the season that Rusnia was not ready for the war.

(This page is for your first answer) This (an be illustrated when looking at the lact that soldiers Runian army, which was the largest army in the world at the time lacked military equipment - soldiers had to be limited to ten bullets a day and there was wide pread shortage of winter uniforms. Food shortages also become commonplace in industrial areas and cities such as proscow and St Petersburg, with only 400 mess the wagons of grain reaching the so people. Transport was halted as allowas used to transport soldies to and from war fronts. Apart from this, 50 million men were reconited into the army from nural areas necaning that there was virtually no one left to work the land. When coupled with 200% inflation due to governmental spending increasing eightfold during the war years, it can be agreed that together all these factors and more contributed to man anger from both the urban and rural population. Thus, it can be concluded that the War caused imajor economic drop, which the government failed or even couldn't deal with, due to the circumstances, which womened the lives of Russian populous, making them seek change of and thus contributing to Tsair overthrowal.

It was not just the war that caused the Tsar's abdication, as his own decisions, which were sometimes far too optimistic and dissilusioned considered as not throught through, also caused his support to deteriorate. One of these, as advocated by pessimistic historians was his choice to become communaer-in-chief of the Ruman army and

(This page is for your first answer) leave Tsains in charge of Petrograd. Going to the front lines meant that Tear would be held directly accountable for any delects. Esentially the Trav did not bring any victory, and when in the later years Germany successfully occupied Russian Poland, an which made the Tear seem like a weak leader. Furthernore leaving Tearing in charge of Petrograd was to couned many people to pe see her as a spy, especially since she is was custousting of political groups such as the Zerngor. Her alliance will with Re naving an affair and caused many people to believe that she was conspiring against with Rasputin, Ruman National interest, which seemed to be recomfi reconfirmed when she dismused liberal ministers such as Polinanov and the in heracine Rasputin's conservative ones, shorter, the trad second to becomes the somemonates in Thus, the Tsurina and the Tsar because inherently impopular among the manes, was though through their own decisions which could have clearly been avoided, and so it can be agreed the Tear indeed himself became the architect of his ill-fated future. Lastly, the Tsar's strict autocratic system of government could also be in part to blame for his demise. The Tsar just like his father and his grandfather followed their autocratic system of government with the three key principles of Nationalism, Autoracy and Orthodoxy, just as he had been taught by conservative Abbedonoster. The Tear refused to grant any many political freedoms, and even though this pole his grip on autocracy slightly loosened after the 1905 Revolution, many

opinion that he should be replaced. His some disrolution of the First Two liberal Dumas and consecutive establishment of third and Fourth Dumas alkich were rightly called Dumas of 'Lords and Lackeys' was never a clear sign for the Liberals to try and take over the power in the later year, since the powall society was programing and a change was necessary and required. Furthermore, the October Manifesto did not completely silence other opposition groups such as SR's and SD's who were growing stronger and their voices were being heard by the manes with the less restricted consorship. Therefore, overall the Tsas's enability to fully comprimise his autovatic system of government, and could be seen as another reason as to why he was found to abdicate.

Russian people began seeing the fast that change was necessary, for the welfare of Russia to be restored again.

In conclusion, the way was should be seen as a exact should that caused the Tsar to abdicate in 1917. It certainly exacerbated economic conditions of the Russian society, causing widespread was political unrest due to food shortages, and continuous of deaths of the population. The Tsar's failure to deal with these problems effectively and his reckless decisions a could be seen as aiding this popular energy to work and spread. His a tight grip and will to maintain an autocratic state however, should be seen as perhaps the most uniportant reasons for his failure alongs de his dissilusioned decisions. Were it

(This page is for your first answer) not for these factors that caused him widespread lon of support unitially, perhaps even with the failures of most, he would have been able enough to survive.

• In question 4 many candidates were well versed in the roles of both Trotsky and Lenin. While some candidates described what they did, higher scoring candidates were able to judge one against the other, and then reach a judgement which, invariably did not always agree with the question proposition.