



# **Design and Technology**

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Unit F521/01/02: Advanced Innovation Challenge

## Mark Scheme for June 2013

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2013

### F521/01/02

Mark Scheme

Outlines initial thoughts, tl predictable/non-creative.	nese are		es initial thoughts in so /e thinking.	me det	ail, some	detail, re showing	outlines initial thoughts esponding with an oper gunexpected and/or		
Analyses the problem at a that lacks depth Some consideration of a u in a design brief.		Identif	ses some aspects of th ies a user/market, resu			Analyse in a way innovati	jing ways of thinking. s problem in depth, res v that allows scope for on. Identifies a user/m g in a clear design brief	arket Box	
Produces a basic or superficial specification that is vague/generic.			Develops an adequate specification that gives some basic requirements of the product.			Develop	Develops a detailed specification that identifies the key features of the product.		
0 1	2	3 4	5		6	7	8	9	
Presents only a limited ration of the second s	nge of	Pre	sents a good range of i as	innovat	ve/creative		s a wide range of ve/creative initial ideas	Box	
using annotated sketching with little detail of constru or no reference made to t specification.	ction/materials Litt	le showi	reasonable quality ann ng some detail of consi reference to the specif	truction		showing construct	gh quality annotated sk j full details of ction/materials. Good æ to the specification	etching Box	
Presents only a limited an evaluation of ideas with lit of decisions.		on ideas	nts an adequate and ol against the design spe lecisions.	bjective cificatic	evaluation of n and justifies	evaluati	s a detailed and objecti on of ideas against the ation and justifies all is.		
Presents a limited range of the sources of inspiration the designing Limited rel design and little/if any res from others.	and influences on lection on their	the so desigr	nts an adequate range urces of inspiration and ning. Some reflection o sponse to feedback fro	d influe n their o	nces on the chosen design	show the influence on their to feedb	s a wide range of evide e sources of inspiration es on the designing. Re chosen design and res ack from others, makin mprovements if necess	and Box Box 6,7,7 g & 10	
0 1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8	9	10 11	12	
Presents limited improver evidence of modelling, ex and modifications to their	periments, testing	, of mo	nts improvements, pres delling, experiments, te cations their design			evidence testing,	s improvements, prese e of modelling, experim making modifications to o define and refine it,	ents, Box	
Little if any consideration of materials, components or ingredients and methods of manufacture. Limited understanding of sustainability issues and how this affects their design. Produces a simplistic action plan for making			Some consideration of materials, components or ingredients and methods of manufacture. Some consideration of sustainability issues Produces a reasonable action plan for making, to			Thorough consideration of materials, components or ingredients and methods of manufacture. Good consideration of sustainability issues etc. Produces a detailed action plan for			
that shows limited awaren materials/ingredients/reso	ess of		e a list of materials/ing			making,	to include a list of s/ingredients/resources	12&	
0 1		3 4	5		6	7	8	9	
Records progress at various stages but limited detail and thought Use of materials and processes is limited as are any further modifications to their design.			Record and reflects on progress at various stages Selects and uses materials adeptly and makes further modifications to their design.				and reflects on progre various stages and uses materials vely and creatively and s idea to define and ref	further ine it.	
Product/model is finished to a poor standard/or is incomplete.			Completes a product/model to a good standard. Model/product accurately reflects design.				tes a product/model to d. Model/product accur design.	a nign	
imited range of making s	kills apparent.	Demo	nstrates accuracy of m	aking s	kills.		strates a range of makir nd or complexity.	ng	
0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7	8 9 1	0 11 12 13	14	15 16	17 18		1 22	
Presents limited modifications to their idea, using basic annotated sketches.			Presents some realistic and detailed modifications to their idea, using annotated sketches.				s realistic and detailed ations to their idea, usin ed sketches; improvem ttive.		
Produces a limited evalua dentifying some strengths and shows limited conside users/market.	and weaknesses	identif	ces a reasonable evalu ying some strengths ar good consideration of	nd weal	nesses and	Produce product weaknes	es a detailed evaluation identifying strengths ar sses and shows good ration of the users/mark	id Box	
Some evidence of evaluation of their design against their product specification at a			Reasonable evaluation of their design against their product specification.				Evaluates their design thoroughly against their product specification		
superficial level.	2	3 4			5	6	7	8 Tota	
nitial Thoughts	Designing		Development		Making		Evaluating		

#### F521/01/02

#### **Mark Scheme**

#### 02 Paper F521

Two outline presentations to an expert/ panel will be presented; answers will be in the form of written material supported by annotated sketches. (10 Marks each). QWC is assessed in P and S.

P and S are annotated on script where found, the only other annotation that can be included is the use of a vertical line against anything that is completely irrelevant to question.

P relevant points/issues raised	up to 4 marks
Points must cover each bullet point within question and relation	te specifically to their product and the overriding question.
One bullet point or one relevant point	1mark
Two bullets points or one bullet point and one relevant point	2 marks
All three bullets points or two bullet points and one relevant point	3 Marks
All three bullets points and one further relevant point	4 Marks
<b>S</b> supporting example and/or sketches Must relate to the three bullet points	up to 3 marks

QWC quality of written communication up to 3 marks (this is not annotated on paper as it is an overview of whole discussion)

#### **QWC Level descriptors**

3 Marks: Presents information and arguments in a clear and concise manner, using appropriate technical phrases and high quality written communication skills.

2 Marks: Presents information and arguments in a reasonably clear and concise manner, with limited use of technical phrases and reasonable written communication skills

1 marks: Presents information and arguments in a manner that lacks a clear and concise

approach, with little or no use of technical phrases and basic written communication skills.

Use the whole mark range, including maximum marks or zero where appropriate.

F521/01/02	Mark Scheme	June 2013
	<ul> <li>Discussion may include, these points must be relevant to the product and all three bullet points must be addressed:</li> <li>Modifications could cover any of the following: <ul> <li>environmental impact in use and of materials/attraction, obsolescence issues, energy used in production, life expectancy, disposal, carbon footprint</li> <li>use of recyclable components/materials, recycling issues. Six Rs – rethink, reuse, recycle, repair, reduce, refuse.</li> <li>LCA Cradle to grave - material selection, recyclable components/materials/environmental impact/attraction, obsolescence issues, recycling, energy used in production, energy during use, life expectancy -disposal/recycling issues.</li> </ul> </li> <li>implications – cost, meeting legislation. Company image/customer perception, fashionable ethically sourced goods, use of eco materials, sustainable, costs would increase per unit, this can be discussed in relation to the above but discussion needs to relate specifically to their product, its materials etc. Transportation costs, use of flat pack etc, benefits of sourcing materials locally etc</li> </ul>	A maximum of 2P's could be awarded for bullet point 1 in the case of a candidate discussing why their product is already sustainable. Supporting points can also be awarded, a maximum of 2S's. A maximum of one P for a knowledge of what life cycle analysis is, a discussion in terms of sustainability relating to material, energy during use etc is required for S or a second P where available If cost is mentioned, just saying cost increases or decreases then no marks are awarded, what will specifically increase or decrease cost must be mentioned
	<ul> <li>Discussion may include, these points must be relevant to the product and all three bullet points must be addressed:</li> <li>Specific product use and the feasibility of the product for particular identified markets. Some link to the retail environment where appropriate, use of company logos, branding, colour schemes etc The importance of visual impact to attract interest/sales - aesthetics, colour, form, texture, form and function, emotional response to products. Fashion trends, e.g eco design as a trend. Colour changes should be justified by relating to retail environment or specific fashion trends. Pricing and product placement. Product comparisons</li> <li>Details of chosen materials and manufacturing techniques specific to the product.</li> <li>Cost issues of materials and aesthetic changes, If cost is mentioned, just saying cost increases or decrease sthen no marks are awarded, what will specifically increase or decrease cost must be mentioned.</li> </ul>	Discussion must relate specifically to the product and/or a retail environment, a maximum of one P and one S for generic discussion about fashion and aesthetics.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge CB1 2EU

**OCR Customer Contact Centre** 

#### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553





© OCR 2013