Centre Number			Candidate Number		
Surname					
Other Names					
Candidate Signature					



General Certificate of Education Advanced Subsidiary Examination January 2011

Critical Thinking

CRIT1

Unit 1 Critical Thinking Foundation Unit

Monday 10th January 2011 1.30 pm to 3.00 pm

For this paper you must have:

a Source Booklet (enclosed).

Time allowed

1 hour 30 minutes

Instructions

- Use black ink or black ball-point pen.
- Fill in the boxes at the top of this page.
- Answer all questions.
- You must answer the questions in the spaces provided. Do not write outside the box around each page or on blank pages.
- Do all rough work in this book. Cross through any work you do not want to be marked.

Information

- The marks for questions are shown in brackets.
- The maximum mark for this paper is 70 (50 for Section A and 20 for Section B).
- You will be marked on your ability to:
 - use good English
 - organise information clearly
 - use specialist vocabulary where appropriate.

Advice

- The recommended time allocation for this examination is as follows:
 - Initial reading: up to 15 minutes
 - Section A: 45 minutesSection B: 30 minutes.

For Examiner's Use				
Examiner's Initials				
Question	Mark			
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
TOTAL				

Section A

Study **Documents A**, **B** and **C** before answering all the questions in the spaces provided.

There are 50 marks for this section.

Question	s 1 and 2 refer to Document A.
1 (a)	In the third paragraph, Mike Letnic is said to have described the 77% of some populations of freshwater crocodiles that have died since 2005 as 'particularly worrying'.
	What support does he provide for this view?
	(2 marks)
1 (b)	Identify one implicit assumption that Letnic's argument makes.
	(2 marks)



2	Letnic claims that "If it had been whales or some species with big brown eyes everyone would have been up in arms".
	What is Letnic implying about people's attitudes to animals?
	Give two points.
	(4 marks)

Turn over for the next question

Turn over ▶



Question 3 refers to Document A and B.							
3	Look o	closely at the way the dialogue begins:					
	Paul	Let evolution take its course! Maybe the "freshies" will evolve.					
	Zack	Good point! This could be an excellent experiment in evolution. Let's wait and see what happens.					
	Dilan	Evolution happens to species within their natural environment. You can't release a creature elsewhere and hope it will evolve. It's like throwing yourself in the sea hoping you'll evolve into a fish and start swimming.					
	Comm	ent critically on Dilan's response to what Paul and Zack say.					
		(4 marks)					



4	Consider the following contribution by Reena.
	It's not such a big worry that people have gone and introduced these toads. Similar things happen naturally. Species travel of their own accord, often by obscure methods such as clinging to a palm leaf in the ocean for a few weeks. It won't be the first time a species has had to adapt to the arrival of a new species.
4 (a)	What is Reena's conclusion?
	(1 mark)
4 (b)	Identify an implicit assumption Reena is making.
	(2 marks)

Question 4 continues on the next page

Turn over ▶



(c)	Now consid argument:	er the exchange between Mehmet and Zack that follows Reena's
	Mehmet	That doesn't mean that you can just go around introducing species wherever you want, Reena!
	Zack	You're not being fair to Reena's argument, Mehmet. Reena wasn't saying that.
	Mehmet	But her argument implied it.
	With referer answer.	nce to Reena's argument, who is right? Mehmet or Zack? Explain your
		(4 marks)



5	Consider the following comment by Paul.
	I think you'll find it's not the first time a species has been introduced to a new environment by humans.
	In reply, Mehmet asks the following rhetorical question.
	Oh, so that makes it OK on this occasion does it?
5 (a)	Explain why Mehmet is right to think that it does not make it OK.
	(2 mortro)
	(2 marks)
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks.
5 (b)	
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade and the existing ones must cope or die.
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade and the existing ones must cope or die.
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade and the existing ones must cope or die.
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade and the existing ones must cope or die.
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade and the existing ones must cope or die.
5 (b)	Comment critically on what Reena says after the question Mehmet asks. Whether or not it was man that introduced the pest is irrelevant: species invade and the existing ones must cope or die.

Turn over ▶

6



6	Consider Steffi's final comment.
	We should just let nature take its course.
	How successfully does this resolve the debate? Explain your answer.
	(4 marks)



3

Questions	7 to 9 refer to Document A and C.
7	Compare and contrast the situations in Document A and Document C with regard to invasive species.
	Suggest three significant ways in which the situations are and/or are not analogous (similar).
	(3 marks)

Turn over for the next question

Turn over ▶



	Consider the argument by Lawrence Fisher titled 'RESPONSE TO THE ARTICLE' (Document C). The argument is written in response to Simon Barnes's article.
1)	Explain the basic structure of the argument, identifying any conclusions that are drawn and the grounds provided.
	(5 mark



8 (b)	Identify two implicit assumptions that Lawrence Fisher makes.
	For each assumption, say whether or not you think it is warranted, explaining briefly why.
	(6 marks)

11

Turn over for the next question





9	With reference to the article itself, and also the definition of speciesism as given in Document C , do you agree with the Lawrence Fisher's view that Simon Barnes in (writing) his article is 'guilty of speciesism'?
	Justify your answer by:
	 considering what case, if any, there might be in support of the action which has been taken against the crows (and which Barnes is defending);
	• refering closely to the definition of speciesism provided in Document C ;
	deciding whether or not you think Fisher's allegation is fair or unfair.
	(7 marks)



Section B

Answer this question in the space provided.

There are 20 marks for this question.

10 'We as humans have to act like God's gardeners. By this I mean we have a duty, a moral duty, to take steps to save endangered species from extinction'.

To what extent do you agree with the above view? Write a reasoned argument in support of your position.

In answering this question you should:

- state your conclusion (or conclusions) clearly;
- offer effective reasoning to support your conclusions;

use the information, and respond to issues or arguments, in Documents A - C . You may also if you wish refer to material in the Appendix .





 •
-
 -
 -
 -
 •





 (20 marks)
(20 mane)

END OF QUESTIONS

Copyright © 2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.



20