

Mark Scheme (Results)

January 2015

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level in Business Studies (WBS03/01)

Unit 3: Strategic Business Decisions

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2015
Publications Code IA040452
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2015

General marking guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded.
 Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed-out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

Section A: Data response

Question Number	Question	Marks
1 (a)	What is meant by the term sales revenue? (Evidence A, line 10)	2 marks
	Answer	
	 Knowledge – up to 2 marks: The total value of sales income (1) generated from sale of goods or services. (1) 1 mark for partial or vague definition but a valid example lifts to 2 marks. Any other suitable alternative. 	1-2 marks

Question Number	Question	Marks
1 (b)	What is meant by the term positioning? (Evidence C, line 2)	2 marks
	Answer	
	 Knowledge – up to 2 marks: How a business uses its marketing mix to establish its relationship to customers and (1) in comparison to competitors (1) 1 mark for partial or vague definition but a valid example lifts to 2 marks. Any other suitable alternative. 	1-2 marks

Question Number	Question		
2	Explain how Paul Smith Ltd could have used Ansoff's Matrix	6 marks	
	to help the business increase its sales.		
	Answer		
	(Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2) Knowledge/understanding: up to 2 marks are available for how Ansoff's Matrix is used e.g. Ansoff's matrix is a decision-making model that can be used to help businesses analyse its strategic options/opportunities for growth (1) and assess the degree of risk or resource requirements for in each option (1)	1-2 marks	
	Application: up to 2 marks are available for contextualised answers that illustrate how Ansoff's Matrix could have been used by <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> to identify opportunities for growth e.g. Ansoff's Matrix could have been used to help identify whether there is an opportunity for sales growth if the core business of clothing was expanded from Nottingham/the UK into new geographic markets (1); potential products or market entries are plotted on the matrix and the opportunities for growth and associated risks are considered eg fragrances, bicylces, bags (1)	1-2 marks	
	Analysis: up to 2 marks are available for developing its usefulness to <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> e.g. the evidence clearly shows that <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> has expanded the business from its beginnings as a retailer in Nottingham/the UK into a global business across a range of product categories, and as a consequence enjoys a high level of sales revenue (1) using Ansoff's Matrix may have helped identify the relative opportunities and risks when considering which new products to introduce to the <i>Paul Smith Ltd.'s</i> range now extending beyond the core business (1)	1-2 marks	

Question Number	Question	Marks
3	Analyse the corporate strategy that has led to the success of <i>Paul Smith Ltd.</i>	8 marks
	Answer	
	(Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4) Knowledge/understanding: up to 2 marks are available for showing understanding of <i>Paul Smith Ltd.</i> 's corporate strategy e.g. entering new markets (1) increasing product range (1)	1-2 marks
	Application: up to 2 marks are available for contextualised answers identifying a strategy, e.g. For example, <i>Paul Smith Ltd.</i> corporate strategy was developing out from its beginning as a retailer in Nottingham expanding across the UK and into the rest of the world (1) expanding product range including designing cars, stationery, textiles etc. (1) Analysis: Candidate analyses using reasons/causes/	1-2 marks
	consequences/costs of corporate strategy that has led to diversification and expansion. E.g. the corporate strategy, based on designing a range of different products for different markets, each product with its distinctive <i>Paul Smith Ltd.'s</i> style (1) has enabled the business to expand its distribution internationally into 82 countries (1) and expand its product offer into a diverse range of product categories (1) which, when taken together, has resulted in a large and profitable business, with products that retain a distinctive style (1)	1-4 marks

Question Number	Question			Marks
4	The job description (Evidence D) lists the management of the critical path as a specific responsibility for the Development Supervisor. Assess the value of critical path analysis to a business such			10 marks
Level	as <i>Paul Smi</i> Mark	Descriptor	Possible	e content
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of basic terms.	e.g. what is m path analysis of of breaking do into individual, activities, plac	eant by critical e.g. the process wn a project discrete ing them in dentify the most
2	3-4	Application: basic points developed to show awareness of how critical path analysis could relate to the job described.	e.g. the Development Supervisor must work together with other functions – Design, Technical, Production, Sales. e.g. to achieve on time product launches.	
3	5-7	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the extent to which critical path analysis would relate to the job described, within the limits of the information provided in Evidence D. N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2.	being lost if did are not workin managed time e.g. the busine money becaus product would for sale at the	the risk of time fregether to a critical plan.
4	8-10	Evaluation must be present and in context, i.e. a candidate balances their answer. N.B. if evaluation is not in context, limit to Level 3.	e.g. doesn't ta external shock of materials fo e.g. it's value	d is only as valid ing used. ke into account s such as supply r shoes. depends on all to plan/taking

Question Number	Question Marks			Marks	
5	chief des Smith Lt Assess th	raul Smith is the chief executive, principal shareholder and hief designer of the business that bears his name, Paul Smith Ltd. (Evidence A) assess the potential conflict for Paul Smith between his			
	various stakeholder roles.				
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content		
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding that, although an individual, Paul Smith will hold different stakeholder positions within the business. Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.	e.g. that stake	roup with a in the business. cholders may interest which as coincide, and	
2	3-4	Application must be present, i.e. the answer must be contextualised and applied to show awareness of the different stakeholder roles held by Paul Smith. Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to	e.g. Paul Smith will need to consider the business from different perspectives. e.g. as CEO will be interested in long term growth of the business e.g. as principal shareholder		
		be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.	will be interest e.g. as chief de	g. as chief designer will be nterested in creativity	
3	5-7	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give reasons/causes/costs /consequences of any conflict which may arise from the different stakeholder roles held by Paul Smith	e.g. as principal shareholder Paul Smith will want to maximise his personal return which could conflict with the needs of the business long term, which might require investment.		
		N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2. Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence.	personal desig than designs w to the market by his retail in e.g. it could be whether Paul S be as good as across each of	huse conflict in he pursues his n ideas rather which are suited as represented terests. e questioned Smith can really he needs to be the se stakeholder	
4	8-12	Low Level 4: 8-10 marks. Evaluation must be present i.e. a candidate balances their answer by showing possible advantages	of disciplines,	onsibilities a diverse range Paul Smith will position to take	

and disadvantages having a single stakeholder responsible for such different aspects of the business, responsibilities which could cause conflicts of interest.

High Level 4: 11-12 marks. Evaluation is developed to show a real perceptiveness on the part of the candidate. Several strands may be developed; the answer is clear and articulate, leading to a convincing conclusion.

N.B. if evaluation not in context, limit to Level 3.

Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found but the writing has overall clarity and coherence. an overall view of how the business is developed and as such will be able to reduce potential conflict.

- e.g. Evidence B states that despite Paul Smith's multiple roles, there is no evidence that the business has been affected.
- e.g. Paul Smith's designs continue to be the backbone of the company so there is no apparent conflict.
- e.g. the company has continued to expand hence there is no evidence to suggest that Paul Smith cannot carry out his different stakeholder roles.

Section B: Essay questions

Question Number		Question	Marks
6	Assess the financial performance of <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> from 2011 to 2012 (Evidence E).		20 marks
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of what is meant by assessing financial performance. Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.	e.g. analysing the data presented, and giving a view as to what it means, how well or not the business has performed based on financial data. e.g. analysing/reviewing what the business has achieved based on financial criteria.
2	3-6	Application must be present, i.e. the answer must be contextualised and applied to the Paul Smith Ltd. example. Low Level 2: 3–4 marks Candidate accurately calculates 1 or 2 ratios. High Level 2: 5–6 marks Candidate accurately calculates 3 or more ratios. Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.	e.g. gross profit margin for 2012 = 55.3%, 2011=55.8% e.g. profit margin for the financial year 2012=9.5%. 2011=10.7% e.g. operaing proft margin 2012=13.9%, 2011=16%. e.g. that the cost of sales as a proportion of revenue was 44.24% in 2011 and 44.72% in 2012. e.g. that revenue has increased year-on-year by £7 179 000/4.18%. e.g. that gross profit has increased year—on-year by £3 137 000/3.28% e.g. that operating profit has fallen year-on-year by £2 570 000/9.38% e.g. that profit for the financial year has fallen year-on-year £1 325 000/7.24% e.g. that the other operating expenses have increased by £5 707 000/8.35%.

Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content
3	7 -12	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give reasons/causes/costs/consequences	e.g. that the cost of sales as a proportion of revenue has not increased significantly between 2011 and 2012.
		Low Level 3: 7–9 marks Analysis limited: only one or two reasons/causes/costs or consequences are outlined.	e.g. that revenue has increased year-on-year by £7 179 000 which represents a 4.18% increase.
		High Level 3: 10–12 marks Analysis is more developed: two or more reasons/causes/costs and/or consequences are outlined and developed.	e.g. that gross profit has increased year on year by £3 137 000 which is an increase of 3.28%.
		N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2. Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause	e.g. that the operating profit and the profit for the financial year have both been reduced, year-on-year, as a result of an increase in the other operating expenses.
		some passages to lack clarity or coherence.	e.g. that it could be argued that the business was not doing so well in 2012, compared with 2011, as the profit for the financial year as a % of revenue has fallen from 10.66% to 9.49%.
			e.g. that the other operating expenses have increased by £5 707 000 which represents an increase of 8.35%.
4	13-20	Evaluation must be present and in context, stating the extent to which the performance of <i>Paul Smith Ltd.</i> can be can be concluded from the figures in the Profit and loss account	e.g. it will be useful to have access to historical data to look at the long term development/trends of <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> .
		Low Level 4: 13–14 marks. Some evaluative points are made, based on analysis of the business situation without arriving at a conclusion/judgement.	e.g. that with an annual increase of 4.18%, Revenue was barely keeping up with inflation, so did not represent any improvement in the overall performance of
		Mid Level 4: 15–17 marks. A judgement is attempted with some effort to show how well or not Paul Smith has performed, in financial terms, year-on-year, between 2011	e.g that it would be difficult to conduct any deeper analysis of the reason behind
		and 2012 High Level 4: 18–20 marks. Convincing evaluation on the extent to which the business has performed well, or not	the changes in figures without drawing on additional information about the operation of the business.
		, 5. 1.5.	e.g. Paul Smith Ltd. operates

Several strands may be developed; the answer is clear and articulate leading to a convincing conclusion.

N.B. if evaluation not in context, limit to Level 3.

Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found but the writing has overall clarity and coherence. from a premium position in the market, this would have been affected by difficult external economic factors (recession) and it would be useful to compare Paul Smith Ltd.'s information to competitors in a similar business such as Ted Baker plc.

Question Number	Question			Marks
7		e the company's growth strategy as de e F and Evidence G.	escribed in 20 marks	
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible cor	itent
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of what is meant by 'growth strategy'. Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.	e.g. the strategy use business to achieve improvement of its the market through increase in its revenue/distribution offer/competitive as	e an position in an an
2	3-6	Application must be present, i.e. the answer must be contextualised and applied to Evidence F and Evidence G. Low Level 2: 3–4 marks Candidate makes a basic comment on the nature of company growth. High Level 2: 5–6 marks Candidate makes a detailed reference to the nature of company growth. Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence.	e.g. Evidence F giv of shop openings we example of organic e.g. Evidence F list growth in sales in r wholesale and licer businesses. It show growth across differ distribution channel e.g. Evidence G ref second joint ventur which is a way of a company growth.	which is an growth. Is continued retail, used we organic rent rels. Fers to a re in China,
3	7-12	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give reasons/causes/costs/consequences of the nature of company growth. Low Level 3: 7-9 marks Candidate will attempt a very basic analysis, making general points, on one or two elements from Evidence F and/or G. High Level 3: 10-12 marks Candidate makes a more detailed analysis, making specific points, on most of the elements from Evidence F and/or G. N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2. Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this	e.g. the business h implemented a strategrowth through ner openings in key may has been a success strategy in the passe.g. the efficiencies result from the local office functions on will help contribute growth in profit. e.g. re-entering the market comes with can be minimised to joint venture with as they have experint introducing western China. e.g. additional invested being made in admoperational financial and the internet infrastructure, all of the properties of the strategy of the str	ategy of w shop arkets. This is ful t. Is which ation of all one site to a E Chinese risk which hrough the ImagineX tise in a brands to estment is sinistration, all systems

		may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar	should lay a substantial foundation for continuing
		errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence.	growth.
4	13-20	Evaluation must be present and in context, based on the strategy of growth for <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> as described in Evidence F & G and identified by the candidate.	e.g. having failed the first time unless lessons are learned this will not assist <i>Paul Smith Ltd</i> in its second attempt to enter the Chinese market.
		Low Level 4: 13-14 marks Some basic evaluative points are made, referring to organic or inorganic growth.	e.g. with the second attempt to enter the Chinese market, the chosen partner for the joint venture might be more appropriate because of their
		Mid Level 4: 15-17 marks Candidate gives a range of arguments, covering different	specialist expertise to <i>Paul Smith Ltd.</i>
		aspects of company growth.	e.g. growth has to be sustainable to avoid
		High Level 4: 18-20 marks Candidate gives a wide range of arguments, to support it arguments for and against different	overtrading, diseconomies of scale and problems with communication.
		aspects of company growth and comes to a conclusion.	e.g. the directors of <i>Paul Smith Ltd.</i> recognise the significant challenges of the
		N.B. if evaluation is not in context, limit to Level 3	present economic climate but appear willing to risk high investment now for future
		Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation	benefits.
		and/or grammar errors may be found but the writing has overall clarity and coherence.	